arXiv:1004.1865v2 [math.PR] 28 Oct 2010

# Reversibility of Whole-Plane SLE

Dapeng Zhan[*]
Michigan State University

October 29, 2010

**Abstract**

The main result of this paper is that, for $\kappa \in (0,4]$, whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ satisfies reversibility, which means that the time-reversal of a whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ trace is still a whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ trace. In addition, we find that the time-reversal of a radial SLE$_\kappa$ trace for $\kappa \in (0,4]$ is a disc SLE$_\kappa$ trace with a marked boundary point. The main tool used in this paper is a stochastic coupling technique, which is used to couple two whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ traces so that they overlap. Another tool used is the Feynman-Kac formula. We use it to solve a PDE. The solution of this PDE is used to construct the above coupling.

## 1 Introduction

The stochastic Loewner evolution (SLE) introduced by Oded Schramm ([19]) describes some random fractal curves in plane domains that satisfy conformal invariance and Domain Markov Property. These two properties make SLEs the most suitable candidates for the scaling limits of many two-dimensional lattice models at criticality. These models are proved or conjectured to converge to SLE with different parameters (e.g., [6][14][15][20][21][22][23]). For basics of SLE, the reader may refer to [10] and [18].

There are several different versions of SLEs, among which chordal SLE and radial SLE are the most well-known. A chordal or radial SLE trace is a random fractal curve that grows in a simply connected plane domain from a boundary point. The difference is that a chordal SLE trace ends at another boundary point, while a radial SLE trace runs towards an interior point. Their behaviors both depend on a positive parameter $\kappa$. When $\kappa \in (0,4]$, both traces are simple curves, and all points on the trace other than the initial and final points lie inside the domain. When $\kappa > 4$, the traces have self-intersections.

A stochastic coupling technique was introduced in [28] to prove that, for $\kappa \in (0,4]$, chordal SLE$_\kappa$ satisfies reversibility, which means that if $\beta$ is a chordal SLE$_\kappa$ trace in a domain $D$ from $a$ to $b$, then after a time-change, the time-reversal of $\beta$ becomes a chordal SLE$_\kappa$ trace in $D$ from $b$ to $a$. The technique was later used ([29][30]) to prove Duplantier's duality conjecture,

---

[*] Supported by NSF grant 0963733

which says that, for $\kappa > 4$, the boundary of the hull generated by a chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ trace looks locally like an $\mathrm{SLE}_{16/\kappa}$ trace. The technique was also used to prove that the radial or chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_2$ can be obtained by erasing loops on a planar Brownian motion ([33]), and the chordal $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\rho)$ also satisfies reversibility for $\kappa \in (0,4]$ and $\rho \ge \kappa/2 - 2$ ([31]).

Since the initial point and final point of a radial SLE are topologically different, the time-reversal of a radial SLE trace can not be a radial SLE trace. However, we may consider whole-plane SLE instead, which describes a random fractal curve in the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ that grows from one interior point to another interior point. Whole-plane SLE is related to radial SLE as follows: conditioned on the initial part of a whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ trace, the rest part of such trace has the distribution of a radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ trace that grows in the complementary domain of the initial part of this trace. The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** *Whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ satisfies reversibility for $\kappa \in (0,4]$.*

The theorem in the case $\kappa = 2$ has been proved in [32]. The proof used the reversibility of loop-erased random walk (LERW, [9]) and the convergence of LERW to whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_2$. In this paper we also studied the reversibility whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa, s)$ process, which is defined by adding a constant drift to the driving function for the whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ process. Such process is interesting because it is related to the radial $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa, s)$ process, which satisfies Domain Markov Property as the radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ does. We find that the whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa, s)$ process also satisfies reversibility for any $\kappa \in (0,4]$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. This is the statement of Theorem 9.1.

Let's first review the proof of the reversibility of chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ in [28]. We constructed a pair of chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ traces $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ in a simply connected domain $D$, where $\gamma_1$ grows from $a_1$ to $a_2$, $\gamma_2$ grows from $a_2$ to $a_1$, and these two traces commute in the following sense. Fix $j \ne k \in \{1,2\}$, if $T_k$ is a stopping time for $\gamma_k$, then conditioned on $\gamma_k(t)$, $t \le T_k$, the part of $\gamma_j$ before hitting $\gamma_k(t)$, $t \le T_k$, has the distribution of a chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ trace that grows from $a_1$ to $\gamma_k(T_k)$ in $D_k(T_k)$, which is the complement of the curve $\gamma_k(t)$, $t \le T_k$, in $D$. In the case $\kappa \le 4$, a.s. $\gamma_j$ hits the curve $\gamma_k(t)$, $t \le T_k$, exactly at $\gamma_k(T_k)$, so $\gamma_j$ visits $\gamma_k(T_k)$ before any $\gamma_k(t)$, $t < T_k$. Since this holds for any stopping time $T_k$ for $\gamma_k$, the two traces a.s. overlap, which implies the reversibility.

To prove the reversibility of whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$, we want to construct two whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ traces in $D = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, one is $\gamma_1$ from $a_1$ to $a_2$, the other is $\gamma_2$ from $a_2$ to $a_1$, so that $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ commute. Here we can not expect that they commute in exactly the same sense as in the above paragraph. Note that conditioned on $\gamma_k(t)$, $t \le T_k$, the part of $\gamma_j$ before hitting $\gamma_k(t)$, $t \le T_k$, can not have the distribution of a whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ trace in $D_k(T_k)$ from $a_1$ to $\gamma_k(T_k)$ because now the complementary domain $D_k(T_k)$ is topologically different from $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, while whole-plane SLEs are only defined in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Since this curve grows from an interior point to a boundary point, it is neither a radial SLE trace nor a chordal SLE trace.

Thus, we need to define SLE traces in simply connected domains that grow from an interior point to a boundary point. We use the idea of defining whole-plane SLE using radial SLE. The situation here is a little different: after a positive initial part, the rest part of the curve grows in a doubly connected domain. Another difference is that there is a marked point on the boundary of the initial domain. In this paper, we use the annulus Loewner equation introduced in [25] together with an annulus drift function $\Lambda = \Lambda(t,x)$ to define the so-called annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ process in a doubly connected domain $D$, which starts from a point $a \in \partial D$, and whose growth is affected by a marked point $b \in \partial D$. In the case when $a$ and $b$ lie on different boundary components, by shrinking the boundary component containing $a$ to a singlet, we get the socalled disc $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$, which describes a random curve that grows in a simply connected domain and starts from an interior point.

We find that if $\Lambda(t,x)$ satisfies the following partial differential equation on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$:

$$\partial_t\Lambda = \frac{\kappa}{2}\Lambda'' + \Big(3-\frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\mathbf{H}_I'' + \Lambda\mathbf{H}_I' + \mathbf{H}_I\Lambda' + \Lambda\Lambda', \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathbf{H}_I(t,z)$ is related to some Jacobi theta function (2.6), then using the coupling technique we could construct a coupling of two whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ traces: $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$, which commute in the sense that, conditioned on one curve up to a finite stopping time $T$, the other curve is a disc $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ trace in the remaining domain, and its marked point is the tip point of the first curve at $T$. If, moreover, a disc $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ trace a.s. ends at its marked point, then $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ should overlap, we then have the reversibility of whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$.

We solve (1.1) by transforming it into a linear PDE and using a Feynman-Kac representation to get a formal solution of that linear PDE, which is represented by the expectation of the exponential of an improper integral along a diffusion process. Using Fubini's Theorem, Itô's formula, and some estimations, we prove that the formal solution is smooth and solves the linear PDE. Such solution exists for $\kappa \in (0,4]$. Then we obtain $\Lambda$ which solves (1.1) from the solution of that linear PDE. We then find that this $\Lambda$ also satisfies the property that a disc $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ trace a.s. ends at its marked point. So the main theorem is proved. Moreover, from the relation between whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ and radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$, and the property of the coupling between $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$, we conclude that, for $\kappa \in (0,4]$, the time-reversal of a radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ trace is a disc $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ trace. Thus, the solution $\Lambda$ depends only on $\kappa$.

PDE often appear in the study of SLE, especially SLE in multiply connected domains or SLE with marked points. The work in this paper provides a way to prove the existence and smoothness of the solutions of some of these PDE, even when the closed form is not available. For example, it is shown in [26] that an annulus $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ trace (without marked point) in an annulus ends at a random point on the target circle; and if the distribution of the end point is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on the target circle, and the density function is smooth, then the density function satisfies some PDE. In this paper, for $\kappa \in (0,6)$, we found a solution of that PDE using a Feynman-Kac representation, and proved the assumption of absolutely continuity and smoothness in [26].

The marked point and the initial point of an annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ process could either lie on two different boundary components, or lie on the same boundary component. The first case is studied for the proof of Theorem 1.1. The second case is also interesting. We find that if $\Lambda$ satisfies the following partial differential equation on $(0,\infty)\times(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{2k\pi : k\in\mathbb{Z}\})$:

$$\partial_t\Lambda = \frac{\kappa}{2}\Lambda'' + \Big(3-\frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\mathbf{H}'' + \Lambda\mathbf{H}' + \mathbf{H}\Lambda' + \Lambda\Lambda', \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathbf{H}(t,z)$ is related to another Jacobi theta function (2.6), then for a doubly connected domain $D$ with two boundary points $a_1$ and $a_2$ on the same boundary component, we can construct a pair of annulus SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ traces $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ in $D$, which commute with each other. If an SLE process in a doubly connected domain is the scaling limit of some random path in a lattice, which satisfies reversibility, then such SLE should satisfy reversibility, and the drift function should satisfy either (1.1) or (1.2). We hope that the work in this paper will shed some light on the study of these processes.

The study on the commutation relations of SLE in doubly connected domains continues the work in [7] by Dubédat, who used some tools from Lie Algebra to obtain commutation conditions of SLE in simply connected domains. In [11] Lawler proposed another approach to study the reversal of radial SLE, which is to first define SLE in a doubly connected domain from one boundary point to another boundary point using Brownian loops and restriction measures, and then let the modulus of the domain tend to $\infty$.

The method and result of this paper may be used to study the two-sided whole-plane SLE curves. The two-sided whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ process is some limit of the two-sided radial SLE$_\kappa$ process ([10]), and for $\kappa \in (0,4)$, it produces a random simple loop in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ passing through two fixed points. In this paper we constructed a reversible SLE$_\kappa$ curve crossing an annulus. Based on this curve we may construct a pair of disjoint reversible SLE$_\kappa$ curves crossing an annulus such that conditioned on one curve, the other curve is a chordal SLE$_\kappa$. If the modulus of the domain tends to $\infty$, such pair of curves should tend to the two-sided whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some symbols and notations. In Section 3, we review several versions of Loewner equations. In Section 4, we define annulus SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ and disc SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ processes, whose growth is affected by one marked boundary point. In Section 5 we prove that when $\Lambda$ solves (1.1) or (1.2), there is a commutation coupling of two annulus SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ processes. In Section 6, we construct a coupling of two whole-plane SLE processes as the limit of the coupling in the previous section. In Section 7, we solve PDE (1.1) using a Feynman-Kac expression. In Section 8, we find that for the solution $\Lambda$ given in the previous section, an annulus SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ trace has only one subsequential limit point at the boundary, which is the marked point. In Section 9, we prove the main theorem as well as the reversibility of skew whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ processes for $\kappa \in (0,4]$. We also obtain a decomposition of an annulus SLE$_\kappa$ process without drift for $\kappa \in (0,6)$ according to the endpoint of the trace. In the last section, we find some solutions to (1.1) and (1.2) when $\kappa \in \{0,2,3,4,16/3\}$, which can be expressed in terms of $\mathbf{H}_I$ and $\mathbf{H}$.

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Alexander Volberg for his suggestions on transforming PDE (5.6) into (5.7), and thank Zhen-Qing Chen for his help on Lemma 7.2. I also thank Gregory Lawler for his valuable comments and suggestions.

# 2 Preliminary

## 2.1 Symbols

Throughout this paper, we will use the following symbols. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{C}\cup\{\infty\}$, $\mathbb{D}=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$, $\mathbb{T}=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$, and $\mathbb{H}=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:\operatorname{Im}z>0\}$. For $p>0$, let $\mathbb{A}_p=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:1>|z|>e^{-p}\}$ and $\mathbb{S}_p=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:0<\operatorname{Im}z<p\}$. For $p\in\mathbb{R}$, let $\mathbb{T}_p=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:|z|=e^{-p}\}$ and $\mathbb{R}_p=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:\operatorname{Im}z=p\}$. Then $\partial\mathbb{D}=\mathbb{T}$, $\partial\mathbb{H}=\mathbb{R}$, $\partial\mathbb{A}_p=\mathbb{T}\cup\mathbb{T}_p$, and $\partial\mathbb{S}_p=\mathbb{R}\cup\mathbb{R}_p$. Let $e^i$ denote the map $z\mapsto e^{iz}$. Then $e^i$ is a covering map from $\mathbb{H}$ onto $\mathbb{D}$, and from $\mathbb{S}_p$ onto $\mathbb{A}_p$; and it maps $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$ and maps $\mathbb{R}_p$ onto $\mathbb{T}_p$. For a doubly connected domain $D$, we use $\operatorname{mod}(D)$ to denote its modulus. For example, $\operatorname{mod}(\mathbb{A}_p)=p$.

A conformal map in this paper is a univalent analytic function. A conjugate conformal map is defined to be the complex conjugate of a conformal map. Let $I_0(z)=1/\overline{z}$ be the reflection w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}$. Then $I_0$ is a conjugate conformal map from $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ onto itself, fixes $\mathbb{T}$, and interchanges $0$ and $\infty$. Let $\widetilde{I}_0(z)=\overline{z}$ be the reflection w.r.t. $\mathbb{R}$. Then $\widetilde{I}_0$ is a conjugate conformal map from $\mathbb{C}$ onto itself and satisfies $e^i\circ\widetilde{I}_0=I_0\circ e^i$. For $p>0$, let $I_p(z):=e^{-p}/\overline{z}$ and $\widetilde{I}_p(z)=ip+\overline{z}$. Then $I_p$ and $\widetilde{I}_p$ are conjugate conformal automorphisms of $\mathbb{A}_p$ and $\mathbb{S}_p$, respectively. Moreover, $I_p$ interchanges $\mathbb{T}_p$ and $\mathbb{T}$, $\widetilde{I}_p$ interchanges $\mathbb{R}_p$ and $\mathbb{R}$, and $I_p\circ e^i=e^i\circ\widetilde{I}_p$.

We will frequently use functions $\cot(z/2)$, $\tan(z/2)$, $\coth(z/2)$, $\tanh(z/2)$, $\sin(z/2)$, $\cos(z/2)$, $\sinh(z/2)$, and $\cosh(z/2)$. For simplicity, we write 2 as a subscript. For example, $\cot_2(z)$ means $\cot(z/2)$, and we have $\cot_2'(z)=-\frac{1}{2}\sin_2^{-2}(z)$.

An increasing function in this paper will always be strictly increasing. For a real interval $J$, we use $C(J)$ to denote the space of real continuous functions on $J$. The maximal solution to an ODE or SDE with initial value is the solution with the biggest definition domain.

Many functions in this paper depend on two variables. In some of these functions, the first variable represents time or modulus, and the second variable does not. In this case, we use $\partial_t$ and $\partial_t^n$ to denote the partial derivatives w.r.t. the first variable, and use $'$, $''$, and the superscripts $(h)$ to denote the partial derivatives w.r.t. the second variable. For these functions, we say that it has period $r$ (resp. is even or odd) if it has period $r$ (resp. is even or odd) in the second variable when the first variable is fixed. Some functions in Section 5 and Section 6 depend on two variables: $t_1$ and $t_2$, which both represent time. In this case we use $\partial_j$ to denote the partial derivative w.r.t. the $j$-th variable, $j=1,2$.

## 2.2 Brownian motions

Throughout this paper, a Brownian motion means a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion, and $B(t)$, $0\le t<\infty$, will always be used to denote a Brownian motion. This means that $B(t)$ is continuous, $B(0)=0$, and $B(t)$ has independent increment with $B(t)-B(s)\sim N(0,t-s)$ for $t\ge s\ge 0$. For $\kappa\ge 0$, the rescaled Brownian motion $\sqrt{\kappa}B(t)$ will be used to define radial or annulus SLE$_\kappa$. The symbols $B_*(t)$, $\widehat{B}_*(t)$, or $\widetilde{B}_*(t)$ will also be used to denote a Brownian motion, where the $*$ stands for subscript. Let $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\ge 0}$ be a filtration. By saying that $B(t)$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-Brownian motion, we mean that $(B(t))$ is $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted, and for any fixed $t_0\ge 0$,

$B(t_0+t)-B(t_0)$, $t\ge 0$, is a Brownian motion independent of $\mathcal{F}_{t_0}$.

**Definition 2.1** *Let $\kappa>0$ and $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be a right-continuous filtration. A process $B^{(\kappa)}(t)$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$, is called a pre-$(\mathcal{F}_t)$-$(\mathbb{T};\kappa)$-Brownian motion if $(e^i(B^{(\kappa)}(t)))$ is $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted, and for any $t_0\in\mathbb{R}$,*

$$B_{t_0}(t):=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}\Big(B^{(\kappa)}(t_0+t)-B^{(\kappa)}(t_0)\Big),\quad 0\le t<\infty, \tag{2.1}$$

*is an $(\mathcal{F}_{t_0+t})$-Brownian motion. If $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ is generated by $(e^i(B^{(\kappa)}(t)))$, then we simply call $(B^{(\kappa)}(t))$ a pre-$(\mathbb{T};\kappa)$-Brownian motion.*

**Remark.** The name of the pre-$(\mathbb{T};\kappa)$-Brownian motion comes from the fact that $B_{\mathbb{T}}(t):=e^i(B^{(\kappa)}(t))$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$, is a Brownian motion on $\mathbb{T}$ with speed $\kappa$: for every $t_0\in\mathbb{R}$, $B_{\mathbb{T}}(t_0)$ is uniformly distributed on $\mathbb{T}$; and $B_{\mathbb{T}}(t_0+t)/B_{\mathbb{T}}(t_0)$, $t\ge 0$, has the distribution of $e^i(\sqrt{\kappa}B(t))$, $t\ge 0$, and is independent of $B_{\mathbb{T}}(t)$, $t\le t_0$. One may construct $B^{(\kappa)}(t)$ as follows. Let $B_+(t)$ and $B_-(t)$, $t\ge 0$, be two independent Brownian motions. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a random variable uniformly distributed on $[0,2\pi)$, which is independent of $(B_\pm(t))$. Let $B^{(\kappa)}(t)=\mathbf{x}+\sqrt{\kappa}B_{\operatorname{sign}(t)}(|t|)$ for $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Then $B^{(\kappa)}(t)$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$, is a pre-$(\mathbb{T};\kappa)$-Brownian motion.

**Definition 2.2** *Let $B^{(\kappa)}(t)$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$, be a pre-$(\mathcal{F}_t)$-$(\mathbb{T};\kappa)$-Brownian motion, where $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ is right-continuous, and every $\mathcal{F}_t$ contains all eligible events w.r.t. the process $(e^i(B^{(\kappa)}(t)))$. Suppose $T$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-stopping time, and $T>t_0$ for a deterministic number $t_0\in\mathbb{R}$. We say that $X(t)$ satisfies the $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted SDE*

$$dX(t)=a(t)dB^{(\kappa)}(t)+b(t)dt,\quad -\infty<t<T, \tag{2.2}$$

*if $e^i(X(t))$, $a(t)$, and $b(t)$ are continuous and $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted, and if for any deterministic number $t_0$ with $t_0<T$, $X_{t_0}(t):=X(t_0+t)-X(t_0)$ satisfies the following $(\mathcal{F}_{t_0+t})_{t\ge 0}$-adapted SDE with the traditional meaning in [17]:*

$$dX_{t_0}(t)=a_{t_0}(t)\sqrt{\kappa}dB_{t_0}(t)+b_{t_0}(t)dt,\quad 0\le t<T-t_0,$$

*where $B_{t_0}(t)$ is given by (2.1), $a_{t_0}(t):=a(t_0+t)$, and $b_{t_0}(t):=b(t_0+t)$. Note that $B_{t_0}(t)$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_{t_0+t})_{t\ge 0}$-Brownian motion, $X_{t_0}(t)$, $a_{t_0}(t)$ and $b_{t_0}(t)$ are all $(\mathcal{F}_{t_0+t})_{t\ge 0}$-adapted.*

### 2.3 Special functions

We now introduce some functions that will be used to define annulus Loewner equations. For $t>0$, define

$$\mathbf{S}(t,z)=\lim_{M\to\infty}\sum_{k=-M}^{M}\frac{e^{2kt}+z}{e^{2kt}-z}=\mathrm{P.\,V.}\sum_{2|n}\frac{e^{nt}+z}{e^{nt}-z},$$

$$\mathbf{H}(t,z)=-i\mathbf{S}(t,e^i(z))=-i\,\mathrm{P.\,V.}\sum_{2|n}\frac{e^{nt}+e^{iz}}{e^{nt}-e^{iz}}=\mathrm{P.\,V.}\sum_{2|n}\cot_2(z-int).$$

Then $\mathbf{H}(t,\cdot)$ is a meromorphic function in $\mathbb{C}$, whose poles are $\{2m\pi + i2kt : m,k\in\mathbb{Z}\}$, which are all simple poles with residue 2. Moreover, $\mathbf{H}(t,\cdot)$ is an odd function and takes real values on $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{\text{poles}\}$; $\operatorname{Im}\mathbf{H}(t,\cdot)\equiv -1$ on $\mathbb{R}_t$; $\mathbf{H}(t,z+2\pi)=\mathbf{H}(t,z)$ and $\mathbf{H}(t,z+i2t)=\mathbf{H}(t,z)-2i$ for any $z\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{\text{poles}\}$.

The power series expansion of $\mathbf{H}(t,\cdot)$ near 0 is

$$\mathbf{H}(t,z)=\frac{2}{z}+\mathbf{r}(t)z+O(z^3), \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mathbf{r}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sinh^{-2}(kt)-\frac{1}{6}$. As $t\to\infty$, $\mathbf{S}(t,z)\to\frac{1+z}{1-z}$, $\mathbf{H}(t,z)\to\cot_2(z)$, and $\mathbf{r}(t)\to-\frac{1}{6}$. So we define $\mathbf{S}(\infty,z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$, $\mathbf{H}(\infty,z)=\cot_2(z)$, and $\mathbf{r}(\infty)=-\frac{1}{6}$. Then $\mathbf{r}$ is continuous on $(0,\infty]$, and (2.3) still holds when $t=\infty$. In fact, we have $\mathbf{r}(t)-\mathbf{r}(\infty)=O(e^{-t})$ as $t\to\infty$, so we may define $\mathbf{R}$ on $(0,\infty]$ by $\mathbf{R}(t)=-\int_t^{\infty}(\mathbf{r}(s)-\mathbf{r}(\infty))ds$. Then $\mathbf{R}$ is continuous on $(0,\infty]$, $\mathbf{R}(t)=O(e^{-t})$ as $t\to\infty$, and for $0<t<\infty$,

$$\mathbf{R}'(t)=\mathbf{r}(t)-\mathbf{r}(\infty). \tag{2.4}$$

Let $\mathbf{S}_I(t,z)=\mathbf{S}(t,e^{-t}z)-1$ and $\mathbf{H}_I(t,z)=-i\mathbf{S}_I(t,e^{iz})=\mathbf{H}(t,z+it)+i$. It is easy to check:

$$\mathbf{S}_I(t,z)=\mathrm{P.V.}\sum_{2\nmid n}\frac{e^{nt}+z}{e^{nt}-z},\quad \mathbf{H}_I(t,z)=\mathrm{P.V.}\sum_{2\nmid n}\cot_2(z-int). \tag{2.5}$$

So $\mathbf{H}_I(t,\cdot)$ is a meromorphic function in $\mathbb{C}$ with poles $\{2m\pi+i(2k+1)t : m,k\in\mathbb{Z}\}$, which are all simple poles with residue 2; $\mathbf{H}_I(t,\cdot)$ is an odd function and takes real values on $\mathbb{R}$; and $\mathbf{H}_I(t,z+2\pi)=\mathbf{H}_I(t,z)$, $\mathbf{H}_I(t,z+i2t)=\mathbf{H}_I(t,z)-2i$ for any $z\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{\text{poles}\}$.

It is possible to express $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{H}_I$ using classical functions. Let $\theta(\nu,\tau)$ and $\theta_k(\nu,\tau)$, $k=1,2,3$, be the Jacobi theta functions defined in [1]. Define $\Theta(t,z)=\theta(\frac{z}{2\pi},\frac{it}{\pi})$ and $\Theta_I(t,z)=\theta_2(\frac{z}{2\pi},\frac{it}{\pi})$. Then $\Theta_I$ has period $2\pi$, $\Theta$ has antiperiod $2\pi$, and

$$\mathbf{H}=2\,\frac{\Theta'}{\Theta},\quad \mathbf{H}_I=2\,\frac{\Theta_I'}{\Theta_I}. \tag{2.6}$$

These follow from the product representations of $\Theta$ and $\Theta_I$. For example,

$$\Theta_I(t,z)=\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}(1-e^{-2mt})(1-e^{-(2m-1)t}e^{iz})(1-e^{-(2m-1)t}e^{-iz}). \tag{2.7}$$

Both $\Theta$ and $\Theta_I$ solve the heat equation

$$\partial_t\Theta=\Theta'',\quad \partial_t\Theta_I=\Theta_I''. \tag{2.8}$$

So $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{H}_I$ solve the PDE:

$$\partial_t\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{H}''+\mathbf{H}'\mathbf{H},\quad \partial_t\mathbf{H}_I=\mathbf{H}_I''+\mathbf{H}_I'\mathbf{H}_I. \tag{2.9}$$

We rescale the functions $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{H}_I$ as follows. For $t > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$\widehat{\mathbf{H}}(t,z) = \frac{\pi}{t}\mathbf{H}\Big(\frac{\pi^2}{t}, \frac{\pi}{t}z\Big) + \frac{z}{t}, \qquad \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(t,z) = \frac{\pi}{t}\mathbf{H}_I\Big(\frac{\pi^2}{t}, \frac{\pi}{t}z\Big) + \frac{z}{t}. \tag{2.10}$$

Since $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I$ have period $2\pi$,

$$\widehat{\mathbf{H}}(t, z+2kt) = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}(t,z) + 2k, \quad \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(t, z+2kt) = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(t,z) + 2k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.11}$$

From the identities for $\theta$ in [1] or formula (3) in [26], we see $\mathbf{H}(t,z) = i\frac{\pi}{t}\mathbf{H}(\frac{\pi^2}{t}, i\frac{\pi}{t}z) - \frac{z}{t}$. So

$$\widehat{\mathbf{H}}(t,z) = -i\mathbf{H}(t, -iz) = \mathrm{P.\,V.}\sum_{2|n} \coth_2(z - nt). \tag{2.12}$$

Since $\mathbf{H}_I(t,z) = \mathbf{H}(t, z+it) + i$,

$$\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(t,z) = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}(t, z+\pi i) = \mathrm{P.\,V.}\sum_{2|n} \tanh_2(z - nt). \tag{2.13}$$

From (2.9) and (2.10) we may check that

$$-\partial_t\widehat{\mathbf{H}} = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}'' + \widehat{\mathbf{H}}'\widehat{\mathbf{H}}, \quad -\partial_t\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I'' + \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I'\mathbf{H}_I. \tag{2.14}$$

From (2.12) and (2.13) we see that $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}(t,\cdot) \to \coth_2$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(t,\cdot) \to \tanh_2$ as $t \to \infty$.

From (2.5) we see that as $t \to \infty$, $\mathbf{H}_I(t,z) \to 0$, so its derivatives about $z$ also tend to 0. The following lemma gives some estimations of these limits.

**Lemma 2.1** *If $|\operatorname{Im} z| < t$, then*

$$|\mathbf{H}_I(t,z)| \le \frac{4e^{|\operatorname{Im} z| - t}}{(1 - e^{|\operatorname{Im} z| - t})^2(1 - e^{2(|\operatorname{Im} z| - t)})}. \tag{2.15}$$

*If $t \ge |\operatorname{Im} z| + 2$, then $|\mathbf{H}_I(t,z)| < 5.5e^{|\operatorname{Im} z| - t}$. For any $h \in \mathbb{N}$, if $t \ge |\operatorname{Im} z| + h + 2$, then $|\mathbf{H}_I^{(h)}(t,z)| < 15\sqrt{h}e^{|\operatorname{Im} z| - t}$.*

**Proof.** From (2.5), if $|\operatorname{Im} z| < t$, then

$$|\mathbf{H}_I(t,z)| = \Bigg|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{e^{(2k+1)t} + e^{iz}}{e^{(2k+1)t} - e^{iz}} + \frac{e^{-(2k+1)t} + e^{iz}}{e^{-(2k+1)t} - e^{iz}}\Big)\Bigg|$$

$$= \Bigg|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{2\sin(z)}{\cosh((2k+1)t) - \cos(z)}\Bigg| \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{2e^{|\operatorname{Im} z|}}{\cosh((2k+1)t) - \cosh(|\operatorname{Im} z|)}. \tag{2.16}$$

Here we use the facts that $|\sin(z)| \le e^{|\operatorname{Im} z|}$ and $|\cos(z)| \le \cosh(|\operatorname{Im} z|) < \cosh(t)$. Let $h_0 = t - |\operatorname{Im} z| > 0$. Then for $k \ge 0$,

$$\cosh((2k+1)t) - \cosh(|\operatorname{Im} z|) = 2\sinh_2((2k+1)t + |\operatorname{Im} z|)\sinh_2((2k+1)t - |\operatorname{Im} z|)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}e^{((2k+1)t+|\operatorname{Im} z|)/2}(1-e^{-(2k+1)t-|\operatorname{Im} z|})e^{((2k+1)t-|\operatorname{Im} z|)/2}(1-e^{-(2k+1)t+|\operatorname{Im} z|})$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}e^{((2k+1)t+|\operatorname{Im} z|)/2}e^{((2k+1)t-|\operatorname{Im} z|)/2}(1-e^{-h_0})^2 = \frac{1}{2}e^{(2k+1)t}(1-e^{-h_0})^2.$$

So the RHS of (2.16) is not bigger than

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{4e^{|\operatorname{Im} z|}e^{-(2k+1)t}}{(1-e^{-h_0})^2} = \frac{4e^{|\operatorname{Im} z|-t}}{(1-e^{-h_0})^2(1-e^{-2t})} \leq \frac{4e^{-h_0}}{(1-e^{-h_0})^2(1-e^{-2h_0})}.$$

So we proved (2.15).

If $t \geq |\operatorname{Im} z| + 2$, then $4/((1-e^{|\operatorname{Im} z|-t})^2(1-e^{2(|\operatorname{Im} z|-t)})) \leq 4/((1-e^{-2})^2(1-e^{-4})) < 5.5$. From (2.15) we have $|\mathbf{H}_I(t,z)| < 5.5e^{|\operatorname{Im} z|-t}$. Now we assume $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \geq |\operatorname{Im} z| + h + 2$. Then for any $w \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|w-z| = h$, we have $t \geq |\operatorname{Im} w| + 2$, so $|\mathbf{H}_I(t,w)| < 5.5e^{|\operatorname{Im} w|-t} \leq 5.5e^h e^{|\operatorname{Im} z|-t}$. From Cauchy's integral formula and Stirling's formula, we have

$$|\mathbf{H}_I^{(h)}(t,z)| \leq 5.5\frac{h!e^h}{h^h}e^{|\operatorname{Im} z|-t} \leq 5.5\sqrt{2\pi h}e^{1/(12h)}e^{|\operatorname{Im} z|-t} < 15\sqrt{h}e^{|\operatorname{Im} z|-t}. \quad \square$$

# 3 Loewner Equations

## 3.1 Hulls and Loewner chains

Hulls and Loewner chains can be defined in any finitely connected plane domains (c.f. [27]). Here we only need them to be defined in simply or doubly connected domains. Throughout this paper, a simply connected domain is a plane domain that is conformally equivalent to $\mathbb{D}$ or $\mathbb{H}$; and a doubly connected domain is a plane domain that is conformally equivalent to some $\mathbb{A}_p$, so its modulus is finite. A relatively closed subset $H$ of a simply connected domain $D$ is called a hull in $D$ if $D \setminus H$ is also simply connected. If in addition, $z_0 \in D \setminus H$, then we say that $H$ is a hull in $D$ w.r.t. $z_0$. If $D$ is a doubly connected domain and $C$ is a boundary component, a relatively closed subset $H$ of $D$ is called a hull in $D$ w.r.t $C$ if $D \setminus H$ is a doubly connected domain that contains a neighborhood of $C$ in $D$. In this case, $C$ is also a boundary component of $D \setminus H$.

If $H$ is a hull in a simply connected domain $D$ w.r.t. $z_0 \in D$, then from Riemann mapping theorem, there is a function $g$ that maps $D \setminus H$ conformally onto $D$ and fixes $z_0$. Such $g$ is not unique, but $|g'(z_0)|$ is determined by $H$. Then $\ln(|g'(z_0)|)$ is called the capacity of $H$ in $D$ w.r.t $z_0$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{cap}_{D;z_0}(H)$. If $H$ is a hull in a doubly connected domain $D$, then $D \setminus H$ is also a doubly connected domain. The difference between these two moduli, i.e., $\operatorname{mod}(D) - \operatorname{mod}(D \setminus H)$, is called the capacity of $H$ in $D$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{cap}_D(H)$. In either of these two cases, the capacity of $H$ is always $\geq 0$, and the equality holds iff $H = \emptyset$; if $H_1 \subsetneqq H_2$ are two hulls then the capacity of $H_1$ is strictly less than the capacity of $H_2$. The following proposition connects the two different kinds of capacities.

**Proposition 3.1** *Suppose $z_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, $J$ is an open arc on $\mathbb{T}$ containing $z_0$, and $\Omega$ is a neighborhood of $J$ in $\mathbb{D}$, $j = 1, 2$. Let $W$ be a conformal map from $\Omega$ into $\mathbb{D}$, which satisfies that, if $z \to J$ in $\Omega$, then $W(z) \to \mathbb{T}$. From Schwarz reflection principle, $W$ extends conformally across $J$ and maps $J$ into $\mathbb{T}$. Especially, $W$ is analytic at $z_0$. For any $p, p_1, p_2 > 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{H \to z_0} \frac{\operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_p}(W(H))}{\operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{D};0}(H)} = |W'(z_0)|^2. \tag{3.1}$$

$$\lim_{H \to z_0} \frac{\operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_{p_2}}(W(H))}{\operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_{p_1}}(H)} = |W'(z_0)|^2. \tag{3.2}$$

*Here $H \to z_0$ means that $H$ is a nonempty hull in $\mathbb{D}$ w.r.t. 0, and* $\operatorname{diam}(H \cup \{z_0\}) \to 0$.

**Proof.** (3.1) is Lemma 2.1 in [25], and (3.2) follows easily from (3.1). $\square$

The idea of Loewner chain was introduced in [16]. A Loewner chain in a simply or doubly connected domain $D$ is a family of hulls in $D$, say $L(t)$, $0 \le t < T$, where $T \in (0, \infty]$, such that (i) $L(0) = \emptyset$; (ii) $L(t_1) \subsetneqq L(t_2)$ if $t_1 < t_2$; and (iii) for any fixed $t_0 \in [0, T)$ and any fixed compact set $F \subset D \setminus L(t_0)$ with $\operatorname{diam}(F) > 0$, the extremal length (c.f. [2]) of the family of curves in $D \setminus L(t)$ that disconnect $L(t + \varepsilon) \setminus L(t)$ from $F$ tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, uniformly in $t \in [0, t_0]$. Moreover, if $D$ is a simply connected domain, and each $L(t)$ is a hull in $D$ w.r.t. $z_0 \in D$, then $(L(t))$ is called a Loewner chain in $D$ w.r.t. $z_0$; if $D$ is a doubly connected domain with a boundary component $C$, and each $L(t)$ is a hull in $D$ w.r.t. $C$, then $(L(t))$ is called a Loewner chain in $D$ w.r.t. $C$. Using conformal invariance of extremal length, one can easily see that Loewner chains are preserved by conformal maps.

Let $D$ be the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ or a simply connected domain. An interior hull in $D$ is a compact subset of $D$, say $H$, such that $\operatorname{diam}(H) > 0$ and $D \setminus H$ is connected. If $D = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, then $D \setminus H$ is simply connected; if $D$ is simply connected, then $D \setminus H$ is doubly connected, and $\partial D$ is a boundary component of $D \setminus H$. Let $z_0 \in D$. An interior Loewner chain in $D$ started from $z_0$ is a family of interior hulls in $D$, say $L(t)$, $-\infty < t < T$, where $T \in (-\infty, \infty]$, such that (i) $L(t_1) \subsetneqq L(t_2)$ if $t_1 < t_2$; (ii) $\bigcap_t L(t) = \{z_0\}$; and (iii) for any $t_0 \in (-\infty, T)$, $L(t_0 + t) \setminus L(t_0)$, $0 \le t < T - t_0$, is a Loewner chain in $D \setminus L(t_0)$.

Here are two simple examples. If $\beta(t)$, $0 \le t < T$, is a simple curve such that $\beta(0) \in \partial D$ and $\beta(t) \in D$ for $t \in (0, T)$, then $K(t) := \beta((0, t])$, $0 \le t < T$, is a Loewner chain in $D$. If $\beta(t)$, $-\infty \le t < T$, is a simple curve in $D$, then $K(t) := \beta([-\infty, t])$, $-\infty < t < T$, is an interior Loewner chain in $D$ started from $\beta(0)$.

### 3.2 Radial Loewner equation

For $T \in (0, \infty]$ and $\xi \in C([0, T))$, the radial Loewner equation driven by $\xi$ is

$$\partial_t g(t, z) = g(t, z) \, \frac{e^{i\xi(t)} + g(t, z)}{e^{i\xi(t)} - g(t, z)}, \quad g(0, z) = z. \tag{3.3}$$

For $0 \le t < T$, let $K(t)$ be the set of $z \in \mathbb{D}$ such that the solution $g(s,z)$ blows up before or at time $t$. We call $K(t)$ and $g(t,\cdot)$, $0 \le t < T$, the radial Loewner hulls and maps, respectively, driven by $\xi$. The following proposition is the main theorem in [16].

**Proposition 3.2** *Suppose $K(t)$ and $g(t,\cdot)$, $0 \le t < T$, are the radial Loewner hulls and maps, respectively, driven by $\xi \in C([0,T))$. Then $K(t)$, $0 \le t < T$, is a Loewner chain in $\mathbb{D}$ w.r.t. 0. For every $t \in [0,T)$, $g(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{D} \setminus K(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{D}$ with $g(t,0)=0$ and $g'(t,0)=e^t$, so $\operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{D};0}(K(t)) = t$. Moreover, for every $t \in [0,T)$,*

$$\{e^{i\xi(t)}\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in (0,T-t)} \overline{g(t, K(t+\varepsilon) \setminus K(t))}.$$

For $\xi \in C([0,T))$, the covering radial Loewner equation driven by $\xi$ is

$$\partial_t \widetilde{g}(t,z) = \cot_2(\widetilde{g}(t,z) - \xi(t)), \quad \widetilde{g}(0,z) = z. \tag{3.4}$$

For $0 \le t < T$, let $\widetilde{K}(t)$ be the set of $z \in \mathbb{H}$ such that the solution $\widetilde{g}(s,z)$ blows up before or at time $t$. We call $\widetilde{K}(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)$, $0 \le t < T$, the covering radial Loewner hulls and maps, respectively, driven by $\xi$. And we have $\widetilde{K}(t) = (e^i)^{-1}(K(t))$ and $e^i \circ \widetilde{g}(t,\cdot) = g(t,\cdot) \circ e^i$ for $0 \le t < T$. Throughout this paper, we will use tilde to denote the covering Loewner maps or hulls.

Let $\kappa \ge 0$. Then the radial Loewner hulls $K(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, driven by $\xi(t) = \sqrt{\kappa}B(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, are called the standard radial SLE$_\kappa$ hulls. Let $g(t,\cdot)$ be the corresponding radial Loewner maps. From the existence of the chordal SLE$_\kappa$ trace ([18]) and the equivalence between chordal SLE$_\kappa$ and radial SLE$_\kappa$ ([13]) we know that almost surely

$$\beta(t) := \lim_{\mathbb{D} \ni z \to e^{i\xi(t)}} g(t,\cdot)^{-1}(z)$$

exists for every $0 \le t < \infty$, and that $\beta(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, is a continuous curve in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ started from $\beta(0) = 1$. Moreover, if $\kappa \in [0,4]$ then $\beta$ is simple, lies in $\mathbb{D}$ for $t > 0$, and $K(t) = \beta((0,t])$ for all $t \ge 0$; if $\kappa > 4$ then $\beta$ is not simple, and $K(t)$ is the complement in $\mathbb{D}$ of the connected component of $\mathbb{D} \setminus \beta((0,t])$ that contains 0. Such $\beta$ is called a standard radial SLE$_\kappa$ trace. It is believed that a.s. $\lim_{t\to\infty} \beta(t) = 0$.

The radial SLE in a general simply connected domain is defined by a conformal map. Suppose $D$ is a simply connected domain, $a$ is a boundary point or prime end, and $b$ is an interior point. Then there is $W$ that maps $\mathbb{D}$ conformally onto $D$ with $W(1) = a$ and $W(0) = b$. Let $K(t)$ and $\beta(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, be the standard radial SLE$_\kappa$ hulls and trace. Then $W(K(t))$ and $W(\beta(t))$, $0 \le t < \infty$, are called the radial SLE$_\kappa$ hulls and trace in $D$ from $a$ to $b$.

## 3.3 Whole-plane Loewner equation

The whole-plane Loewner equation generates an interior Loewner chain in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ started from 0. The following proposition is a special case of Proposition 4.21 in [10].

**Proposition 3.3** *Suppose $\xi \in C((-\infty, T))$ for some $T \in (-\infty, \infty]$. Then there are an interior Loewner chain $K_I(t)$, $-\infty < t < T$, in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ started from 0, and a family of maps $g_I(t, \cdot)$, $-\infty < t < T$, such that $g_I(t, \cdot)$ maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_I(t)$ conformally onto $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, fixes $\infty$, and satisfies (3.3) for $t \in (-\infty, T)$ and the following initial value at $-\infty$:*

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} e^t g_I(t, z) = z, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$$

*Moreover, for any $t \in (-\infty, T)$, $K_I(t)$ and $g_I(t, \cdot)$ are determined by $e^{i\xi(s)}$, $-\infty < s \le t$. We call $K_I(t)$ and $g_I(t, \cdot)$, $-\infty < t < T$, the whole-plane Loewner hulls and maps driven by $\xi$.*

For $\kappa \ge 0$, let $\xi(t)$ be a pre-$(\mathbb{T}; \kappa)$-Brownian motion. The whole-plane Loewner hulls, $K_I(t)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, driven by $\xi(t)$, are called the standard whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ hulls. Let $g_I(t, \cdot)$ be the corresponding whole-plane Loewner maps. Recall that $g_I(t, \cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{C} \setminus K_I(t)$ conformally onto $\{|z| > 1\}$. It is known that a.s.

$$\beta_I(t) := \lim_{|z|>1, z \to e^{i\xi(t)}} g_I(t, \cdot)^{-1}(z) \tag{3.5}$$

exists for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, that $\beta_I(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a continuous curve in $\mathbb{C}$, and that $\lim_{t \to -\infty} \beta_I(t) = 0$. Such $\beta_I$ is called the standard whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ trace. If $\kappa \le 4$, $\beta_I$ is a simple curve, and $K_I(t) = \beta_I([-\infty, t])$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$; if $\kappa > 4$, $\beta_I$ is not simple, and $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_I(t)$ is the component of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \beta_I([-\infty, t])$ that contains $\infty$. Whole-plane SLE is related to radial SLE in the way that, if $T \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed, then conditioned on $K_I(t)$, $-\infty < t \le T$, the curve $\beta_I(T + t)$, $t \ge 0$, is the radial SLE$_\kappa$ trace in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_I(T)$ from $\beta_I(T)$ to $\infty$.

If $z_1 \ne z_2 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ are given, we may choose $W$ that maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ conformally itself with $W(0) = z_1$ and $W(\infty) = z_2$. Then we define $W(\beta_I(t))$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, to be the whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ trace in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from any $z_1$ to $z_2$. The definition up to a time-change does not depend on the choice of $W$.

In the above content, we use the subscript $I$ to emphasize that the whole-plane interior Loewner chain grows from 0. We will need the following inverted whole-plane Loewner chain, which grows from $\infty$. For $-\infty < t < T$, let $K(t) = I_0(K_I(t))$ and $g(t, \cdot) = I_0 \circ g_I(t, \cdot) \circ I_0$. Then $K(t)$, $-\infty < t < T$, is an interior Loewner chain in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ started from $\infty$. For each $t$, $g(t, \cdot)$ maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{D}$ and fixes 0. Moreover, $g(t, \cdot)$ satisfies (3.3) with some initial value at $-\infty$. We call $K(t)$ and $g(t, \cdot)$ the inverted whole-plane Loewner hulls and maps driven by $\xi$. From Proposition 3.2 and the relation between whole-plane Loewner equation and radial Loewner equation (c.f. [10]), it is easy to derive the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.4** *For any $t \in (-\infty, T)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, T - t)$, let $K_t(\varepsilon) = g(K(t + \varepsilon) \setminus K(t))$. Then $K_t(\varepsilon)$ is a hull in $\mathbb{D}$ w.r.t. 0, and $\operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{D};0}(K_t(\varepsilon)) = \varepsilon$. Moreover,*

$$\{e^{i\xi(t)}\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in (0, T-t)} \overline{K_t(\varepsilon)} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in (0, T-t)} \overline{g(t, K(t + \varepsilon) \setminus K(t))}.$$

Let $K_I(t)$ and $g_I(t,\cdot)$, $-\infty < t < T$, be as before. Let $\widetilde{K}_I(t) = (e^i)^{-1}(K_I(t))$, $-\infty < t < T$. It is easy to see that there exists a unique family $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$, $-\infty < t < T$, such that, $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{C} \setminus \widetilde{K}_I(t)$ conformally onto $-\mathbb{H}$, $e^i \circ \widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot) = g_I(t,\cdot) \circ e^i$, and $\widetilde{g}_I$ satisfies (3.4) for $t \in (-\infty, T)$ and the initial value at $-\infty$:

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty} (\widetilde{g}_I(t,z) - it) = z.$$

Then we call $\widetilde{K}_I(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$ the covering whole-plane Loewner hulls and maps driven by $\xi$.

For $-\infty < t < T$, let $\widetilde{K}(t) = \widetilde{I}_0(\widetilde{K}_I(t))$ and $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot) = \widetilde{I}_0 \circ \widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot) \circ \widetilde{I}_0$. Then $\widetilde{K}(t) = (e^i)^{-1}(K(t))$ and $e^i \circ \widetilde{g}(t,\cdot) = g(t,\cdot) \circ e^i$. We call $\widetilde{K}(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)$ the inverted covering whole-plane Loewner hulls and maps driven by $\xi$. Then for each $t \in (-\infty, T)$, $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{C} \setminus \widetilde{K}(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{H}$, and satisfies (3.4) for $t \in (-\infty, T)$ and the initial value at $-\infty$:

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty} (\widetilde{g}(t,z) + it) = z. \tag{3.6}$$

## 3.4 Annulus Loewner equation

The annulus Loewner equation was introduced in [25]. Fix $p \in (0,\infty)$. Let $\xi \in C([0,T))$ where $0 < T \le p$. The annulus Loewner equation of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi$ is

$$\partial_t g(t,z) = g(t,z)\mathbf{S}(p-t, g(t,z)/e^{i\xi(t)}), \quad g(0,z) = z. \tag{3.7}$$

For $0 \le t < T$, let $K(t)$ denote the set of $z \in \mathbb{A}_p$ such that the solution $g(s,z)$ blows up before or at time $t$. We call $K(t)$ and $g(t,\cdot)$, $0 \le t < T$, the annulus Loewner hulls and maps of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi$. The following proposition is Proposition 2.1 in [25].

**Proposition 3.5** *(i) Suppose $K(t)$ and $g(t,\cdot)$, $0 \le t < T$, are the annulus Loewner hulls and maps of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi \in C([0,T))$. Then $K(t)$, $0 \le t < T$, is a Loewner chain in $\mathbb{A}_p$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_p$. For every $t \in [0,T)$, $g(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{A}_p \setminus K(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{p-t}$ and maps $\mathbb{T}_p$ onto $\mathbb{T}_{p-t}$, so $\operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_p}(K(t)) = t$. Moreover, we have*

$$\{e^{i\xi(t)}\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in (0,T-t)} \overline{g(t, K(t+\varepsilon) \setminus K(t))}, \quad t \in [0,T).$$

*(ii) Let $K(t)$, $0 \le t < T$, be a Loewner chain in $\mathbb{A}_p$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_p$. Let $v(t) = \operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_p}(K(t))$, $0 \le t < T$. Then $v$ is a continuous increasing function that maps $[0,T)$ onto $[0,S)$ for some $S \in (0,p]$. Let $L(s) = K(v^{-1}(s))$, $0 \le s < S$. Then $L(s)$, $0 \le s < S$, are the annulus Loewner hulls of modulus $p$ driven by some $\zeta \in C([0,S))$.*

Let $t \in [0,T)$ and $\varepsilon \in [0, T-\varepsilon)$. Let $g_{t,\varepsilon} = g(t+\varepsilon,\cdot) \circ g(t,\cdot)^{-1}$. Then $g_{t,\varepsilon}$ maps $\mathbb{A}_{p-t} \setminus g(t, K(t+\varepsilon) \setminus K(t))$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{p-t-\varepsilon}$ and maps $\mathbb{T}_{p-t}$ onto $\mathbb{T}_{p-t-\varepsilon}$, so $g(t, K(t+\varepsilon) \setminus K(t))$ is a hull in $\mathbb{A}_{p-t}$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_{p-t}$, and

$$\operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_{p-t}}(g(t, K(t+\varepsilon) \setminus K(t))) = \varepsilon. \tag{3.8}$$

The covering annulus Loewner equation of modulus $p$ driven by the above $\xi$ is

$$\partial_t \widetilde{g}(t,z) = \mathbf{H}(p-t, \widetilde{g}(t,z) - \xi(t)), \quad \widetilde{g}(0,z) = z. \tag{3.9}$$

For $0 \le t < T$, let $\widetilde{K}(t)$ denote the set of $z \in \mathbb{S}_p$ such that the solution $\widetilde{g}(s,z)$ blows up before or at time $t$. Then for $0 \le t < T$, $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{S}_p \setminus \widetilde{K}(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{S}_{p-t}$ and maps $\mathbb{R}_p$ onto $\mathbb{R}_{p-t}$. We call $\widetilde{K}(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)$, $0 \le t < T$, the covering annulus Loewner hulls and maps of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi$. Let $K(t)$ and $g(t,\cdot)$ be the notations appeared above. Then we have $\widetilde{K}(t) = (e^i)^{-1}(K(t))$ and $e^i \circ \widetilde{g}(t,\cdot) = g(t,\cdot) \circ e^i$ for $0 \le t < T$.

Note that if $p = \infty$ in (3.7) and (3.9), then we get (3.3) and (3.4). So we may view the radial Loewner equation as a limit of annulus Loewner equations.

Let $K_I(t) = I_p(K(t))$, $g_I(t,\cdot) = I_{p-t} \circ g(t,\cdot) \circ I_p$, $\widetilde{K}_I(t,) = \widetilde{I}_p(\widetilde{K}(t))$, and $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot) = \widetilde{I}_{p-t} \circ \widetilde{g}(t,\cdot) \circ \widetilde{I}_p$. Then $K_I(t)$ is a hull in $\mathbb{A}_p$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}$, and $\operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_p}(K_I(t)) = t$; and $g_I(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{A}_p \setminus K_I(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{p-t}$ and maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$. Moreover, $\widetilde{K}_I(t) = (e^i)^{-1}(K_I(t))$, $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{S}_p \setminus \widetilde{K}_I(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{S}_{p-t}$, maps $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$, satisfies $e^i \circ \widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot) = g_I(t,\cdot) \circ e^i$, and the equation

$$\partial_t \widetilde{g}_I(t,z) = \mathbf{H}_I(p-t, \widetilde{g}_I(t,z) - \xi(t)), \quad \widetilde{g}(0,z) = z. \tag{3.10}$$

We call $K_I(t)$ and $g_I(t,\cdot)$ (resp. $\widetilde{K}_I(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$) the inverted annulus (resp. inverted covering annulus) Loewner hulls and maps of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi$.

From (3.7), we see that if $\xi_0 \in C([0,T))$ satisfies $e^{i\xi_0(t)} = e^{i\xi(t)}$ for $0 \le t < T$, then the annulus Loewner maps and hulls of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi_0$ agree with those driven by $\xi$. This is also true for the covering annulus Loewner maps and hulls because the $\mathbf{H}$ in (3.9) has period $2\pi$. Similar results hold true for inverted and inverted covering annulus Loewner objects.

For $\kappa \ge 0$, the annulus Loewner hulls $K(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi(t) = \sqrt{\kappa} B(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, are called the standard annulus $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ hulls of modulus $p$. Let $g(t,\cdot)$ be the corresponding annulus Loewner maps. It is known (c.f. [25]) that the standard annulus $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ process is locally equivalent to the standard radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ process. So a.s.

$$\beta(t) := \lim_{\mathbb{A}_{p-t} \ni z \to e^{i\xi(t)}} g(t,\cdot)^{-1}(z) \tag{3.11}$$

exists for $0 \le t < p$, and $\beta(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, is a continuous curve in $\mathbb{A}_p \cup \mathbb{T}$. If $\kappa \le 4$, $\beta$ is a simple curve and intersects $\mathbb{T}$ only at $\beta(0) = e^i(\xi(0))$, and $K(t) = \beta((0,t])$ for $0 \le t < p$. If $\kappa > 4$, $\beta$ is not simple, and for any $0 \le t < p$, $\mathbb{A}_p \setminus K(t)$ is the connected component of $\mathbb{A}_p \setminus \beta((0,t])$ that contains a neighborhood of $\mathbb{T}_p$ in $\mathbb{A}_p$. We call such $\beta$ the standard annulus $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ trace of modulus $p$. If $\xi(t)$, $0 \le t < T$, is a semi-martingale with $\langle \xi \rangle_t = \kappa t$, then from Girsanov theorem, the $\beta(t)$, $0 \le t < T$, defined by (3.11) a.s. exists and is a continuous curve in $\mathbb{A}_p \cup \mathbb{T}$. It has the same property as the standard annulus $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ trace of modulus $p$ described above and is called the annulus Loewner trace of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi$. Suppose $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)$ and $\widetilde{K}(t)$, $0 \le t < T$, are the covering annulus Loewner maps and hulls of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi$. Then a.s.

$$\widetilde{\beta}(t) := \lim_{\mathbb{S}_{p-t} \ni z \to \xi(t)} \widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)^{-1}(z) \tag{3.12}$$

exists for $0 \le t < p$, and $\widetilde{\beta}(t)$, $0 \le t < T$, is a continuous curve in $\mathbb{S}_p \cup \mathbb{R}$ started from $\widetilde{\beta}(0) = \xi(0)$. And for any $0 \le t < p$, $\mathbb{S}_p \setminus \widetilde{K}(t)$ is the connected component of $\mathbb{S}_p \setminus (\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} 2m\pi + \widetilde{\beta}((0,t]))$ that contains a neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}_p$ in $\mathbb{S}_p$. If $\kappa \in (0,4]$, $\widetilde{\beta}$ is a simple curve and intersects $\mathbb{R}$ only at $\widetilde{\beta}(0) = \xi(0)$, and $\widetilde{K}(t)$ is the disjoint union of $2m\pi + \widetilde{\beta}((0,t])$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, for any $t \in [0,T)$. Such $\widetilde{\beta}$ is called the covering annulus Loewner trace of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi$. And we have $\beta(t) = e^i(\widetilde{\beta}(t))$, $0 \le t < T$

### 3.5 Disc Loewner equation

The disc Loewner equation generates an interior Loewner chain in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0. The following proposition is a slight modification of Proposition 4.1 and 4.2 in [25].

**Proposition 3.6** *(i) Let $\xi \in C((-\infty,T))$ for some $T \in (-\infty,0]$. Then there are an interior Loewner chain $K_I(t)$, $-\infty < t < T$, in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0, and a family of maps $g_I(t,\cdot)$, $-\infty < t < T$, $-\infty < t < T$, such that,* $\operatorname{mod}(\mathbb{D} \setminus K(t)) = -t$, *$g_I(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{D} \setminus K(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{-t}$, maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$, and satisfies:*

$$\partial_t g_I(t,z) = g_I(t,z)\mathbf{S}_I(-t, g_I(t,z)/e^{i\xi(t)}), \quad -\infty < t < T; \tag{3.13}$$

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty} g_I(t,z) = z, \quad \forall z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{0\}.$$

*Moreover, for any $t \in (-\infty,T)$, $K_I(t)$ and $g_I(t,\cdot)$ are determined by $e^{i\xi(s)}$, $-\infty < s \le t$. We call $K_I(t)$ and $g_I(t,\cdot)$, $-\infty < t < T$, the disc Loewner hulls and maps driven by $\xi$.*

*(ii) Suppose $K_I(t)$, $-\infty < t < T$, is an interior Loewner chain in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0. Let* $v(t) = -\operatorname{mod}(\mathbb{D} \setminus K(t))$. *Then $v$ is continuous and increasing on $(-\infty,T)$ and maps $(-\infty,T)$ onto $(-\infty,S)$ for some $S \in (-\infty,0]$. Let $L_I(s) = K_I(v^{-1}(s))$, $-\infty < s < S$. Then $L_I(s)$, $-\infty < s < S$, are the disc Loewner hulls driven by some $\zeta \in C((-\infty,S))$.*

Here we also use the subscript $I$ to emphasize that a disc interior Loewner chain grows from 0. We will need the following inverted disc Loewner chain, which grows from $\infty$. For $-\infty < t < T$, let $K(t) = I_0(K_I(t))$ and $g(t,\cdot) = I_{-t} \circ g(t,\cdot) \circ I_0$. Then $K(t)$, $-\infty < t < T$, is an interior Loewner chain in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ started from $\infty$. For each $t$, $g(t,\cdot)$ maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus K(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{-t}$ and maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}_{-t}$. Moreover, $g(t,\cdot)$ satisfies (3.13) with $\mathbf{S}_I$ replaced by $\mathbf{S}$. We call $K(t)$ and $g(t,\cdot)$, $-\infty < t < T$, the inverted disc Loewner hulls and maps driven by $\xi$. It is easy to derive the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.7** *For any $t \in (-\infty,T)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0,T-t)$, let $K_t(\varepsilon) = g(t, K(t+\varepsilon) \setminus K(t))$. Then $K_t(\varepsilon)$ is a hull in $\mathbb{A}_{-t}$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_{-t}$, and $\operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_{-t}}(K_t(\varepsilon)) = \varepsilon$. Moreover,*

$$\{e^{i\xi(t)}\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon\in(0,T-t)} \overline{K_t(\varepsilon)} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon\in(0,T-t)} \overline{g(t, K(t+\varepsilon) \setminus K(t))}.$$

The covering disc Loewner hulls and maps are defined as follows. Let $\widetilde{K}_I(t)=(e^i)^{-1}(K_I(t))$, $-\infty<t<T$. Suppose $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$, $-\infty<t<T$, satisfy that, $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{H}\setminus\widetilde{K}_I(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{S}_{-t}$ and maps $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$, $e^i\circ\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)=g_I(t,\cdot)\circ e^i$, and the following hold:

$$\partial_t\widetilde{g}_I(t,z)=\mathbf{H}_I(-t,\widetilde{g}_I(t,z)-\xi(t)); \tag{3.14}$$

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty}\widetilde{g}_I(t,z)=z. \tag{3.15}$$

Such family of $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$ exists and is unique. This follows from the same argument used to show the existence and uniqueness of the covering whole-plane Loewner maps. Moreover, we see that for any $t\in(-\infty,T)$, $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$ is determined by $e^{i\xi(s)}$, $-\infty<s\le t$. We call $\widetilde{K}_I(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$ the covering disc Loewner hulls and maps driven by $\xi$. Let $\widetilde{K}(t)=\widetilde{I}_0(\widetilde{K}_I(t))$ and $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)=\widetilde{I}_{-t}\circ\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{I}_0$. Then $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)$ maps $-\mathbb{H}\setminus\widetilde{K}(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{S}_{-t}$, maps $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}_{-t}$, $e^i\circ\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)=g(t,\cdot)\circ e^i$, and satisfies

$$\partial_t\widetilde{g}(t,z)=\mathbf{H}(-t,\widetilde{g}(t,z)-\xi(t)). \tag{3.16}$$

We call $\widetilde{K}(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}(t)$ the inverted covering disc Loewner hulls and maps driven by $\xi$.

### 3.6 Rescaled covering annulus Loewner equation

Fix $\widehat{T}\in(0,\infty]$, $\widehat{\xi}\in C([0,T))$, and $\widehat{p}\in(0,\infty)$. Consider the following differential equation:

$$\partial_t\widehat{g}(t,z)=\widehat{\mathbf{H}}(\widehat{p}+t,\widehat{g}(t,z)-\widehat{\xi}(t)),\quad \widehat{g}(0,z)=z.$$

For each $t\in[0,T)$, let $\widehat{K}(t)$ denote the set of $z\in\mathbb{S}_\pi$ such that the solution $\widehat{g}(s,z)$ blows up before or at time $t$. Then for each $t$, $\widehat{K}(t)$ has period $2(\widehat{p}+t)$, $\widehat{g}(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{S}_\pi\setminus K(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{S}_\pi$ and maps $\mathbb{R}_\pi$ onto $R_\pi$. Such $\widehat{g}(t,\cdot)$ and $\widehat{K}(t)$, $0\le t<\widehat{T}$, are called the rescaled covering annulus Loewner maps and hulls of modulus $\widehat{p}$ driven by $\widehat{\xi}$.

The relation between the rescaled covering annulus Loewner equation and the covering annulus Loewner equation is as follows. Suppose now $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)$ and $\widetilde{K}(t)$, $0\le t<T$, are the covering annulus Loewner maps and hulls of modulus $p\in(0,\infty)$ driven by $\xi\in C([0,p))$. Let $\widehat{p}=\pi^2/p\in(0,\infty)$ and $\widehat{T}=\pi^2/(p-T)-\widehat{p}\in(0,\infty]$. For $0\le t<\widehat{T}$, let

$$\widehat{\xi}(t)=\frac{\widehat{p}+t}{\pi}\cdot\xi\Big(p-\frac{\pi^2}{\widehat{p}+t}\Big)-\int_0^t\frac{1}{\pi}\cdot\xi\Big(p-\frac{\pi^2}{\widehat{p}+s}\Big)ds, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\widehat{g}(t,z)=\frac{\widehat{p}+t}{\pi}\cdot\widetilde{g}\Big(p-\frac{\pi^2}{\widehat{p}+t},\frac{p}{\pi}z\Big)-\int_0^t\frac{1}{\pi}\cdot\xi\Big(p-\frac{\pi^2}{\widehat{p}+s}\Big)ds, \tag{3.18}$$

$$\widehat{K}(t)=\frac{\pi}{p}\cdot\widetilde{K}\Big(p-\frac{\pi^2}{\widehat{p}+t}\Big). \tag{3.19}$$

Then $\widehat{K}(0)=\emptyset$ and $\widehat{g}(0,\cdot)=\mathrm{id}$; each $\widehat{K}(t)$ is a subset of $\mathbb{S}_\pi$ with period $2(\widehat{p}+t)$; and each $\widehat{g}(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{S}_\pi\setminus\widehat{K}(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{S}_\pi$ and maps $\mathbb{R}_\pi$ onto $\mathbb{R}_\pi$. From (2.10) and (3.9), we have

$$\partial_t\widehat{g}(t,z)=\widehat{\mathbf{H}}(\widehat{p}+t,\widehat{g}(t,z)-\widehat{\xi}(t)),\quad 0\le t<\widehat{T}.$$

Thus, $\widehat{g}(t,\cdot)$ and $\widehat{K}(t)$, $0\le t<\widehat{T}$, are the rescaled covering annulus Loewner maps and hulls of modulus $\widehat{p}$ driven by $\widehat{\xi}$.

Let $\widehat{p}\in(0,\infty)$ and $\widehat{T}\in(0,\infty]$. Suppose $\widehat{\xi}(t)$, $0\le t<\widehat{T}$, is a semi-martingale with $\langle\widehat{\xi}\rangle_t=\kappa t$. Let $\widehat{g}(t,\cdot)$ and $\widehat{K}(t)$, $0\le t<\widehat{T}$, be the rescaled covering annulus Loewner maps and hulls of modulus $\widehat{p}$ driven by $\widehat{\xi}$. Let $p=\pi^2/\widehat{p}\in(0,\infty)$ and $T=p-\pi^2/(\widehat{p}+\widehat{T})\in(0,p]$. Let

$$\xi(t)=\frac{p-t}{\pi}\cdot\widehat{\xi}\Big(\frac{\pi^2}{p-t}-\widehat{p}\Big)+\int_0^t\frac{1}{\pi}\cdot\widehat{\xi}\Big(\frac{\pi^2}{p-s}-\widehat{p}\Big)ds,\quad 0\le t<T.$$

Then $\xi(t)$, $0\le t<T$, is also a semi-martingale with $\langle\xi\rangle_t=\kappa t$. Let $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)$ and $\widetilde{K}(t)$, $0\le t<T$, be the covering annulus Loewner maps and hulls of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi$. The $\widetilde{\beta}$ defined by (3.12) a.s. exists and is a continuous curve in $\mathbb{S}_p\cup\mathbb{R}$. It is straightforward to check that (3.17), (3.18), and (3.19) hold for $0\le t<\widehat{T}$. So a.s. $\widehat{\beta}(t)$, $0\le t<\widehat{T}$, is well defined by

$$\widehat{\beta}(t):=\lim_{\mathbb{S}_\pi\ni z\to\widehat{\xi}(t)}\widehat{g}(t,\cdot)^{-1}(z);\tag{3.20}$$

and we have

$$\widehat{\beta}(t)=\frac{\pi}{p}\widetilde{\beta}\Big(p-\frac{\pi^2}{\widehat{p}+t}\Big),\quad 0\le t<\widehat{T}.\tag{3.21}$$

From the property of $\widetilde{\beta}$, we see that $\widehat{\beta}$ is a continuous curve in $\mathbb{S}_\pi\cup\mathbb{R}$, and for every $t\in[0,\widehat{T})$, $\mathbb{S}_\pi\setminus\widehat{K}(t)$ is the connected component of $\mathbb{S}_\pi\setminus(\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}2mr+\widehat{\beta}((0,t]))$ that contains a neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}_\pi$. If $\kappa\in(0,4]$ then $\widehat{\beta}$ is a simple curve and intersects $\mathbb{R}$ only at $\widehat{\beta}(0)=\widehat{\xi}(0)$, and for any $0\le t<\widehat{T}$, $\widehat{K}(t)$ is the disjoint union of $\widehat{\beta}((0,t])+2m\widehat{p}$, $m\in\mathbb{Z}$. Such $\widehat{\beta}$ is called the rescaled covering annulus Loewner trace of modulus $\widehat{p}$ driven by $\widehat{\xi}$.

### 3.7 Strip Loewner equation

Let $\xi\in C([0,T))$ for some $T\in(0,\infty]$. The dipolar or strip Loewner equation (c.f. [4][24]) driven by $\xi$ is

$$\partial_t g(t,z)=\coth_2(g(t,z)-\xi(t)),\quad g(0,z)=z.$$

Note that this equation can be viewed as the rescaled annulus Loewner equation with the modulus $\widehat{p}=\infty$. For $0\le t<T$, let $K(t)$ be the set of $z\in\mathbb{S}_\pi$ such that the solution $g(s,z)$ blows up before or at time $t$. Then $g(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{S}_\pi\setminus K(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{S}_\pi$, maps $\mathbb{R}_\pi$ onto itself, and fixes $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. We call $K(t)$ and $g(t,\cdot)$, $0\le t<p$, the strip Loewner hulls and maps driven by $\xi$.

Let $\kappa>0$. If $\xi(t)$, $0\le t<T$, is a local martingale with $\langle\xi\rangle_t=\kappa t$, then a.s.

$$\beta(t):=\lim_{\mathbb{S}_\pi\ni z\to\xi(t)}g(t,\cdot)^{-1}(\xi(t))\tag{3.22}$$

exists for $0\le t<T$, and $\beta$ is a continuous curve in $\mathbb{S}_\pi\cup\mathbb{R}$ started from $\xi(0)$. For every $0\le t<T$, $\mathbb{S}_\pi\setminus K(t)$ is the unbounded connected component of $\mathbb{S}_\pi\setminus\beta((0,t])$. Such $\beta$ is called the strip Loewner trace driven by $\xi$.

# 4 SLE with Marked Points

## 4.1 Annulus SLE processes with one marked point

**Definition 4.1** *A covering crossing annulus drift function is a real valued $C^{0,1}$ differentiable function defined on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$. A covering chordal-type annulus drift function is a real valued $C^{0,1}$ differentiable function defined on $(0,\infty)\times(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{2n\pi:n\in\mathbb{N}\})$. Suppose $\Lambda$ is a covering crossing or covering chordal-type annulus drift function. Let $\Lambda_I(p,x)=-\Lambda(p,-x)$, then $\Lambda_I$ is called the dual function of $\Lambda$. If $\Lambda$ has period $2\pi$, then we may omit the word "covering", and call it a crossing or chordal-type annulus drift function.*

**Definition 4.2** *Suppose $\Lambda$ is a covering crossing annulus drift function. Let $\kappa\ge 0$, $p>0$, and $x_0,y_0\in\mathbb{R}$. Let $\xi(t)$, $0\le t<p$, be the maximal solution to the SDE*

$$d\xi(t)=\sqrt{\kappa}dB(t)+\Lambda(p-t,\xi(t)-\operatorname{Re}\widetilde{g}(t,y_0+pi))dt,\quad \xi(0)=x_0, \tag{4.1}$$

*where $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)$, $0\le t<p$, are the covering annulus Loewner maps of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi$. Then the family of the covering annulus Loewner hulls of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi$ is called the covering (crossing) annulus SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ process in $\mathbb{S}_p$ started from $x_0$ with marked point $y_0+pi$.*

**Definition 4.3** *Suppose $\Lambda$ is a crossing annulus drift function. Let $\kappa\ge 0$, $p>0$, $a\in\mathbb{T}$ and $b\in\mathbb{T}_p$. Choose $x_0,y_0\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $a=e^{ix_0}$ and $b=e^{-p+iy_0}$. Let $\xi(t)$, $0\le t<p$, be the maximal solution to (4.1). The family of annulus Loewner hulls $K(t)$, $0\le t<p$, driven by $\xi(t)$, $0\le t<p$, is called the (crossing) annulus SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ process in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from $a$ with marked point $b$. If $T\le p$ is a stopping time, then the process $K(t)$, $0\le t<T$, is called a partial annulus SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ process.*

The above definition does not depend on the choices of $x_0$ and $y_0$ because $\Lambda$ has period $2\pi$, and for any $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, the annulus Loewner objects driven by $\xi(t)+2n\pi$ agree with those driven by $\xi(t)$.

Let $\kappa>0$. Since $\xi$ is a semi-martingale and $\langle\xi\rangle_t=\kappa t$, the $\widetilde{\beta}(t)$, $0\le t<T$, defined by (3.12) a.s. exists and is a continuous curve in $\mathbb{S}_p\cup\mathbb{R}$, and the $\beta(t)$, $0\le t<T$, defined by (3.11) a.s. exists and is a continuous curve in $\mathbb{A}_p\cup\mathbb{T}$. Such $\beta$ and $\widetilde{\beta}$ are called the corresponding annulus and covering annulus SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ trace.

If $\Lambda$ is a chordal-type annulus drift function, using the same idea, we may define the annulus SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ processes, where the initial point $a=e^{ix_0}$ and marked point $b=e^{iy_0}$ both lie on $\mathbb{T}$ and are distinct, so $y_0\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{x_0+2n\pi:n\in\mathbb{Z}\}$. The driving function $\xi$ is the solution to (4.1) with $\operatorname{Re}\widetilde{g}(t,y_0+pi)$ replaced by $\widetilde{g}(t,y_0)$.

Via conformal maps, we can then define annulus SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ process and trace in any doubly connected domain started from one boundary point with another boundary point being marked. Here $\Lambda$ is a chordal-type or crossing annulus drift function depending on whether or not the initial point and the marked point lie on the same boundary component. One can easily check that, if $W$ is a conjugate conformal map of $\mathbb{A}_p$, and $\Lambda_I$ is the dual function of $\Lambda$, then $(W(K(t)))$ is an annulus SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda_I)$ process in $W(\mathbb{A}_p)$ started from $W(a)$ with marked point $W(b)$.

### 4.2 Disc SLE processes with one marked point

**Definition 4.4** *Let $\kappa \ge 0$, $b \in \mathbb{T}$, and $\Lambda$ be a crossing annulus drift function. Choose $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $e^{iy_0} = b$. Let $B_*^{(\kappa)}(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a pre-$(\mathbb{T};\kappa)$-Brownian motion. Suppose $\xi(t)$, $-\infty < t < 0$, satisfies the following SDE with the meaning in Definition 2.2:*

$$d\xi(t) = dB_*^{(\kappa)}(t) + \Lambda(-t, \xi(t) - \widetilde{g}_I(t, y_0))dt, \quad -\infty < t < 0,$$

*where $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$ are the disc Loewner maps driven by $\xi$. Then we call the family of disc Loewner hulls $K_I(t)$, $-\infty < t < 0$, driven by $\xi$ the disc SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ process in $\mathbb{D}$ started from $0$ with marked point $b$.*

The disc SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ process is related to the annulus SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ process as follows. Fix $t_0 \in (-\infty, 0)$. Conditioned on $K_I(t)$, $-\infty < t \le t_0$, the family $I_{-t_0} \circ g_I(t_0, K_I(t_0+t) \setminus K_I(t_0))$, $0 \le t < -t_0$, is an annulus SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ process in $\mathbb{A}_{-t_0}$ started from $e^{i\xi(t_0)} \in \mathbb{T}$ with marked point $I_{-t_0}(g_I(t_0, b)) \in \mathbb{T}_{-t_0}$.

For $\kappa > 0$, from the existence of annulus SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ trace, it is easy to see that a.s.

$$\beta_I(t) := \lim_{\mathbb{A}_{-t} \ni z \to e^{t+i\xi(t)}} g_I(t,\cdot)^{-1}(z)$$

exists for every $t \in (-\infty, 0)$, and that $\beta_I(t)$, $-\infty < t < 0$, is a continuous curve in $\mathbb{D}$ with $\lim_{t\to-\infty} \beta_I(t) = 0$. Moreover, if $\kappa \in (0, 4]$ then $\beta_I$ is simple, and $K_I(t) = \beta_I([-\infty, t])$ for all $t < 0$; if $\kappa > 4$ then $\beta_I$ is not simple, and $K_I(t)$ is the complement in $\mathbb{D}$ of the connected component of $\mathbb{D} \setminus \beta_I([-\infty, t])$ that contains a neighborhood of $\mathbb{T}$. Such $\beta_I$ is called a disc SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ trace in $\mathbb{D}$ started from $0$ with marked point $b$.

Via conformal maps, we can define SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda)$ process in any simply connected domain started from an interior point with a marked boundary point.

## 5 Coupling of Two Annulus SLE Processes

In this section, we will prove the following theorem. Recall that $I_p(z) = e^{-p}/\overline{z}$.

**Theorem 5.1** *Let $\kappa \ge 0$. Suppose $\Lambda$ is a $C^{1,2}$ differentiable crossing annulus drift function that solves (1.1). Let $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda$ and $\Lambda_2$ be the dual function of $\Lambda$. Then for any $p > 0$, $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{T}$, there is a coupling of two processes: $K_1(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, and $K_2(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, such that for $j \ne k \in \{1,2\}$, the following hold.*

*(i) $K_j(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, is an annulus SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda_j)$ process in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from $a_j$ with marked point $a_{I,k} := I_p(a_k)$.*

*(ii) If $t_k < p$ is a stopping time w.r.t. $(K_k(t))$, then conditioned on $K_k(t)$, $0 \le t \le t_k$, after a time-change, $g_{I,k}(t_k, K_j(t))$, $0 \le t < T_j(t_k)$, is an annulus SLE$(\kappa,\Lambda_j)$ process in $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_k}$ started from $g_{I,k}(t_k, a_j) \in \mathbb{T}$ with marked point $I_{p-t_k}(e^i(\xi_k(t_k))) \in \mathbb{T}_{p-t_k}$, where $\xi_k$ is the*

*driving function for* $(K_k(t))$, $g_{I,k}(t,\cdot)$, $0\le t<p$, *are the inverted annulus Loewner maps driven by* $\xi_k$, *and* $T_j(t_k)$ *is the maximal number in* $(0,p]$ *such that* $K_j(t)\cap I_p(K_k(t_k))=\emptyset$ *for* $0\le t<T_j(t_k)$.

## 5.1 Transformations of PDE

We will postpone the proof of the case $\kappa=0$ to Section 5.6. From now on till the end of Section 5.5 we always assume that $\kappa>0$.

**Lemma 5.1** *(i) Suppose* $\Lambda$ *solves (1.1). Then there is a positive* $C^{1,2}$ *differentiable function* $\Gamma$ *defined on* $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$ *such that*

$$\Lambda=\kappa\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\partial_t\Gamma=\frac{\kappa}{2}\Gamma''+\mathbf{H}_I\Gamma'+\Big(\frac{3}{\kappa}-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\mathbf{H}_I'\Gamma. \tag{5.2}$$

*(ii) If* $\Gamma$ *is positive and satisfies (5.2), then the* $\Lambda$ *defined by (5.1) solves (1.1).*

**Proof.** (i) Define $\Gamma$ on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$ by $\Gamma(t,x)=\exp(\int_0^x\frac{1}{\kappa}\Lambda(t,y)dy)$. Then we have (5.1). So $\partial_t\Lambda=\kappa(\frac{\partial_t\Gamma}{\Gamma})'$. From (1.1) we have

$$\kappa\Big(\frac{\partial_t\Gamma}{\Gamma}\Big)'=\frac{\kappa}{2}\Lambda''+\Big(3-\frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\mathbf{H}_I''+(\Lambda\mathbf{H}_I)'+\frac{(\Lambda^2)'}{2}.$$

So for each $t\in(0,\infty)$ there is $C(t)\in\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\kappa\cdot\frac{\partial_t\Gamma}{\Gamma}=\frac{\kappa}{2}\Lambda'+\mathbf{H}_I\Lambda+\Big(3-\frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\mathbf{H}_I'+\frac{\Lambda^2}{2}+C(t).$$

We see that $C(t)$ is continuous. Since $\Lambda=\kappa\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}$, $\Lambda'=\kappa\frac{\Gamma''}{\Gamma}-\kappa(\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma})^2$. From the above formula, we have

$$\partial_t\Gamma=\frac{\kappa}{2}\Gamma''+\mathbf{H}_I\Gamma'+\Big(\frac{3}{\kappa}-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\mathbf{H}_I'\Gamma+\frac{1}{\kappa}C(t)\Gamma. \tag{5.3}$$

Now we replace $\Gamma(t,x)$ with $\Gamma(t,x)\exp(-\int_1^t\frac{1}{\kappa}C(s)ds)$. Then (5.1) and (5.2) both hold.

(ii) This statement is obvious from the computation in (i). □

**Remark.** From the proof of this lemma, we see that if $\Gamma$ is a positive or negative solution to (5.3), then $\Lambda$ defined by (5.1) solves (1.1). There is a similar result for (1.2). If $\kappa>0$, and $\Gamma$ is a positive or negative solution to

$$\partial_t\Gamma=\frac{\kappa}{2}\Gamma''+\mathbf{H}\Gamma'+\Big(\frac{3}{\kappa}-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\mathbf{H}'\Gamma+C(t)\Gamma \tag{5.4}$$

on $(0,\infty)\times(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{2n\pi:n\in\mathbb{Z}\})$, then the $\Lambda$ defined by (5.1) solves (1.2). On the other hand, if $\Lambda$ solves (1.2) then there is a positive solution $\Gamma$ to (5.4) with $C(t)\equiv0$ such that (5.1) holds.

**Lemma 5.2** *Let* $\sigma, s \in \mathbb{R}$. *Suppose* $\Gamma$, $\Gamma_s$, $\Psi$, *and* $\Psi_s$ *are functions defined on* $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$, *which satisfy* $\Psi = \Gamma\Theta_I^{\frac{2}{\kappa}}$, $\Psi_s = \Gamma_s\Theta_I^{\frac{2}{\kappa}}$, *and*

$$\Gamma_s(t,x) = e^{-\frac{sx}{\kappa}-\frac{s^2t}{2\kappa}}\Gamma(t,x). \tag{5.5}$$

*Then the following PDEs are equivalent:*

$$\partial_t\Gamma = \frac{\kappa}{2}\Gamma'' + \mathbf{H}_I\Gamma' + \Big(\sigma - \frac{1}{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2}\Big)\mathbf{H}_I'\Gamma; \tag{5.6}$$

$$\partial_t\Psi = \frac{\kappa}{2}\Psi'' + \sigma\mathbf{H}_I'\Psi; \tag{5.7}$$

$$\partial_t\Psi_s = \frac{\kappa}{2}\Psi_s'' + s\Psi_s' + \sigma\mathbf{H}_I'\Psi_s. \tag{5.8}$$

**Proof.** This follows from (2.6), (2.8), and some straightforward computations. □

**Remark.** When $\sigma = \frac{4}{\kappa} - 1$, (5.6) agrees with (5.2).

**Lemma 5.3** *Let* $\sigma, s \in \mathbb{R}$. *Suppose* $\Psi_s$ *is positive, has period* $2\pi$, *and solves (5.8) in* $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$. *Then* $\Psi_s(t,x) \to C$ *as* $t\to\infty$ *for some constant* $C > 0$, *uniformly in* $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

**Proof.** Fix $t_0 > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. For $0 \le t < t_0$, let $X_{x_0}(t) = x_0 + \sqrt{\kappa}B(t) + st$ and

$$M(t) = \Psi_s(t_0 - t, X_{x_0}(t))\exp\Big(\sigma\int_0^t \mathbf{H}_I'(t_0 - r, X_{x_0}(r))dr\Big).$$

From (5.8) and Itô's formula, $M(t)$, $0 \le t < t_0$, is a local martingale. Since $\Psi_s$ and $\mathbf{H}_I'$ are continuous on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$ and have period $2\pi$, we see that, for any $t_1 \in (0, t_0]$, $M(t)$, $0 \le t \le t_0 - t_1$, is uniformly bounded, so it is a bounded martingale. Thus,

$$\Psi_s(t_0,x_0) = M(0) = \mathbf{E}\Big[\Psi_s(t_1, X_{x_0}(t_0 - t_1))\exp\Big(\sigma\int_0^{t_0-t_1}\mathbf{H}_I'(t_0 - r, X_{x_0}(s))dr\Big)\Big]. \tag{5.9}$$

Now suppose $t_0 > t_1 \ge 3$. From Lemma 2.1, we see that,

$$\int_0^{t_0-t_1}|\mathbf{H}_I'(t_0 - r, X_{x_0}(s))|dr \le \int_0^{t_0-t_1} 15e^{r-t_0}dr \le 15e^{-t_1}. \tag{5.10}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $t_1 \ge 3$ such that $15\sigma e^{-t_1} < \varepsilon/3$. For $t \in [t_1,\infty)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\Psi_{s,t_1}(t,x) = \mathbf{E}\left[\Psi_s(t_1, X_x(t - t_1))\right].$$

As $t \to \infty$, the distribution of $e^i(X_x(t - t_1))$ tends to the uniform distribution on $\mathbb{T}$. Since $\Psi_s$ is positive, continuous, and has period $2\pi$, we see that $\Psi_{s,t_1}(t,x) \to \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\Psi_s(t_1,x)dx > 0$ as $t \to \infty$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $\lim_{t\to\infty}\ln(\Psi_{s,t_1})$ converges uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$. So there is $t_2 > t_1$ such that if $t_a, t_b \geq t_2$ and $x_a, x_b \in \mathbb{R}$, then $|\ln(\Psi_{t_1}(t_a, x_a)) - \ln(\Psi_{t_1}(t_b, x_b))| < \varepsilon/3$. From (5.9) and (5.10) we see that

$$|\ln(\Psi_s(t,x)) - \ln(\Psi_{s,t_1}(t,x))| \leq 15\sigma e^{-t_1} < \varepsilon/3, \quad t \geq t_1,\, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus, $|\ln(\Psi_s(t_a, x_a)) - \ln(\Psi_s(t_b, x_b))| < \varepsilon$ if $t_a, t_b \geq t_2$ and $x_a, x_b \in \mathbb{R}$. So $\lim_{t\to\infty} \ln(\Psi_s)$ converges uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$, which implies the conclusion of the lemma. $\square$

**Definition 5.1** *Suppose $\Lambda$ solves (1.1) and has period $2\pi$. For $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let*

$$S_\Lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x-\pi}^{x+\pi} \Lambda(t,y)dy. \tag{5.11}$$

*Since $\Lambda$ has period $2\pi$, $S_\Lambda$ does not depend on $x$. From (1.1) we see that*

$$\partial_t S_\Lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi}\Big(\frac{\kappa}{2}\Lambda' + \Big(3 - \frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\mathbf{H}_I' + \Lambda \mathbf{H}_I + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda^2\Big)\Big|_{x-\pi}^{x+\pi} = 0,$$

*because $\Lambda$ and $\mathbf{H}_I$ both have period $2\pi$. Thus, $S_\Lambda$ is a constant depending only on $\Lambda$. We call $S_\Lambda$ the average shift of $\Lambda$.*

**Lemma 5.4** *Suppose $\Lambda$ is a crossing annulus drift function that solves (1.1), and its average shift is $S_\Lambda = s$. Then there is a positive $C^{1,2}$ differentiable function $\Gamma$ defined on $(0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ such that (5.1) and (5.2) both hold, and the $\Gamma_s$ defined by (5.5) has period $2\pi$ and satisfies*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \Gamma_s(t,x) = 1, \quad \textit{uniformly in } x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.12}$$

**Proof.** From Lemma 5.1 we can find a positive $C^{1,2}$ differentiable function $\Gamma$ such that (5.1) and (5.2) both hold. From (5.11) and (5.1), we see that the $\Gamma_s$ defined by (5.5) has period $2\pi$. Let $\Psi_s = \Gamma_s \Theta_I^{\frac{2}{\kappa}}$. From Lemma 5.2, $\Psi_s$ solves (5.8) with $\sigma = \frac{4}{\kappa} - 1$. Since $\Gamma_s$ and $\Theta_I$ both have period $2\pi$, $\Psi_s$ also has period $2\pi$. From Lemma 5.3, there is $C > 0$ such that $\Psi_s \to C$ as $t \to \infty$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$. From (2.7), $\Theta_I \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $\Gamma_s \to C$ as $t \to \infty$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If $C = 1$, we get (5.12); otherwise, we replace $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma_s$ with $\Gamma/C$ and $\Gamma_s/C$, respectively. Then (5.12) should hold. $\square$

## 5.2 Ensemble

Let $p > 0$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in C([0,p))$. For $j = 1, 2$, let $K_j(t)$ and $g_j(t,\cdot)$ (resp. $K_{I,j}(t)$ and $g_{I,j}(t,\cdot)$), $0 \leq t < p$, be the annulus (resp. inverted annulus ) Loewner hulls and maps of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi_j$. Let $\widetilde{K}_j(t,\cdot)$, $\widetilde{K}_{I,j}(t,\cdot)$, $\widetilde{g}_j(t,\cdot)$, and $\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t,\cdot)$, $0 \leq t < p$, $j = 1, 2$, be the corresponding covering Loewner hulls and maps. Then $K_{I,j}(t) = I_p(K_j(t))$, $j = 1, 2$. Define

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(t_1, t_2) : K_1(t_1) \cap K_{I,2}(t_2) = \emptyset\} = \{(t_1, t_2) : K_{I,1}(t_1) \cap K_2(t_2) = \emptyset\}. \tag{5.13}$$

For $(t_1,t_2)\in\mathcal{D}$, $\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_1(t_1)\setminus K_{I,2}(t_2)$ and $\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_{I,1}(t_1)\setminus K_2(t_2)$ are doubly connected domains that have the same modulus, so we may define

$$\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)=\mathrm{mod}(\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_1(t_1)\setminus K_{I,2}(t_2))=\mathrm{mod}(\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_{I,1}(t_1)\setminus K_2(t_2)). \tag{5.14}$$

Fix any $j\ne k\in\{1,2\}$ and $t_k\in[0,p)$. Let $T_j(t_k)=\sup\{t_j: K_j(t_j)\cap K_{I,k}(t_k)=\emptyset\}$. Then for any $t_j<T_j(t_k)$, we have $(t_1,t_2)\in\mathcal{D}$. Moreover, as $t_j\to T_j(t_k)$, the spherical distance between $K_j(t_j)$ and $K_{I,k}(t_k)$ tends to 0, so $\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)\to 0$.

From Proposition 3.5, $K_j(t_j)$, $0\le t_j<p$, is a Loewner chain in $\mathbb{A}_p$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_p$. For $0\le t_j<T_j(t_k)$, $K_j(t_j)$ lies in $\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_{I,k}(t_k)$, so $K_j(t_j)$, $0\le t_j<T_j(t_k)$, is also a Loewner chain in $\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_{I,k}(t_k)$. Since $g_{I,k}(t_k,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_{I,k}(t_k)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_k}$ and maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$, from conformal invariance of extremal length, $K_{j,t_k}(t_j):=g_{I,k}(t_k,K_j(t_j))$, $0\le t_j<T_j(t_k)$, is a Loewner chain in $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_k}$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_{p-t_k}$. Now we apply Proposition 3.5. Let

$$\begin{aligned} v_{j,t_k}(t_j)&=\mathrm{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_{p-t_k}}(K_{j,t_k}(t_j))=p-t_k-\mathrm{mod}(\mathbb{A}_{p-t_k}\setminus g_{I,k}(t_k,K_j(t_j)))\\ &=p-t_k-\mathrm{mod}(\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_{I,k}(t_k)\setminus K_j(t_j))=p-t_k-\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2). \end{aligned}\tag{5.15}$$

Here the third "=" holds because $g_{I,k}(t_k,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_{I,k}(t_k)\setminus K_j(t_j)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_k}\setminus g_{I,k}(t_k,K_j(t_j))$. Then $v_{j,t_k}$ is continuous and increasing and maps $[0,T_j(t_k))$ onto $[0,S_{j,t_k})$ for some $S_{j,t_k}\in(0,p-t_k]$. Since $\mathrm{m}\to 0$ as $t_j\to T_j(t_k)$, $S_{j,t_k}=p-t_k$. Then $L_{j,t_k}(t):=K_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}^{-1}(t)))$, $0\le t<p-t_k$, are the annulus Loewner hulls of modulus $p-t_k$ driven by some $\zeta_{j,t_k}\in C([0,p-t_k))$. Let $L_{I,j,t_k}(t)$ be the corresponding inverted annulus Loewner hulls. Let $h_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ and $h_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ be the corresponding annulus and inverted annulus Loewner maps. Let $\widetilde{L}_{j,t_k}(t)$, $\widetilde{L}_{I,j,t_k}(t)$, $\widetilde{h}_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, and $\widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ be the corresponding covering hulls and maps

For $0\le t_j<T_j(t_k)$, let $\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)$, $K_{I,j,t_k}(t_j)$, $g_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$, $g_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$, $\widetilde{K}_{j,t_k}(t_j)$, $\widetilde{K}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j)$, $\widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$, and $\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ be the time-change of $\zeta_{j,t_k}(t)$, $L_{I,j,t_k}(t)$, $h_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, $h_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, $\widetilde{L}_{j,t_k}(t)$, $\widetilde{L}_{I,j,t_k}(t)$, $\widetilde{h}_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, and $\widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, respectively, via the map $v_{j,t_k}$. For example, this means that $\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)=\zeta_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j))$ and $g_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)=h_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j),\cdot)$.

From (3.8), for $0\le t_j<p$ and $\varepsilon\in(0,p-t_j)$, $g_j(t_j,K_j(t_j+\varepsilon)\setminus K_j(t_j))$ is a hull in $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_j}$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_{p-t_j}$, and

$$\mathrm{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_{p-t_j}}(g_j(t_j,K_j(t_j+\varepsilon)\setminus K_j(t_j)))=\varepsilon. \tag{5.16}$$

From Proposition 3.5, we have

$$\{e^{i\xi_j(t_j)}\}=\bigcap_{\varepsilon>0}\overline{g_j(t_j,K_j(t_j+\varepsilon)\setminus K_j(t_j))}. \tag{5.17}$$

From (3.8) and (5.15), for $0\le t_j<T_j(t_k)$ and $\varepsilon\in(0,T_j(t_k)-t_j)$,

$$g_{j,t_k}(t_j,K_{j,t_k}(t_j+\varepsilon)\setminus K_{j,t_k}(t_j))=h_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k},L_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j+\varepsilon))\setminus L_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j)))$$

is a hull in $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_k-v_{j,t_k}(t)}=\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}$, and

$$\mathrm{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{m}}}(g_{j,t_k}(t_j,K_{j,t_k}(t_j+\varepsilon)\setminus K_{j,t_k}(t_j)))=v_{j,t_k}(t_j+\varepsilon)-v_{j,t_k}(t_j). \tag{5.18}$$

From Proposition 3.5, we see that

$$\{e^{i\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)}\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon\in(0,T_j(t_k)-t_j)} \overline{g_{j,t_k}(t_j, K_{j,t_k}(t_j+\varepsilon)\setminus K_{j,t_k}(t_j))}. \tag{5.19}$$

For $0 \le t_j < T_j(t_k)$, let

$$G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot) = g_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ g_{I,k}(t_k,\cdot)\circ g_j(t_j,\cdot)^{-1}, \tag{5.20}$$

$$\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot) = \widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ \widetilde{g}_{I,k}(t_k,\cdot)\circ \widetilde{g}_j(t_j,\cdot)^{-1}. \tag{5.21}$$

Then $G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_j}\setminus g_j(t_j, K_{I,k}(t_k))$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}$ and maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$; $e^i\circ \widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot) = G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ e^i$; and $\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$. For any $t_j\in[0,T_j(t_k))$ and $\varepsilon\in(0,T_j(t_k)-t_j)$, we have

$$G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j, g_j(t_j, K_j(t_j+\varepsilon)\setminus K_j(t_j))) = g_{j,t_k}(t_j, K_{j,t_k}(t_j+\varepsilon)\setminus K_{j,t_k}(t_j)). \tag{5.22}$$

From (5.17), (5.19), and (5.22), we have $e^{i\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)} = G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j, e^{i\xi_j(t_j)}) = e^i\circ \widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))$. So there is $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j)) = \xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)+2n\pi$ for $0\le t_j<p$. Since $\zeta_{j,t_k}+2n\pi$ generates the same annulus Loewner hulls as $\zeta_{j,t_k}$, we may choose $\zeta_{j,t_k}$ such that for $0\le t_j < T_j(t_k)$,

$$\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j) = \widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j)). \tag{5.23}$$

From (5.16), (5.18), and Proposition 3.1, we conclude that, for $0\le t_j<T_j(t_k)$,

$$v_{j,t_k}'(t_j) = |G_{I,k,t_k}'(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))|^2 = \widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}'(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))^2, \tag{5.24}$$

so from (5.15) we have

$$\partial_j\,\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2) = -\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}'(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))^2. \tag{5.25}$$

Since $\widetilde{g}_j(t,\cdot)$ are the covering annulus Loewner maps of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi$, it satisfies

$$\partial_t\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,z) = \mathbf{H}(p-t_j, \widetilde{g}_j(t,z)-\xi_j(t_j)). \tag{5.26}$$

Since $\widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot) = \widetilde{h}_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j),\cdot)$, $\widetilde{h}_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ are the covering annulus Loewner maps of modulus $p-t_k$ driven by $\zeta_{j,t_k}$, and $\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j) = \zeta_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j))$, from (5.15) and (5.24), we conclude that, for $0\le t_j<T_j(t_k)$,

$$\partial_t\widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j,z) = \widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}'(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))^2\mathbf{H}(\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2), \widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j,z)-\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)). \tag{5.27}$$

Similarly, we conclude that, for $0\le t_j<T_j(t_k)$,

$$\partial_t\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z) = \widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}'(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))^2\mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2), \widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z)-\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)). \tag{5.28}$$

From (5.21) we see that, for any $z\in\mathbb{S}_p\setminus\widetilde{K}_j(t_j)\setminus\widetilde{K}_{I,k}(t_k)$, we have

$$\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,z) = \widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{g}_{I,k}(t_k,z). \tag{5.29}$$

Differentiate (5.29) w.r.t. $t_j$. From (5.23), (5.26), and (5.27), we get

$$\partial_t \widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,z)) + \widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,z))\mathbf{H}(p-t_j,\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,z)-\xi_j(t_j))$$

$$= \widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))^2\mathbf{H}(\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2),\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,z)) - \widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))).$$

Since $\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{S}_p\setminus\widetilde{K}_j(t_j)\setminus\widetilde{K}_{I,k}(t_k)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{S}_{p-t_j}\setminus\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,\widetilde{K}_{I,k}(t_k))$, from the above formula, we see that, for any $w\in\mathbb{S}_{p-t_j}\setminus\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,\widetilde{K}_{I,k}(t_k))$,

$$\partial_t \widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,w) = \widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))^2\mathbf{H}(\mathrm{m},\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,w) - \widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j)))$$

$$- \widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,w)\mathbf{H}(p-t_j,w-\xi_j(t_j)). \tag{5.30}$$

Since $\mathbb{S}_{p-t_j}\setminus\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,\widetilde{K}_{I,k}(t_k))$ contains a neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}$ in $\mathbb{H}$, we may let $w\to\xi_j(t_j)$ in $\mathbb{S}_{p-t_j}\setminus\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,\widetilde{K}_{I,k}(t_k))$ in (5.30). From (2.3), we get

$$\partial_t \widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j)) = -3\widetilde{G}''_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j)). \tag{5.31}$$

Differentiate (5.30) w.r.t. $w$. Then we have

$$\partial_t \widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,w) = \widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))^2\widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,w)\mathbf{H}'(\mathrm{m},\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,w) - \widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j)))$$

$$-\widetilde{G}''_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,w)\mathbf{H}(p-t_j,w-\xi_j(t_j)) - \widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,w)\mathbf{H}'(p-t_j,w-\xi_j(t_j)).$$

In the above formula, let $w\to\xi_j(t_j)$ in $\mathbb{S}_{p-t_j}\setminus g_j(t_j,K_{I,k}(t_k))$. From (2.3), we have

$$\frac{\partial_t \widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))}{\widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))} = \frac{1}{2}\cdot\Big(\frac{\widetilde{G}''_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))}{\widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))}\Big)^2 - \frac{4}{3}\cdot\frac{\widetilde{G}'''_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))}{\widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))}$$

$$+ \widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))^2\mathbf{r}(\mathrm{m}) - \mathbf{r}(p-t_j). \tag{5.32}$$

**Remark.** The formulas that are similar to (5.23), (5.24), and (5.31) first appeared in [12], which were used to show that $\mathrm{SLE}_6$ satisfies locality property. The formula that is similar to (5.32) first appeared in [14], which was used to show that $\mathrm{SLE}_{8/3}$ satisfies restriction property.

From the definition of inverted annulus Loewner maps, we see that $h_{I,k,t_j}(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_j}\setminus L_{I,k,t_j}(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_j-t}$ and maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$. Since $g_{I,k,t_j}(t_k,\cdot) = h_{I,k,t_j}(v_{k,t_j}(t_k),\cdot)$ and $K_{I,k,t_j}(t_k) = L_{I,k,t_j}(v_{k,t_j}(t_k))$, from (5.15), both $G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ and $g_{I,k,t_j}(t_k,\cdot)$ map $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_j}\setminus K_{I,k,t_j}(t_k)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}$ and maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$. So they differ by a multiplicative constant of modulus 1. Since $G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ e^i = e^i\circ\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ and $g_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ e^i = e^i\circ\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$, there is $C_k(t_1,t_2)\in\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot) = \widetilde{g}_{I,k,t_j}(t_k,\cdot) + C_k(t_1,t_2). \tag{5.33}$$

Interchanging $j$ and $k$ in (5.33), we see that there is $C_j(t_1,t_2)\in\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\widetilde{G}_{I,j,t_j}(t_k,\cdot)=\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)+C_j(t_1,t_2). \tag{5.34}$$

From (5.21) we have

$$\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{g}_k(t_k,\cdot)+C_j=\widetilde{g}_{k,t_j}(t_k,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t_j,\cdot), \tag{5.35}$$

$$\widetilde{g}_{I,k,t_j}(t_k,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,\cdot)+C_k=\widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{g}_{I,k}(t_k,\cdot). \tag{5.36}$$

Since $\widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ and $\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ are time-changes of $\widetilde{h}_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ and $\widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ via $v_{j,t_k}$, respectively, and $\widetilde{h}_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)=\widetilde{I}_{p-t_k-t}\circ\widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{I}_{p-t_k}$, from (5.15), we have

$$\widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)=\widetilde{I}_{\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}\circ\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{I}_{p-t_k}, \tag{5.37}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\widetilde{g}_{I,k,t_j}(t_k,\cdot)=\widetilde{I}_{\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}\circ\widetilde{g}_{k,t_j}(t_k,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{I}_{p-t_j}. \tag{5.38}$$

From (5.36), (5.37), (5.38), and the facts that $\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,\cdot)=\widetilde{I}_{p-t_j}\circ\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t_j,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{I}_p$ and $\widetilde{g}_{I,k}(t_k,\cdot)=\widetilde{I}_{p-t_k}\circ\widetilde{g}_k(t_k,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{I}_p$, we get

$$\widetilde{g}_{k,t_j}(t_k,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t_j,\cdot)+C_k=\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{g}_k(t_k,\cdot). \tag{5.39}$$

Comparing (5.39) with (5.35), we see that

$$C_1(t_1,t_2)+C_2(t_1,t_2)=0. \tag{5.40}$$

From (5.23), for $(t_1,t_2)\in\mathcal{D}$, we may define

$$X_j(t_1,t_2)=\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)-\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\xi_k(t_k))=\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))-\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\xi_k(t_k)). \tag{5.41}$$

From (5.33), (5.34), and (5.40) we have

$$X_1(t_1,t_2)+X_2(t_1,t_2)=0. \tag{5.42}$$

Since $\mathbf{H}_I'''$ is even, we may define $Q$ on $\mathcal{D}$ by

$$Q(t_1,t_2)=\mathbf{H}_I'''(\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2),X_1(t_1,t_2))=\mathbf{H}_I'''(\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2),X_2(t_1,t_2)). \tag{5.43}$$

For $(t_1,t_2)\in\mathcal{D}$, we define

$$A_{j,h}(t_1,t_2)=\widetilde{g}^{(h)}_{I,k,t_j}(t_k,\xi_j(t_j)),\quad h=0,1,2,3, \tag{5.44}$$

$$A_{j,S}(t_1,t_2)=\frac{A_{j,3}(t_1,t_2)}{A_{j,1}(t_1,t_2)}-\frac{3}{2}\Big(\frac{A_{j,2}(t_1,t_2)}{A_{j,1}(t_1,t_2)}\Big)^2. \tag{5.45}$$

Then $A_{j,S}(t_1,t_2)$ is the Schwarzian derivative of $\widetilde{g}_{I,k,t_j}(t_k,\cdot)$ at $\xi_j(t_j)$.

We may rewrite (5.28) by

$$\partial_t \widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z) = A_{j,1}^2 \mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}, \widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z) - \xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)). \tag{5.46}$$

Differentiate this formula w.r.t. $z$ twice. We get

$$\frac{\partial_t \widetilde{g}'_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z)}{\widetilde{g}'_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z)} = A_{j,1}^2 \mathbf{H}'_I(\mathrm{m}, \widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z) - \xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)). \tag{5.47}$$

$$\partial_t \Big( \frac{\widetilde{g}''_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z)}{\widetilde{g}'_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z)} \Big) = A_{j,1}^2 \mathbf{H}''_I(\mathrm{m}, \widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z) - \xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)) \widetilde{g}'_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z). \tag{5.48}$$

Let $z = \xi_k(t_k)$ in (5.46), (5.47), and (5.48). Since $\mathbf{H}_I$ and $\mathbf{H}''_I$ are odd and $\mathbf{H}'_I$ is even, from (5.41), we have

$$\partial_j A_{k,0} = -A_{j,1}^2 \mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}, X_j) \partial t_j. \tag{5.49}$$

$$\frac{\partial_j A_{k,1}}{A_{k,1}} = A_{j,1}^2 \mathbf{H}'_I(\mathrm{m}, X_j) \partial t_j. \tag{5.50}$$

$$\partial_j \Big( \frac{A_{k,2}}{A_{k,1}} \Big) = -A_{j,1}^2 \mathbf{H}''_I(\mathrm{m}, X_j) A_{k,1} \partial t_j. \tag{5.51}$$

Differentiate (5.48) w.r.t. $z$ again, and let $z = \xi_k(t_k)$. Since $\mathbf{H}'''_I$ is even, we get

$$\partial_j \Big( \frac{A_{k,3}}{A_{k,1}} - \Big( \frac{A_{k,2}}{A_{k,1}} \Big)^2 \Big) = A_{j,1}^2 [\mathbf{H}'''_I(\mathrm{m}, X_j) A_{k,1}^2 - \mathbf{H}''_I(\mathrm{m}, X_j) A_{k,2}],$$

which together with (5.43), (5.45), and (5.51) implies that

$$\partial_j A_{k,S} = A_{j,1}^2 A_{k,1}^2 Q. \tag{5.52}$$

For $(t_1,t_2) \in \mathcal{D}$, define

$$F(t_1,t_2) = \exp\Big( \int_0^{t_2} \int_0^{t_1} A_{1,1}(s_1,s_2)^2 A_{2,1}(s_1,s_2)^2 Q(s_1,s_2) ds_1 ds_2 \Big), \tag{5.53}$$

Since $\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(0,\cdot) = \widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(0,\cdot) = \mathrm{id}$, when $t_j = 0$, we have $A_{k,1} = 1$, $A_{k,2} = A_{k,3} = 0$, hence $A_{k,S} = 0$. From (5.52), we see that

$$\frac{\partial_j F}{F} = A_{k,S}. \tag{5.54}$$

### 5.3 Martingales in two time variables

Let $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{T}$ be as in Theorem 5.1. Let $a_{I,j} = I_p(a_j) \in \mathbb{T}_p$, $j = 1, 2$. Choose $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a_j = e^{ix_j}$, $j = 1, 2$. Let $B_1(t)$ and $B_2(t)$ be two independent Brownian motion. For $j = 1, 2$, let $(\mathcal{F}^j_t)$ be the complete filtration generated by $(B_j(t))$. Let $\Lambda$, $\Lambda_1$, and $\Lambda_2$ be as in Theorem 5.1. We adopt the notation in the prior section. For $j = 1, 2$, let $\xi_j(t_j)$, $0 \le t_j < p$, be the solution to the SDE:

$$d\xi_j(t_j) = \sqrt{\kappa} dB_j(t_j) + \Lambda_j(p - t_j, \xi_j(t_j) - \widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t_j, x_{3-j}))dt_j, \quad \xi_j(0) = x_j. \tag{5.55}$$

Then for $j = 1, 2$, $(\xi_j(t))$ is an $(\mathcal{F}^j_t)$-adapted semi-martingale with $\langle \xi_j \rangle_t = \kappa t$; $(\xi_1(t))$ and $(\xi_2(t))$ are independent; and $K_j(t_j)$, $0 \le t_j < p$, is an annulus SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_j)$ process in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from $a_j$ with marked point $a_{I,3-j}$. Note that $\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t_j, x_{3-j}) = \operatorname{Re} \widetilde{g}_j(t_j, x_{3-j} + ip)$.

As the annulus Loewner objects driven by $\xi_j$, $(K_j(t_j))$, $(K_{I,j}(t_j))$, $(g_{I,j}(t_j, \cdot))$, $(\widetilde{g}_j(t_j, \cdot))$, and $(\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t_j, \cdot))$ are all $(\mathcal{F}^j_{t_j})$-adapted. Fix $j \ne k \in \{1, 2\}$. Since $(K_j(t_j))$ is $(\mathcal{F}^j_{t_j})$-adapted and $(g_{I,k}(t_k, \cdot))$ is $(\mathcal{F}^k_{t_k})$-adapted, we see that $(K_{j,t_k}(t_j) = g_{I,k}(t_k, K_j(t_j)))$ defined on $\mathcal{D}$ is $(\mathcal{F}^1_{t_1} \times \mathcal{F}^2_{t_2})$-adapted. Since $\widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j, \cdot)$ and $\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j, \cdot)$ are determined by $(K_{j,t_k}(s_j))$, $0 \le s_j \le t_j$, they are $(\mathcal{F}^1_{t_1} \times \mathcal{F}^2_{t_2})$-adapted. From (5.21), $(\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j, \cdot))$ is $(\mathcal{F}^1_{t_1} \times \mathcal{F}^2_{t_2})$-adapted. From (5.23), $(\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j))$ is also $(\mathcal{F}^1_{t_1} \times \mathcal{F}^2_{t_2})$-adapted. From (5.14), (5.41), (5.44), and (5.45), we see that (m), $(X_j)$, $(A_{j,h})$, $h = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and $(A_{j,S})$ are all $(\mathcal{F}^1_{t_1} \times \mathcal{F}^2_{t_2})$-adapted.

Fix $j \ne k \in \{1, 2\}$ and any $(\mathcal{F}^k_t)$-stopping time $t_k \in [0, p)$. Let $\mathcal{F}^{j,t_k}_{t_j} = \mathcal{F}^j_{t_j} \times \mathcal{F}^k_{t_k}$, $0 \le t_j < p$. Then $(\mathcal{F}^{j,t_k}_{t_j})_{0 \le t_j < p}$ is a filtration. Since $(B_j(t_j))$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}^k_{t_k}$, it is also an $(\mathcal{F}^{j,t_k}_{t_j})$-Brownian motion. Thus, (5.55) is an $(\mathcal{F}^{j,t_k}_{t_j})$-adapted SDE. From now on, we will apply Itô's formula repeatedly, all SDE will be $(\mathcal{F}^{j,t_k}_{t_j})$-adapted, and $t_j$ ranges in $[0, T_j(t_k))$.

From (5.31), (5.41), (5.44), and (5.49), we see that $X_j$ satisfies

$$\partial_j X_j = A_{j,1} \partial \xi_j(t_j) + \Big(\frac{\kappa}{2} - 3\Big) A_{j,2} \partial t_j + A_{j,1}^2 \mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}, X_j) \partial t_j. \tag{5.56}$$

Let $\Gamma$ be given by Lemma 5.1. Let $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma$ and $\Gamma_2(t, x) = \Gamma(t, -x)$. Since $\Gamma$ and $\Lambda$ satisfy (5.1) and (5.2), and $\mathbf{H}_I$ is odd, we see that $\Gamma_j$ and $\Lambda_j$, $j = 1, 2$, also satisfy (5.1) and (5.2). From (5.42), for $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathcal{D}$, we may define

$$Y(t_1, t_2) = \Gamma_1(\mathrm{m}(t_1, t_2), X_1(t_1, t_2)) = \Gamma_2(\mathrm{m}(t_1, t_2), X_2(t_1, t_2)). \tag{5.57}$$

From (5.1), (5.2), (5.25), (5.56), and (5.57), we have

$$\frac{\partial_j Y}{Y} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \Lambda_j(\mathrm{m}, X_j) A_{j,1} \partial \xi_j(t_j) - \Big(\frac{3}{\kappa} - \frac{1}{2}\Big) \Big(A_{j,1}^2 \mathbf{H}_I'(\mathrm{m}, X_j) + \Lambda_j(\mathrm{m}, X_j) A_{j,2}\Big) \partial t_j. \tag{5.58}$$

From (5.32) we have

$$\frac{\partial_j A_{j,1}}{A_{j,1}} = \frac{A_{j,2}}{A_{j,1}} \cdot \partial \xi_j(t_j) + \Big(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \Big(\frac{A_{j,2}}{A_{j,1}}\Big)^2 + \Big(\frac{\kappa}{2} - \frac{4}{3}\Big) \cdot \frac{A_{j,3}}{A_{j,1}}\Big) \partial t_j$$

$$+A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{r}(\mathrm{m})\partial t_j-\mathbf{r}(p-t_j)\partial t_j.$$

Let

$$\alpha=\frac{3}{\kappa}-\frac{1}{2},\qquad c=\frac{(8-3\kappa)(\kappa-6)}{2\kappa}. \tag{5.59}$$

Actually, $c$ is the central charge for $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$. Then we compute

$$\frac{\partial_j A_{j,1}^\alpha}{A_{j,1}^\alpha}=\alpha\cdot\frac{A_{j,2}}{A_{j,1}}\cdot\partial\xi_j(t_j)+\frac{c}{6}A_{j,S}\partial t_j+\alpha A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{r}(\mathrm{m})\partial t_j-\alpha\mathbf{r}(p-t_j)\partial t_j. \tag{5.60}$$

Recall the $\mathbf{R}$ defined in Section 2.3. Define $\widehat{M}$ on $\mathcal{D}$ by

$$\widehat{M}=A_{1,1}^\alpha A_{2,1}^\alpha F^{-\frac{c}{6}}Y\exp(\alpha\mathbf{R}(\mathrm{m})-\alpha\mathbf{R}(p-t_1)-\alpha\mathbf{R}(p-t_2)+\alpha\mathbf{R}(p)). \tag{5.61}$$

Then $\widehat{M}$ is positive. From (2.4), (5.25), (5.50), (5.54), (5.58), and (5.60), we have

$$\frac{\partial_j\widehat{M}}{\widehat{M}}=\Big(\frac{3}{\kappa}-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\frac{A_{j,2}}{A_{j,1}}\cdot\partial\xi_j(t_j)+\frac{1}{\kappa}\Lambda_j(\mathrm{m},X_j)A_{j,1}\partial\xi_j(t_j). \tag{5.62}$$

When $t_k=0$, we have $A_{j,1}=1$, $A_{j,2}=0$, $\mathrm{m}=p-t_j$, and $X_j=\xi_j(t_j)-\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t_j,x_k)$, so the RHS of (5.62) becomes $\frac{1}{\kappa}\Lambda_j(p-t_j,\xi_j(t_j)-\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t_j,x_k))\partial\xi_j(t_j)$. Define $M$ on $\mathcal{D}$ by

$$M(t_1,t_2)=\frac{\widehat{M}(t_1,t_2)\widehat{M}(0,0)}{\widehat{M}(t_1,0)\widehat{M}(0,t_2)}. \tag{5.63}$$

Then $M$ is also positive, and $M(t_1,0)=M(0,t_2)=1$ for $t_1,t_2\in[0,p)$. From (5.55) and (5.62) we have

$$\frac{\partial_j M}{M}=\Big[\Big(3-\frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\frac{A_{j,2}}{A_{j,1}}+\Lambda_j(\mathrm{m},X_j)A_{j,1}-\Lambda_j(p-t_j,\xi_j(t_j)-\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t_j,x_k))\Big]\frac{\partial B_j(t_j)}{\sqrt{\kappa}}. \tag{5.64}$$

So when $t_k\in[0,p)$ is a fixed $(\mathcal{F}_t^k)$-stopping time, $M$ is a local martingale in $t_j$.

Let $\mathcal{J}$ denote the set of Jordan curves in $\mathbb{A}_p$ that separates $\mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{T}_p$. For $J\in\mathcal{J}$ and $j=1,2$, let $T_j(J)$ denote the smallest $t$ such that $K_j(t)\cap J\ne\emptyset$. Recall that $I_p(z)=e^{-p}/\overline{z}$ and $K_{I,j}(t)=I_p(K_j(t))$, so $T_j(J)$ is also the smallest $t$ such that $K_{I,j}(t)\cap I_p(J)\ne\emptyset$. Let JP denote the set of pairs $(J_1,J_2)\in\mathcal{J}^2$ such that $I_p(J_1)\cap J_2=\emptyset$ and $I_p(J_1)$ is surrounded by $J_2$. This is equivalent to that $I_p(J_2)\cap J_1=\emptyset$ and $I_p(J_2)$ is surrounded by $J_1$. Then for every $(J_1,J_2)\in\mathrm{JP}$, $K_{I,1}(t_1)\cap K_2(t_2)=\emptyset$ when $t_1\le T_1(J_1)$ and $t_2\le T_2(J_2)$, so $[0,T_1(J_1)]\times[0,T_2(J_2)]\subset\mathcal{D}$.

**Lemma 5.5** *There are positive continuous functions $N_L(p)$ and $N_S(p)$ defined on $(0,\infty)$ that satisfies $N_L(p),N_S(p)=O(pe^{-p})$ as $p\to\infty$ and the following properties. Suppose $K$ is an interior hull in $\mathbb{D}$ containing 0, $g$ maps $\mathbb{D}\setminus K$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_p$ for some $p\in(0,\infty)$ and maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$, and $\widetilde{g}$ is an analytic function that satisfies $e^i\circ\widetilde{g}=g\circ e^i$. Then for any $x\in\mathbb{R}$, $|\ln(\widetilde{g}'(x))|\le N_L(p)$ and $|S\widetilde{g}(x)|\le N_S(p)$, where $S\widetilde{g}(x)$ is the Schwarzian derivative of $\widetilde{g}$ at $x$, i.e., $S\widetilde{g}(x)=\widetilde{g}'''(x)/\widetilde{g}'(x)-\frac{3}{2}(\widetilde{g}''(x)/\widetilde{g}'(x))^2$.*

**Proof.** Let $P(p,z) = -\operatorname{Re}\mathbf{S}_I(p,z) - \ln|z|/p$ and $\widetilde{P}(p,z) = P(p,e^{iz}) = \operatorname{Im}\mathbf{H}_I(p,z) + \operatorname{Im} z/p$. Then $P(p,\cdot)$ vanishes on $\mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{T}_p \setminus \{e^{-p}\}$ and is harmonic inside $\mathbb{A}_p$. Moreover, when $z \in \mathbb{A}_p$ is near $e^{-p}$, $P(p,z)$ behaves like $-\operatorname{Re}(\frac{e^{-p}+z}{e^{-p}-z}) + O(1)$. Thus, $-P(p,\cdot)$ is a renormalized Poisson kernel in $\mathbb{A}_p$ with the pole at $e^{-p}$. Since $\ln|g^{-1}|$ is negative and harmonic in $\mathbb{A}_p$ and vanishes on $\mathbb{T}$, there is a positive measure $\mu_K$ supported by $[0,2\pi)$ such that for any $z \in \mathbb{A}_p$,

$$\ln|g^{-1}(z)| = -\int P(p, z/e^{i\xi})d\mu_K(\xi).$$

Since $e^i \circ \widetilde{g} = g \circ e^i$, $\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{g}^{-1} = -\ln|g^{-1}\circ e^i|$. Thus, for any $z \in \mathbb{S}_p$,

$$\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{g}^{-1}(z) = \int P(p, e^{iz}/e^{i\xi})d\mu_K(\xi) = \int \widetilde{P}(p, z-\xi)d\mu_K(\xi).$$

So for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h = 1,2,3$,

$$(\widetilde{g}^{-1})^{(h)}(x) = \int \frac{\partial^h}{\partial x^{h-1}\partial y}\widetilde{P}(p, x-\xi)d\mu_K(\xi). \tag{5.65}$$

Let

$$m_p = \inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\widetilde{P}(p,x), \quad M_p = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\widetilde{P}(p,x), \quad M_p^{(h)} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \Big|\frac{\partial^h}{\partial_x^{h-1}\partial y}\widetilde{P}(p,x)\Big|, \quad h = 2,3.$$

Since $\widetilde{P}(p,\cdot)$ is positive in $\mathbb{S}_p$, vanishes on $\mathbb{R}$, and has period $2\pi$, we have $0 < m_p < M_p < \infty$. So from (5.65), for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $m_p|\mu_K| \le (\widetilde{g}^{-1})'(x) \le M_p|\mu_K|$. Since $g^{-1}$ maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$, we have $\widetilde{g}^{-1}(2\pi) = \widetilde{g}^{-1}(0) + 2\pi$. Thus,

$$2\pi = \int_0^{2\pi} (\widetilde{g}^{-1})'(x)dx \in [2\pi m_p|\mu_K|, 2\pi M_p|\mu_K|].$$

So we have $1/M_p \le |\mu_K| \le 1/m_p$. Thus, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $m_p/M_p \le (\widetilde{g}^{-1})'(x) \le M_p/m_p$ and $|(\widetilde{g}^{-1})^{(h)}(x)| \le M_p^{(h)}/m_p$, $h = 2,3$. So we have

$$|S\widetilde{g}^{-1}(x)| = \Big|\frac{(\widetilde{g}^{-1})^{(3)}(x)}{(\widetilde{g}^{-1})'(x)} - \frac{3}{2}\Big(\frac{(\widetilde{g}^{-1})^{(2)}(x)}{(\widetilde{g}^{-1})'(x)}\Big)^2\Big| \le \frac{M_p^{(3)}M_p}{m_p^2} + \frac{3}{2}\Big(\frac{M_p^{(2)}M_p}{m_p^2}\Big)^2.$$

Since $\widetilde{g}$ maps $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$, we see that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $m_p/M_p \le \widetilde{g}'(x) \le M_p/m_p$, and

$$|S\widetilde{g}(x)| = \Big| - \frac{S\widetilde{g}^{-1}(\widetilde{g}(x))}{(\widetilde{g}^{-1})'(\widetilde{g}(x))^2}\Big| \le \frac{M_p^{(3)}M_p^3}{m_p^4} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{(M_p^{(2)})^2M_p^4}{m_p^6}.$$

Let $N_L(p) = \ln(M_p/m_p) > 0$ and $N_S(p) = \frac{M_p^{(3)}M_p^3}{m_p^4} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{(M_p^{(2)})^2M_p^4}{m_p^6} > 0$. Then $|\ln(\widetilde{g}'(x))| \le N_L(p)$ and $|S\widetilde{g}(x)| \le N_S(p)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\widetilde{P}(p,z) = \operatorname{Im}\mathbf{H}_I(p,z) + \operatorname{Im} z/p$, we see that $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\widetilde{P}(p,x) = \mathbf{H}_I'(p,x) + \frac{1}{p}$ and $\frac{\partial^h}{\partial x^{h-1}\partial y}\widetilde{P}(p,x) = \mathbf{H}_I^{(h)}(p,x)$, $h = 2,3$. From Lemma 2.1, $M_p, m_p = \frac{1}{p} + O(e^{-p})$ and $M_p^{(h)} = O(e^{-p})$, $h = 2,3$, as $p \to \infty$. So $N_L(p), N_S(p) = O(pe^{-p})$ as $p \to \infty$. $\square$

**Proposition 5.1** *(Boundedness) Fix* $(J_1, J_2) \in \mathrm{JP}$. *Then* $|\ln(M)|$ *is bounded on* $[0, T_1(J_1)] \times [0, T_2(J_2)]$ *by a constant depending only on* $J_1$ *and* $J_2$.

**Proof.** In this proof, we say a function is uniformly bounded if its values on $[0, T_1(J_1)] \times [0, T_2(J_2)]$ are bounded in absolute value by a constant depending only on $p$, $J_1$, and $J_2$. If there is no ambiguity, let $\Omega(A, B)$ denote the domain bounded by sets $A$ and $B$, and let $\mathrm{mod}(A, B)$ denote the modulus of this domain if it is doubly connected. Let $J_{I,2} = I_0(J_2)$. Let $p_0 = \mathrm{mod}(J_1, J_{I,2}) > 0$. If $t_1 \le T_1(J_1)$ and $t_2 \le T_2(J_2)$, since $\Omega(J_1, J_{I,2})$ disconnects $K_1(t_1)$ and $K_{I,2}(t_2)$ in $\mathbb{A}_p$, $\mathrm{m}(t_1, t_2) \ge p_0$. Since $\mathrm{m} \le p$ always holds, $\mathrm{m} \in [p_0, p]$ on $[0, T_1(J_1)] \times [0, T_2(J_2)]$. Since $\mathbf{R}$ is continuous on $(0, \infty)$, $\mathbf{R}(\mathrm{m})$ is uniformly bounded. Since $Q = \mathbf{H}_I'''(\mathrm{m}, X_1)$ and $\mathbf{H}_I'''$ is continuous and has period $2\pi$, $Q$ is uniformly bounded. From Lemma 5.5, for $j = 1, 2$, $|\ln(A_{j,1})| \le N_L(\mathrm{m})$, so it is uniformly bounded. From (5.54), $\ln(F)$ is uniformly bounded. Let $s = S_\Lambda$ be the average shift of $\Lambda$. Let $\Gamma_s > 0$ be defined by (5.5). Let $Y_s = \Gamma_s(X_1)$. From (5.11) and (5.1), we see that $\Gamma_s$ is continuous and has period $2\pi$. So $\ln(Y_s)$ is uniformly bounded. Define $\widehat{M_s}$ and $M_s$ by

$$\widehat{M_s} = A_{1,1}^{\alpha} A_{2,1}^{\alpha} F^{-\frac{c}{6}} Y_s \exp(\alpha \mathbf{R}(\mathrm{m})).$$

$$M_s(t_1, t_2) = \frac{\widehat{M_s}(t_1, t_2) \widehat{M_s}(0, 0)}{\widehat{M_s}(t_1, 0) \widehat{M_s}(0, t_2)}.$$

Since $\ln(A_{1,1})$, $\ln(A_{2,1})$, $\ln(F)$, $\ln(Y_s)$, and $\mathbf{R}(\mathrm{m})$ are all uniformly bounded, $\ln(\widehat{M_s})$ and $\ln(M_s)$ are also uniformly bounded. Now it suffice to show that $\ln(M) - \ln(M_s)$ is uniformly bounded. Note that $p - t_1 = \mathrm{m}(t_1, 0)$, $p - t_2 = \mathrm{m}(0, t_2)$, and $p = \mathrm{m}(0, 0)$, so from (5.61) and (5.63) we have

$$\ln(M(t_1, t_2)) - \ln(M_s(t_1, t_2)) = \frac{s}{\kappa}(X_1(t_1, t_2) - X_1(t_1, 0) - X_1(0, t_2) + X_1(0, 0))$$

$$+ \frac{s^2}{2\kappa}(\mathrm{m}(t_1, t_2) - \mathrm{m}(t_1, 0) - \mathrm{m}(0, t_2) + \mathrm{m}(0, 0)).$$

If $s = 0$ the proof is completed. Now we deal with the case $s \ne 0$. The second term on the RHS of the above formula is uniformly bounded because $\mathrm{m} \in [p_0, p]$. So it suffice to show that $X_1(t_1, t_2) - X_1(t_1, 0) - X_1(0, t_2) + X_1(0, 0)$ is uniformly bounded. Let

$$\widetilde{G}(t_1, t_2) = \widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, \xi_1(t_1)), \quad \widetilde{g}(t_1, t_2) = \widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1, \xi_2(t_2)).$$

From (5.41) we have $X_1 = \widetilde{G} - \widetilde{g}$. So it suffice to show that $\widetilde{G}(t_1, t_2) - \widetilde{G}(t_1, 0) - \widetilde{G}(0, t_2) + \widetilde{G}(0, 0)$ and $\widetilde{g}(t_1, t_2) - \widetilde{g}(t_1, 0) - \widetilde{g}(0, t_2) + \widetilde{g}(0, 0)$ are both uniformly bounded. From (5.46) we have

$$\partial_1 \widetilde{g}(t_1, t_2) = A_{1,1}^2 \mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}(t_1, t_2), \widetilde{g}(t_1, t_2) - \xi_{1,t_2}(t_1)).$$

Since $A_{1,1}^2$ is uniformly bounded, $\mathrm{m} \in [p_0, p]$, and $\mathbf{H}_I$ is continuous and has period $2\pi$, $\widetilde{g}(t_1, t_2) - \widetilde{g}(0, t_2)$ is uniformly bounded. Thus, $\widetilde{g}(t_1, t_2) - \widetilde{g}(t_1, 0) - \widetilde{g}(0, t_2) + \widetilde{g}(0, 0)$ is uniformly bounded. Let $\widetilde{G}_d(t_1, t_2) = \widetilde{G}(t_1, t_2) - \xi_1(t_1)$. Then

$$\widetilde{G}(t_1, t_2) - \widetilde{G}(t_1, 0) - \widetilde{G}(0, t_2) + \widetilde{G}(0, 0) = \widetilde{G}_d(t_1, t_2) - \widetilde{G}_d(t_1, 0) - \widetilde{G}_d(0, t_2) + \widetilde{G}_d(0, 0).$$

To finish the proof it suffice to show that $\widetilde{G}_d$ is uniformly bounded.

Let $J$ be a Jordan curve which disconnects $J_1$ and $I_p(J_2)$. Let $p_1 = \mathrm{mod}(J, J_1)$. Since $\Omega(J_1, J)$ disconnects $\mathbb{T} \cup K_2(t_2)$ from $J$, $\mathrm{mod}(J, \mathbb{T} \cup K_2(t_2)) \ge p_1$. Fix $(t_1, t_2) \in [0, T_1(J_1)] \times [0, T_2(J_2)]$. Since $g_{1,t_2}(t_1, \cdot) \circ g_{I,2}(t_2, \cdot)$ maps $\Omega(J, \mathbb{T} \cup K_2(t_2))$ conformally onto $\Omega(g_{1,t_2}(t_1, \cdot) \circ g_{I,2}(t_2, \cdot)(J), \mathbb{T})$, $\mathrm{mod}(g_{1,t_2}(t_1, \cdot) \circ g_{I,2}(t_2, \cdot)(J), \mathbb{T}) \ge p_1$. Since $g_{1,t_2}(t_1, \cdot) \circ g_{I,2}(t_2, \cdot)(J)$ is a Jordan curve that surrounds 0, there is a constant $h_1 > 0$ depending only on $p_1$ such that $|g_{1,t_2}(t_1, g_{I,2}(t_2, z))| \le e^{-h_1}$ for any $z \in J$. Let $\widetilde{J} = (e^i)^{-1}(J)$. Then $\mathrm{Im}\, \widetilde{g}_{1,t_2}(t_1, \widetilde{g}_{I,2}(t_2, z)) \ge h_1$ for any $z \in \widetilde{J}$. There is a constant $C_1 > 0$ depending only on $p$, $p_0$, and $h_1$, such that $|\mathbf{H}(t, z)| \le C_1$ for $t \in [p_0, p]$ and $\mathrm{Im}\, z \in [h_1, t]$. Since $\widetilde{g}_{1,t_2}(0, \cdot) = \mathrm{id}$ and $\mathrm{Im}\, \widetilde{g}_{1,t_2}(r, \widetilde{g}_{I,2}(t_2, z)) \ge h_1$ for $r \in [0, t_1]$, from (5.25) and (5.27) we have

$$|\widetilde{g}_{1,t_2}(t_1, \widetilde{g}_{I,2}(t_2, z)) - \widetilde{g}_{I,2}(t_2, z)| \le C_1(\mathrm{m}(0, t_2) - \mathrm{m}(t_1, t_2)) \le C_1 p. \tag{5.66}$$

Letting $t_2 = 0$, we see that

$$|\widetilde{g}_1(t_1, z) - z| \le C_1 p, \quad z \in \widetilde{J}. \tag{5.67}$$

Let $J_I = I_p(J)$ and $\widetilde{J}_I = (e^i)^{-1}(J_I)$. Then $J_I$ is a Jordan curve which disconnects $J_2$ and $I_p(J_1)$. Similarly, there is a constant $C_2 > 0$ depending only on $p_2 := \mathrm{mod}(J_I, J_2)$, such that

$$|\widetilde{g}_{2,t_1}(t_2, \widetilde{g}_{I,1}(t_1, z)) - \widetilde{g}_{I,1}(t_1, z)| \le C_2 p, \quad z \in \widetilde{J}_I.$$

Letting $t_1 = 0$, we see that for any $z \in \widetilde{J}_I$, $|\widetilde{g}_2(t_2, z) - z| \le C_2 p$. Since $\widetilde{J}_I = \widetilde{I}_p(\widetilde{J})$ and $\widetilde{g}_{I,2}(t_2, \cdot) = \widetilde{I}_{p-t_2} \circ \widetilde{g}_2(t_2, \cdot) \circ \widetilde{I}_p$,

$$|\widetilde{g}_{I,2}(t_2, z) - \widetilde{I}_{p-t_2}(z)| \le C_2 p, \quad z \in \widetilde{J}. \tag{5.68}$$

Since $\widetilde{J}_I \subset \mathbb{S}_p$ and $p - t_2 \in [p_0, p]$, for $z \in \widetilde{J}$, $|\widetilde{I}_{p-t_2}(z) - z| \le 2p$. This, together with (5.66) and (5.68), implies that

$$|\widetilde{g}_{1,t_2}(t_1, \widetilde{g}_{I,2}(t_2, z)) - z| \le (C_1 + C_2 + 2)p, \quad z \in \widetilde{J}. \tag{5.69}$$

From (5.21) we have $\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_1}(t_1, \cdot) = \widetilde{g}_{1,t_2}(t_1, \cdot) \circ \widetilde{g}_{I,2}(t_2, \cdot) \circ \widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \cdot)^{-1}$. From (5.67) and (5.69) we see that

$$|\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_1}(t_1, w) - w| \le (2C_1 + C_2 + 2)p =: C, \quad w \in \widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \widetilde{J}).$$

Then $C$ is a constant depending only on $p$, $J_1$, and $J_2$, since we can choose $J$ arbitrarily. We know that $\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_1}(t_1, \cdot)$ is analytic in $\Omega(\mathbb{R}, \widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \widetilde{J}))$ and maps $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$. From Schwarz reflection principle, $\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_1}(t_1, \cdot)$ extends analytically to $\Omega(\widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \widetilde{J}), \widetilde{I}_0 \circ \widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \widetilde{J}))$. And we have $|\mathrm{Re}\, \widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_1}(t_1, w) - \mathrm{Re}\, w| \le C$ on $\widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \widetilde{J})$ and $\widetilde{I}_0 \circ \widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \widetilde{J}))$. From Maximum principle, we conclude that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_1}(t_1, x) - x| \le C$. Since $\widetilde{G}_d(t_1, t_2) = \widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_1}(t_1, \xi_1(t_1)) - \xi_1(t_1)$, $|\widetilde{G}_d| \le C$ on $[0, T_1(J_1)] \times [0, T_2(J_2)]$, which implies that $\widetilde{G}_d$ is uniformly bounded. □

### 5.4 Local coupling

Let $\mu_j$ denote the distribution of $(\xi_j)$, $j=1,2$. Let $\mu=\mu_1\times\mu_2$. Then $\mu$ is the joint distribution of $(\xi_1)$ and $(\xi_2)$, since $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ are independent. Fix $(J_1,J_2)\in\mathrm{JP}$. From the local martingale property of $M$ and Proposition 5.1, we have $\mathbf{E}_\mu[M(T_1(J_1),T_2(J_2))]=M(0,0)=1$. Define $\nu_{J_1,J_2}$ by $d\nu_{J_1,J_2}/d\mu=M(T_1(J_1),T_2(J_2))$. Then $\nu_{J_1,J_2}$ is a probability measure. Let $\nu_1$ and $\nu_2$ be the two marginal measures of $\nu_{J_1,J_2}$. Then $d\nu_1/d\mu_1=M(T_1(J_1),0)=1$ and $d\nu_2/d\mu_2=M(0,T_2(J_2))=1$, so $\nu_j=\mu_j$, $j=1,2$. Suppose temporarily that the joint distribution of $(\xi_1)$ and $(\xi_2)$ is $\nu_{J_1,J_2}$ instead of $\mu$. Then the distribution of each $(\xi_j)$ is still $\mu_j$.

Fix an $(\mathcal{F}^2_t)$-stopping time $t_2\le T_2(J_2)$. From (5.55), (5.64), and Girsanov theorem (c.f. [17]), under the probability measure $\nu_{J_1,J_2}$, there is an $(\mathcal{F}^1_{t_1}\times\mathcal{F}^2_{t_2})_{t_1\ge0}$-Brownian motion $\widetilde{B}_{1,t_2}(t_1)$ such that $\xi_1(t_1)$, $0\le t_1\le T_1(J_1)$, satisfies the $(\mathcal{F}^1_{t_1}\times\mathcal{F}^2_{t_2})_{t_1\ge0}$-adapted SDE:

$$d\xi_1(t_1)=\sqrt{\kappa}d\widetilde{B}_{1,t_2}(t_1)+\Big(3-\frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\frac{A_{1,2}}{A_{1,1}}dt_1+\Lambda_1(\mathrm{m},X_1)A_{1,1}dt_1,$$

which together with (5.23), (5.31), and Itô's formula implies that

$$d\xi_{1,t_2}(t_1)=A_{1,1}\sqrt{\kappa}d\widetilde{B}_{1,t_2}(t_1)+A_{1,1}^2\Lambda_1(\mathrm{m},\xi_{1,t_2}(t_1)-\widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1,\xi_2(t_2)))dt_1.$$

Recall that $\zeta_{1,t_2}(s_1)=\xi_{1,t_2}(v_{1,t_2}^{-1}(s_1))$ and $\widetilde{h}_{I,1,t_2}(s_1,\cdot)=\widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(v_{1,t_2}^{-1}(s_1),\cdot)$. So from (5.15) and (5.24), there is another Brownian motion $\widehat{B}_{1,t_2}(s_1)$ such that for $0\le s_1\le v_{1,t_2}(T_1(J_1))$,

$$d\zeta_{1,t_2}(s_1)=\sqrt{\kappa}d\widehat{B}_{1,t_2}(s_1)+\Lambda_1(p-t_2-s_1,\zeta_{1,t_2}(s_1)-\widetilde{h}_{I,1,t_2}(s_1,\xi_2(t_2)))ds_1. \tag{5.70}$$

Moreover, the initial values is $\zeta_{1,t_2}(0)=\xi_{1,t_2}(0)=\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(0,x_1)=\widetilde{g}_{I,2}(t_2,x_1)$. Since $L_{I,1,t_2}(t)$ and $\widetilde{h}_{I,1,t_2}(t)$ are the inverted annulus Loewner hulls and inverted covering annulus Loewner maps, respectively, of modulus $p-t_2$ driven by $\zeta_{1,t_2}(t)$, and $L_{1,t_2}(v_{1,t_2}(t_1))=g_{I,2}(t_2,K_1(t_1))$, from (5.70) we see that, conditioned on $\mathcal{F}^2_{t_2}$, after a time-change, $g_{I,2}(t_2,K_1(t_1))$, $0\le t_1\le T_1(J_1)$, is a partial annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda_1)$ process in $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_2}$ started from $g_{I,2}(t_2,a_1)$ with marked point $I_{p-t_2}\circ e^i(\xi_2(t_2))$. Similarly, if $t_1$ is a fixed $(\mathcal{F}^1_t)$-stopping time with $t_1\le T_1(J_1)$, then conditioned on $\mathcal{F}^1_{t_1}$, after a time-change, $g_{I,1}(t_1,K_2(t_2))$, $0\le t_2\le T_2(J_2)$, is a partial annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda_2)$ process in $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_1}$ started from $g_{I,1}(t_1,a_2)$ with marked point $I_{p-t_1}\circ e^i(\xi_1(t_1))$.

### 5.5 Global coupling

The stochastic coupling technique allows us to construct a global coupling from the local couplings. The following theorem is an essential step of the stochastic coupling technique. It is similar to Theorem 6.1 in [28] and Theorem 4.5 in [29], so we omit its proof.

**Proposition 5.2** *Suppose $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $(J_1^m,J_2^m)\in\mathrm{JP}$, $1\le m\le n$. There is a continuous function $M_*(t_1,t_2)$ defined on $[0,p]^2$ that satisfies the following properties:*

*(i) $M_*=M$ on $[0,T_1(J_1^m)]\times[0,T_2(J_2^m)]$ for $1\le m\le n$;*

*(ii)* $M_*(t,0)=M_*(0,t)=1$ *for any* $t\in[0,p]$*;*

*(iii)* $M(t_1,t_2)\in[C_1,C_2]$ *for any* $t_1,t_2\in[0,p]$*, where* $C_2>C_1>0$ *are constants depending only on* $J_j^m$*,* $j=1,2$*,* $1\le m\le n$*;*

*(iv) fix* $j\ne k\in\{1,2\}$ *and any* $(\mathcal{F}_t^k)$*-stopping time* $t_k\in[0,p]$*,* $M_*$ *is a bounded* $(\mathcal{F}_{t_j}^j\times\mathcal{F}_{t_k}^k)_{t_j\ge 0}$*-martingale in* $t_j$*.*

Let $\mathrm{JP}_*$ be the set of $(J_1,J_2)\in\mathrm{JP}$ such that for $j=1,2$, $J_j$ is a polygon curve whose vertices have rational coordinates. Then $\mathrm{JP}_*$ is countable. Let $(J_1^m,J_2^m)$, $m\in\mathbb{N}$, be an enumeration of $\mathrm{JP}_*$. For each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, let $M_*^n(t_1,t_2)$ be the $M_*(t_1,t_2)$ given by Proposition 5.2 for $(J_1^m,J_2^m)$, $1\le m\le n$, in the above enumeration.

For $j=1,2$, let $\xi_j(t)$, $K_j(t)$, and $g_{I,j}(t,\cdot)$ be as in the prior subsection. Recall that $K_j(t)$, $0\le t<p$, is an annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda_j)$ process in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from $a_j$ with marked point $a_{I,3-j}$. For $j=1,2$, let $\mu_j$ denote the distribution of $\xi_j(t)$, $0\le t<p$. At first, suppose that $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ are independent, then $\mu:=\mu_1\times\mu_2$ is the joint distribution of $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$, which is a probability measure on $C([0,p))^2$. For each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, define $\nu^n$ by $d\nu^n=M_*^n(p,p)d\mu$. Then $\nu^n$ is also a probability measure on $C([0,p))^2$. Let $\nu_1^n$ and $\nu_2^n$ be the marginal measure of $\nu^n$. Since $M_*^n(t_1,t_2)=1$ when $t_1=0$ or $t_2=0$, $\nu_j^n=\mu_j$, $j=1,2$. If the joint distribution of $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ is $\nu^n$ instead of $\mu$, then the distributions of $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ are still $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$, respectively; and from the discussion at the end of the prior subsection, we see that for any $1\le m\le n$ and $j\ne k\in\{1,2\}$, if $t_k$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_t^k)$-stopping time with $t_k\le T_k(J_k^m)$, then conditioned on $\mathcal{F}_{t_k}^k$, after a time-change, $g_{I,k}(t_k,K_j(t))$, $0\le t\le T_j(J_j^m)$, is a partial annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda_j)$ process in $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_k}$ started from $g_{I,k}(t_k,a_j)$ with marked point $I_{p-t_k}\circ e^i(\xi_k(t_k))$. Now we are ready to finish the proof of Theorem 5.1.

**A sketch of the proof of Theorem 5.1 in the case $\kappa>0$.** Let $\mathcal{H}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})$ denote the space of nonempty compact subsets of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ (with spherical metric) endowed with the Hausdorff metric. We view $C([0,p))$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{H}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})$ by identifying every $\xi\in C([0,p))$ with $G(\xi)\in\mathcal{H}(\widehat{C})$, where $G(\xi)$ is the closure of $\{x+i\xi(x):0\le x<p\}$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $\Pr(C([0,p))^2)$ becomes a subspace of $\Pr(\mathcal{H}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})^2)$. Let $\nu\in\Pr(\mathcal{H}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})^2)$ be a subsequential limit of $(\nu^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let $\nu_1$ and $\nu_2$ be the marginals of $\nu$. For each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $\nu_j^n=\mu_j$ for $j=1,2$, so $\nu_1=\mu_1$ and $\nu_2=\mu_2$. Since $\mu_1,\mu_2\in\Pr(C([0,p)))$, $\nu\in\Pr(C([0,p))^2))$. Now suppose that the joint distribution of $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ is $\nu$ instead of $\mu$. Let $K_j(t)$, $\beta_j(t)$, $K_{I,j}(t)$, and $\beta_{I,j}(t)$ be the notations as before. Since $\nu_1=\mu_1$ and $\nu_2=\mu_2$, we see that, for $j=1,2$, $K_j(t)$, $0\le t<p$, is still an annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda_j)$ process in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from $a_j$ with marked point $a_{I,3-j}$. So we have (i). From Proposition 5.2 (i) it is easily seen that for any $(J_1,J_2)\in\mathrm{JP}$, the joint distribution of $(K_1(t):0\le t\le T_1(J_1))$ and $(K_{I,2}(t):0\le t\le T_2(J_2))$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the product measure of these two distributions, and the Radon-Nikodym derivative is $M(T_1(J_1),T_2(J_2))$. Moveover, it is easy to check that, for $j\ne k\in\{1,2\}$, if $t_k<p$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_t^k)$-stopping time, then $T_j(t_k)=\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\{T_j(J_j^m):t_k\le T_k(J_k^m)\}$. From the discussion before this proof, one can conclude that, conditioned on $\mathcal{F}_{t_k}^k$, after a time-change, $g_{I,k}(t_k,K_j(t))$, $0\le t<T_j(t_k)$, is a partial annulus

SLE($\kappa, \Lambda_j$) process in $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_k}$ started from $g_{I,k}(t_k, a_j)$ with marked point $I_{p-t_k} \circ e^i(\xi_k(t_k))$. The word "partial" could be removed because as $t_j \to T_j(t_k)$, $\text{dist}(K_j(t_j), K_{I,k}(t_k)) \to 0$, so from (5.14) and (5.15) we have $v_{j,t_k}(t_j) \to p - t_k$. The reader may refer Section 4.3 in [29] for details of the argument in this proof. □

## 5.6 The deterministic case

Now we prove Theorem 5.1 in the case $\kappa = 0$. For $j \neq k \in \{1, 2\}$, define $U_k$ and $V_k$ on $\mathcal{D}$ by

$$U_k = A_{k,1}\Lambda_k(\mathrm{m}, X_k) + 3\frac{A_{k,2}}{A_{k,1}}, \quad V_k = \partial_j U_k. \tag{5.71}$$

Fix $j \neq k \in \{1, 2\}$. If $t_j = 0$, then $A_{k,1} = 1$, $A_{k,2} = 0$, $\mathrm{m} = p - t_k$, and $X_k = \xi_k(t_k) - \widetilde{g}_{I,k}(t_k, x_j)$. From (5.55), $\xi_k$ satisfies $d\xi_k(t_k) = U_k|_{t_j=0}dt_k$. Similarly, $\xi_j$ satisfies $d\xi_j(t_j) = U_j|_{t_k=0}dt_j$. From (5.42), (5.56), and that $\mathbf{H}_I$ is odd, we see that $X_j$ and $X_k$ satisfy

$$\partial_j X_k = -\partial_j X_j = -A_{j,1}[U_j|_{t_k=0}] + 3A_{j,2} + A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}, X_k). \tag{5.72}$$

From (5.25), (5.42), (5.50), (5.51), (5.71), (5.72), and that $\mathbf{H}_I'$ is even, $\mathbf{H}_I''$ is odd, we have

$$V_k = A_{k,1}A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{H}_I'(\mathrm{m}, X_k)\Lambda_k(\mathrm{m}, X_k) - A_{k,1}A_{j,1}^2\partial_t\Lambda_k(\mathrm{m}, X_k) + 3A_{k,1}A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{H}_I''(\mathrm{m}, X_k)$$
$$+A_{k,1}\Lambda_k'(\mathrm{m}, X_k)\{-A_{j,1}[U_j|_{t_k=0}] + 3A_{j,2} + A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}, X_k)\}.$$

Since (1.1) holds with $\kappa = 0$, from the above formula, we get

$$V_k = A_{k,1}A_{j,1}\Lambda_k'(\mathrm{m}, X_k)(U_j - U_j|_{t_k=0}).$$

Let $R := A_{1,1}A_{2,1}\Lambda_1'(\mathrm{m}, X_1) = A_{1,1}A_{2,1}\Lambda_2'(\mathrm{m}, X_2)$. Then we have

$$V_1(t_1, t_2) = R(t_1, t_2)\int_0^{t_1} V_2(s_1, t_2)ds_1, \quad V_2(t_1, t_2) = R(t_1, t_2)\int_0^{t_2} V_1(t_1, s_2)ds_2. \tag{5.73}$$

The only solution to (5.73) is $V_1 \equiv V_2 \equiv 0$. So we have $U_j = U_j|_{t_k=0}$. From (5.72) and that $\mathbf{H}_I$ is odd, we have

$$\partial_j X_j = A_{j,1}U_j - 3A_{j,2} + A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}, X_j) = A_{j,1}^2\Lambda_j(\mathrm{m}, X_j) + A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}, X_j). \tag{5.74}$$

From (5.41) and (5.44) we have $X_j + A_{k,0} = \xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)$. So from (5.49) and (5.74), we see that

$$d\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j) = A_{j,1}^2\Lambda_j(\mathrm{m}, X_j)dt_j. \tag{5.75}$$

Since $\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j) = \zeta_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j))$ and $\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j, \cdot) = \widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j), \cdot)$, from (5.15), (5.24), (5.41), and (5.75), $\zeta_{j,t_k}(t)$ satisfies

$$d\zeta_{j,t_k}(t) = \Lambda_j(p - t_k - t, \zeta_{j,t_k}(t) - \widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(t, \xi_k(t_k)))dt.$$

When $j = 1$ and $k = 2$, this equation agrees with (5.70) when $\kappa = 0$. Arguing as in Section 5.4, we can complete the proof of Theorem 5.1 in the case $\kappa = 0$.

### 5.7 Other results

Here we state without proofs some other results which can be proved using the idea in the proof of Theorem 5.1.

**Theorem 5.2** *Let $\kappa \ge 0$. Suppose $\Lambda$ is a $C^{1,2}$ differentiable chordal-type annulus drift function that solves (1.2). Let $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda$ and $\Lambda_2$ be the dual function of $\Lambda$. Then for any $p > 0$ and $a_1 \ne a_2 \in \mathbb{T}$, there is a coupling of two processes: $K_1(t)$, $0 \le t < T_1$, and $K_2(t)$, $0 \le t < T_2$, such that for $j \ne k \in \{1,2\}$ the following hold.*

*(i) $K_j(t)$, $0 \le t < T_j$, is an annulus SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_j)$ process in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from $a_j$ with marked point $a_k$.*

*(ii) If $t_k \in [0, T_k)$ is a stopping time w.r.t. $(K_k(t))$, then conditioned on $K_k(t)$, $0 \le t \le t_k$, after a time-change, $g_k(t_k, K_j(t))$, $0 \le t < T_j(t_k)$, is a partial annulus SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_j)$ process in $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_k}$ started from $g_k(t_k, a_j) \in \mathbb{T}$ with marked point $e^i(\xi_k(t_k)) \in \mathbb{T}$, where $\xi_k$ is the driving function for $(K_k(t))$, $g_k(t, \cdot)$, $0 \le t < p$, are the annulus Loewner maps of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi_k$, and $T_j(t_k)$ is the maximal number in $(0, T_j]$ such that $\overline{K_j(t)} \cap \overline{K_k(t_k)} = \emptyset$ for $0 \le t < T_j(t_k)$. If $\kappa \in (0,4]$, the word "partial" could be removed.*

Let $\Lambda$ be a $C^1$ function on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{2n\pi : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with period $2\pi$. Then we may define a radial SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda)$ process in $\mathbb{D}$ started from $a = e^{ix_0}$ with marked point $b = e^{iy_0}$, both of which lie on $\mathbb{T}$ and are distinct. The driving function $\xi$ solves

$$d\xi(t) = \sqrt{\kappa} dB(t) + \Lambda(\xi(t) - \widetilde{g}(t, y_0))dt, \quad \xi(0) = x_0, \tag{5.76}$$

where $\widetilde{g}(t, \cdot)$ are the covering radial Loewner maps driven by $\xi$. If $\Lambda$ solves

$$0 = \frac{\kappa}{2}\Lambda'' + \Big(3 - \frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\cot_2'' + \Lambda \cot_2' + \cot_2 \Lambda' + \Lambda\Lambda', \tag{5.77}$$

and $\Lambda_I(x) = -\Lambda(-x)$, we may construct a coupling of a radial SLE$(\kappa; \Lambda)$ process with a radial SLE$(\kappa; \Lambda_I)$ process, which has a similar property as the coupling in Theorem 5.2.

Let $x_0 \ne y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. We may define a strip SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda)$ process in $\mathbb{S}_\pi$ started from $x_0$ with marked point $y_0$, where $\Lambda$ is a $C^1$ function on $(0, \infty)$ or $(-\infty, 0)$ depending on $x_0 > y_0$ or $x_0 < y_0$. The driving function $\xi$ solves (5.76) with $\widetilde{g}(t, \cdot)$ replaced by the strip Loewner maps $g(t, \cdot)$ driven by $\xi$. If $\Lambda$ solves (5.77) with $\cot_2$ replaced by $\coth_2$, and $\Lambda_I(x) = -\Lambda(-x)$, we may construct a coupling of a strip SLE$(\kappa; \Lambda)$ process with a strip SLE$(\kappa; \Lambda_I)$ process, which has a similar property as the coupling in Theorem 5.2.

We can also define strip SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda)$ processes where the marked point lies on $\mathbb{R}_\pi$. We need that $\Lambda$ be a $C^1$ differentiable function on $\mathbb{R}$. For this kind of strip SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda)$ processes, we have the coupling theorem, which is similar to Theorem 5.1. The condition is that $\Lambda$ satisfies (5.77) with $\cot_2$ replaced by $\tanh_2$.

The general solutions to (5.77) and the equations with $\cot_2$ replaced by $\coth_2$ or $\tanh_2$ can be expressed in terms of hypergeometric function. Some particular solutions can be expressed in terms of trigonometric or hyperbolic functions. For example, $\Lambda_1(x) = (\kappa/2 - 3)\cot_2(x)$ and $\Lambda_2(x) = \cot_2(x)$ solve (5.77). The radial SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_1)$ process is actually the chordal SLE$_\kappa$, and the radial SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_2)$ process is a two-sided radial SLE$_\kappa$ (c.f. [10]), which can be viewed as a chordal SLE$_\kappa$ trace conditioned to pass through a marked interior point ([5]). So the commutation relation is not a surprise.

## 6 Coupling of Whole-Plane SLE

In this section, we will prove theorems about coupling of whole-plane SLE. The average shift of $\Lambda$ (Definition 5.1) will play a role in the statements. Recall that $I_0(z) = 1/\bar{z}$.

**Theorem 6.1** *Fix $\kappa > 0$. Suppose $\Lambda$ is a crossing annulus drift function that satisfies (1.1) and has average shift 0. Let $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda$ and $\Lambda_2$ be the dual function of $\Lambda$. Then there is a coupling of two processes $K_{I,1}(t)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, and $K_{I,2}(t)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, such that for $j \ne k \in \{1, 2\}$, the following hold.*

*(i) $K_{I,j}(t)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, is a whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ process in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from 0 to $\infty$;*

*(ii) Let $t_k$ be a finite stopping time w.r.t. $(K_{I,k}(t))$. Then conditioned on $K_{I,k}(s)$, $-\infty < s \le t_k$, after a time-change, the process $g_k(t_k, K_{I,j}(t_j))$, $-\infty < t_j < T_j(t_k)$, is a disc SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_j)$ process in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0 with marked point $e^{i}(\xi_k(t_k))$, where $\xi_k$ is the driving function for $(K_{I,k}(t))$, $g_k(t, \cdot)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, are the inverted whole-plane Loewner maps driven by $\xi_k$, and $T_j(t_k)$ is the maximal number in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $K_{I,j}(t) \cap I_0(K_{I,k}(t_k)) = \emptyset$ for $-\infty < t < T_j(t_k)$.*

### 6.1 Stochastic Analysis

We use the notation in Section 2.2. The results from Stochastic Analysis, such as Itô's formula, Girsanov theorem, and time-change (c.f. [17]), extends to the integrals against pre-$(\mathbb{T}; \kappa)$-Brownian motions. Suppose $B^{(\kappa)}(t)$ is a pre-$(\mathcal{F}_t)$-$(\mathbb{T}; \kappa)$-Brownian motion. We have the following lemmas. The idea of the proofs is to truncate the time intervals, which means that to study a process $X(t)$ defined on $(-\infty, T)$, we work on $X(t_0 + t)$, $0 \le t < T - t_0$, instead, where $t_0$ is an arbitrary number close to $-\infty$. We omit the proofs because they are straightforward.

**Lemma 6.1** *(i) Suppose $X(t)$, $-\infty < t < T$, satisfies the $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted SDE (2.2), and $f(t, x)$ is a random $C^{1,2}$ differentiable function defined on $(-\infty, T) \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $e^{i} \circ f(t, \cdot)$ is $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted, and for some fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f(t, x + 2\pi) = f(t, x) + 2k\pi$. Let $Y(t) = f(t, X(t))$. Then $Y(t)$, satisfies the $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted SDE:*

$$dY(t) = f'(t, X(t))a(t)dB^{(\kappa)}(t) + [\partial_t f(t, X(t)) + f'(t, X(t))b(t) + \frac{\kappa}{2}f''(t, X(t))a(t)^2]dt.$$

*(ii) Suppose the $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted SDE (2.2) holds for $X_j(t)$, $a_j(t)$, and $b_j(t)$, $j = 1, 2$. Then $Y(t) := X_1(t) \cdot X_2(t)$ satisfies the $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted SDE:*

$$dY(t) = [X_1(t)a_2(t) + X_2(t)a_1(t)]dB^{(\kappa)}(t) + [X_1(t)b_2(t) + X_2(t)b_1(t) + \kappa a_1(t)a_2(t)]dt.$$

**Lemma 6.2** *Suppose $M(t)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, is a uniformly bounded positive $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-martingale and satisfies the $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted SDE: $dM(t)/M(t) = a(t)dB^{(\kappa)}(t)$ for some $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted continuous process $a(t)$ which satisfies that a.s. $\int_{-\infty}^t a(s)ds$ converges for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. So $M(\infty) := \lim_{t\to\infty} M(t)$ a.s. exists. Suppose $\lim_{t\to-\infty} M(t) = 1$ uniformly. Let $\mathbb{P}$ denote the distribution of $(B^{(\kappa)}(t))$. Define $\mathbb{P}_*$ by $d\mathbb{P}_*/d\mathbb{P} = M(\infty)$. Then $\mathbb{P}_0$ is a probability distribution, and $B_*^{(\kappa)}(t) := B^{(\kappa)}(t) - \int_{-\infty}^t \kappa a(s)ds$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a pre-$(\mathcal{F}_t)$-$(\mathbb{T}; \kappa)$-Brownian motion under $\mathbb{P}_*$.*

**Lemma 6.3** *Suppose that $X(t)$ satisfies the $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted SDE: $dX(t) = a(t)dB^{(\kappa)}(t)$, $-\infty < t < T$, where $a(t)$ is a positive continuous $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted process. Suppose that $u(t)$, $-\infty < t < T$, is $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-adapted, $u'(t) = a(t)^2$, and $u$ maps $(-\infty, T)$ onto $(-\infty, S)$ for some random $S \in (-\infty, \infty]$, which is bounded below by a deterministic number. Suppose that $u(t) \to -\infty$ uniformly as $t \to -\infty$. Let $Y(s) = X(u^{-1}(s))$, $-\infty < s < S$. Then $Y(s)$, $-\infty < s < S$, is a partial pre-$(\mathbb{T}; \kappa)$-Brownian motion.*

## 6.2 Estimations on Loewner maps

Let $\widetilde{g}(t, \cdot)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be the inverted covering whole-plane Loewner maps driven by some $\xi \in C(\mathbb{R})$. Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $h(t) = \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{g}(t, z) > 0$ for $t \in (-\infty, \tau_z)$, the interval on which $\widetilde{g}(t, z)$ is defined. Since $\widetilde{g}$ satisfies (3.4) we have $-\tanh_2(h(t)) \ge h'(t) \ge -\coth_2(h(t))$. So $h(t)$ decreases, and $\frac{d}{dt} \ln(\cosh_2(h(t))) \ge -1/2$, which implies that

$$\cosh_2(h(t))) \ge e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)} \cosh_2(h(s)), \quad s < t \in (-\infty, \tau_z).$$

Let $s \to -\infty$. From (3.6) we have $\cosh_2(h(t)) \ge \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{\operatorname{Im} z}{2} - \frac{t}{2}}$, so $4\cosh_2^2(h(t)) \ge e^{\operatorname{Im} z - t}$. Thus, $e^{h(t)} \ge e^{\operatorname{Im} z - t} - 3$. So we have $e^{\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{g}(t,z)} \ge e^{\operatorname{Im} z - t} - 3$, for $t \in (-\infty, \tau_z)$. From (3.4) and this formula, we see that

$$|\partial_t \widetilde{g}(t, z) + i| \le \frac{2}{e^{\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{g}(t,z)} - 1} \le \frac{2}{e^{\operatorname{Im} z - t} - 4}, \quad \text{if } t < \operatorname{Im} z - \ln(4). \tag{6.1}$$

If $t \le \operatorname{Im} z - \ln(8)$ then $e^{\operatorname{Im} z - t} - 4 \ge e^{\operatorname{Im} z - t}/2$, so the RHS of the above formula is not bigger than $4e^{t - \operatorname{Im} z}$. Then from (3.6) and integration we have

$$|\widetilde{g}(t, z) + it - z| \le 4e^{t - \operatorname{Im} z} \le 1/2, \quad \text{if } t \le \operatorname{Im} z - \ln(8). \tag{6.2}$$

If $\widetilde{g}(t, \cdot)$ are the covering whole-plane Loewner maps, then from $\widetilde{g}(t, \cdot) = \widetilde{I}_0 \circ \widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot) \circ \widetilde{I}_0$, we have

$$|\widetilde{g}_I(t, z) - it - z| \le 4e^{t + \operatorname{Im} z}, \quad \text{if } t \le -\operatorname{Im} z - \ln(8). \tag{6.3}$$

Let $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$, $-\infty<t<0$, be the covering disc Loewner maps driven by some $\xi\in(-\infty,0)$. Let $z\in\mathbb{H}$ and $h(t)=\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{g}_I(t,z)>0$ for $t\in(-\infty,\tau_z)$. From Lemma 2.1 and (3.14) we see that if $-t\ge h(t)+2$ then $|h'(t)|\le 5.5e^{h(t)+t}$, so $|\frac{d}{dt}e^{-h(t)}|\le 5.5e^t$. From (3.15) we see that $-t\ge h(t)+2$ when $t$ is close to $-\infty$. Suppose that $-t\ge h(t)+2$ does not hold for all $t\in(-\infty,\tau_z)$, and let $t_0$ be the first $t$ such that $-t=h(t)+2$. Then $|\frac{d}{dt}e^{-h(t)}|\le 5.5e^t$ on $(-\infty,t_0]$. From (3.15) and integration we have $e^{t_0+2}=e^{-h(t_0)}\ge e^{-\operatorname{Im}z}-5.5e^{t_0}$, which implies that $e^{-\operatorname{Im}z}\le(e^2+5.5)e^{t_0}<13e^{t_0}$. Thus, if $t\le-\operatorname{Im}z-\ln(13)$ then $-t\ge h(t)+2$, so $|h'(t)|\le 5.5e^{h(t)+t}$. From (3.15) and integration, we have

$$e^{-\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{g}_I(t,z)}\ge e^{-\operatorname{Im}z}-5.5e^t\ge\frac{7.5}{13}e^{-\operatorname{Im}z},\quad \text{if } t\le-\operatorname{Im}z-\ln(13).$$

For $t\in(-\infty,-\operatorname{Im}z-\ln(13)]$, from the above inequality, we have

$$\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{g}_I(t,z)\le\operatorname{Im}z+\ln(13)-\ln(7.5)\le -t-\ln(7.5)<-t-2,$$

which, together with Lemma 2.1, implies that

$$|\mathbf{H}_I(-t,\widetilde{g}_I(t,z)-\xi(t))|\le 5.5e^{\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{g}_I(t,z)+t}\le 5.5\frac{13}{7.5}e^{\operatorname{Im}z+t}<10e^{\operatorname{Im}z+t}.$$

From (3.14), (3.15) and integration we have

$$|\widetilde{g}_I(t,z)-z|\le 10e^{\operatorname{Im}z+t},\quad \text{if } t\le-\operatorname{Im}z-\ln(13).$$

If $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)$ are the inverted covering disc Loewner maps, then from $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)=\widetilde{I}_{-t}\circ\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{I}_0$, we have

$$|\widetilde{g}(t,z)+it-z|\le 10e^{-\operatorname{Im}z+t},\quad \text{if } t\le\operatorname{Im}z-\ln(13). \tag{6.4}$$

## 6.3 Ensemble

The argument in this subsection is parallel to that in Section 5.2. Let $\xi_1,\xi_2\in C(\mathbb{R})$. For $j=1,2$, let $K_{I,j}(t)$ and $g_{I,j}(t,\cdot)$ (resp. $K_j(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}_j(t,\cdot)$) be the whole-plane (resp. inverted whole-plane) Loewner hulls and maps driven by $\xi_j(t)$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Let $\widetilde{K}_{I,j}(t)$, $\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t,\cdot)$, $\widetilde{K}_j(t)$, and $\widetilde{g}_j(t,\cdot)$ be the corresponding covering hulls and maps. So we have $K_j(t)=I_0(K_{I,j}(t))$, $g_j(t,\cdot)=I_0\circ g_{I,j}(t,\cdot)\circ I_0$, and $\widetilde{g}_j(t,\cdot)=\widetilde{I}_0\circ\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{I}_0$. Define $\mathcal{D}$ by (5.13). For $(t_1,t_2)\in\mathcal{D}$, $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}\setminus K_1(t_1)\setminus K_{I,2}(t_2)$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}\setminus K_{I,1}(t_1)\setminus K_2(t_2)$ are doubly connected domains with the same modulus. Define $\mathrm{m}$ on $\mathcal{D}$ by (5.14) with $\mathbb{A}_p$ replaced by $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Fix any $j\ne k\in\{1,2\}$ and $t_k\in\mathbb{R}$. Let $T_j(t_k)=\sup\{t_j: K_{I,j}(t_j)\cap K_k(t_k)=\emptyset\}$. Then for any $t_j<T_j(t_k)$, we have $(t_1,t_2)\in\mathcal{D}$. Moreover, as $t_j\to T_j(t_k)^-$, the spherical distance between $K_{I,j}(t_j)$ and $K_k(t_k)$ tends to 0, so $\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)\to 0$.

From Proposition 3.3, $K_{I,j}(t_j)$, $-\infty<t_j<\infty$, is an interior Loewner chain in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ started from 0. Since for $-\infty<t_j<T_j(t_k)$, $K_{I,j}(t_j)$ lies in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}\setminus K_k(t_k)$, $K_{I,j}(t_j)$, $-\infty<t_j<T_j(t_k)$, is also an interior Loewner chain in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}\setminus K_k(t_k)$. Let $K_{I,j,t_k}(t_j)=g_k(t_k,K_{I,j}(t_j))$. Recall that $g_k(t_k,\cdot)$

maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}\setminus K_k(t_k)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{D}$ and fixes 0, so $K_{I,j,t_k}(t_j)$, $-\infty<t_j<T_j(t_k)$, is an interior Loewner chain in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0. Now we apply Proposition 3.6. For $-\infty<t_j<T_j(t_k)$, let

$$v_{j,t_k}(t_j):=-\operatorname{mod}(\mathbb{D}\setminus K_{I,j,t_k}(t_j))=-\operatorname{mod}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}\setminus K_k(t_k)\setminus K_{I,j}(t_j))=-\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2). \tag{6.5}$$

Here the second "=" holds because $g_k(t_k,\cdot)$ maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}\setminus K_k(t_k)\setminus K_{I,j}(t_j)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{D}\setminus K_{I,j,t_k}(t_j)$. Then $v_{j,t_k}$ is continuous and increasing and maps $(-\infty,T_j(t_k))$ onto $(-\infty,S)$ for some $S\in(-\infty,0]$. Since $\mathrm{m}\to 0$ as $t_j\to T_j(t_k)^-$, $S=0$. Let $L_{I,j,t_k}(t)=K_{I,j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}^{-1}(t))$, $-\infty<t<0$. Then $L_{I,j,t_k}(t)$, $-\infty<t<0$, are the disc Loewner hulls driven by some $\zeta_{j,t_k}\in C((-\infty,0))$. Let $L_{j,t_k}(t)$ be the corresponding inverted disc Loewner hulls. Let $h_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ and $h_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ be the corresponding disc and inverted disc Loewner maps. Let $\widetilde{L}_{I,j,t_k}(t)$, $\widetilde{L}_{j,t_k}(t)$, $\widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, and $\widetilde{h}_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ be the corresponding covering Loewner hulls and maps.

For $-\infty<t_j<T_j(t_k)$, let $\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)$, $K_{j,t_k}(t_j)$, $g_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$, $g_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$, $\widetilde{K}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j)$, $\widetilde{K}_{j,t_k}(t_j)$, $\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$, and $\widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ be the time-change of $\zeta_{j,t_k}(t)$, $L_{j,t_k}(t)$, $h_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, $h_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, $\widetilde{L}_{I,j,t_k}(t)$, $\widetilde{L}_{j,t_k}(t)$, $\widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, and $\widetilde{h}_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, respectively, via $v_{j,t_k}$.

Applying Proposition 3.4, we find that (5.16) holds here with $\mathrm{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_p}$ replaced by $\mathrm{cap}_{\mathbb{D};0}$, and (5.17) holds here without change. Applying Proposition 3.7, we find that (5.18) and (5.19) also hold here. Define $G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ and $\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ by (5.20) and (5.21). Then (5.22) still holds here. We could choose the driving function $\zeta_{j,t_k}$ such that (5.23) holds. Using Proposition 3.1 we conclude that (5.24) and (5.25) hold here.

From (3.4), we see that (5.26) holds with $p-t_j$ replaced by $\infty$. Using (3.16), (5.24) and (6.5), we obtain (5.27) and (5.28). Then (5.29) follows from (5.21). Differentiating (5.29) w.r.t. $t_j$, we conclude that (5.30) holds here with $p-t_j$ replaced by $\infty$. Letting $w\to\xi_j(t_j)$ in $\mathbb{H}\setminus\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,\widetilde{K}_{I,k}(t_k))$ we obtain (5.31). Differentiating (5.30) w.r.t. $t_j$ and letting $w\to\xi_j(t_j)$ we obtain (5.32) with $p-t_j$ replaced by $\infty$.

We can obtain (5.33), (5.34), (5.35), and (5.36) using the argument there. From the definition of inverted disc Loewner maps and (6.5) we obtain (5.37) with $\widetilde{I}_{p-t_k}$ replaced by $\widetilde{I}_0$, and (5.38) with $\widetilde{I}_{p-t_j}$ replaced by $\widetilde{I}_0$. Using these formulas we obtain (5.39), which together with (5.35) implies (5.40). For $j=1,2$, let $X_j$ be defined by (5.41). Then (5.42) holds. Let $Q$ be defined by (5.43). For $j=1,2$, let $A_{j,h}$, $h=0,1,2,3$, and $A_{j,S}$ be defined by (5.44) and (5.45). Then (5.46)-(5.52) still hold.

From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$Q=O(e^{-\mathrm{m}}),\quad \text{as } \mathrm{m}\to\infty. \tag{6.6}$$

From Lemma 5.5, we see that, for $j=1,2$,

$$\ln(A_{j,1}),A_{j,S}=O(\mathrm{m}\,e^{-\mathrm{m}}),\quad \text{as } \mathrm{m}\to\infty. \tag{6.7}$$

From [10], $t_j$ is the whole-plane capacity of $K_{I,j}(t_j)$, so $\operatorname{diam}(K_{I,j}(t_j))\le 4e^{t_j}$. Since $0\in K_{I,j}(t_j)$, $K_{I,j}(t_j)\subset\{|z|\le 4e^{t_j}\}$, which implies $K_j(t_j)\subset\{|z|\ge e^{-t_j}/4\}$. Thus, if $t_1+t_2<-\ln(16)$, then the annulus $\{4e^{t_1}<|z|<e^{-t_2}/4\}$ separates $K_{I,1}(t_1)$ and $K_2(t_2)$. So we have

$$\{(t_1,t_2)\in\mathbb{R}^2: t_1+t_2<-\ln(16)\}\subset\mathcal{D}, \tag{6.8}$$

$$\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2) \ge -t_1 - t_2 - \ln(16), \quad \text{if } (t_1,t_2) \in \mathcal{D}. \tag{6.9}$$

From (6.6), (6.7), (6.8), and (6.9), we see that

$$A_{1,1}(t_1,t_2)^2 A_{2,1}(t_1,t_2)^2 Q(t_1,t_2) = O(e^{t_1+t_2}), \quad \text{as } t_1+t_2 \to -\infty.$$

Define $F$ on $\mathcal{D}$ by (5.53) with the lower bounds 0 replaced by $-\infty$. From Lemma 5.5, $A_{k,S} \to 0$ as $t_j \to -\infty$. Thus, (5.54) still holds here, and

$$\ln(F(t_1,t_2)) = \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} A_{1,S}(s_1,t_2)ds_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} \frac{A_{1,S}(s_1,t_2)}{A_{1,1}(s_1,t_2)^2} \cdot A_{1,1}(s_1,t_2)^2 ds_1. \tag{6.10}$$

From (6.7) we have $\frac{A_{1,S}}{A_{1,1}^2} = O(\mathrm{m}\, e^{-\mathrm{m}})$. By changing variable using $x = x(s_1) = \mathrm{m}(s_1,t_2)$ in (6.10), and using (5.25), we conclude that

$$\ln(F) = O(\mathrm{m}\, e^{-\mathrm{m}}), \quad \text{as } \mathrm{m} \to \infty. \tag{6.11}$$

Let $\Lambda$, $\Lambda_1$, and $\Lambda_2$, be as in Theorem 6.1. Let $\Gamma$ be given by Lemma 5.4. Since the average shift of $\Lambda$ is $s = 0$, $\Gamma = \Gamma_0$ satisfies (5.12). Let $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma$, and $\Gamma_2(t,x) = \Gamma(t,-x)$. Then for $j = 1,2$, $\Lambda_j$ and $\Gamma_j$ satisfy (5.1), (5.2), and (5.12). Define $Y$ on $\mathcal{D}$ by (5.57). Since $\Gamma_1$ satisfies (5.12),

$$\ln(Y) = o(\mathrm{m}) \quad \text{as } \mathrm{m} \to \infty. \tag{6.12}$$

### 6.4 Martingales in two time variables

In this section, we will construct $M(t_1,t_2)$ on $\mathcal{D}$, which is a local martingale in one variable, when the other variable is fixed. The argument here is parallel to that in Section 5.3. The difference is that the time variables here often run in the intervals of the form $(-\infty,T)$ instead of $[0,T)$, and we will apply Lemma 6.1 instead of the traditional Itô's formula.

Let $(B_1^{(\kappa)}(t))$ and $(B_2^{(\kappa)}(t))$ be two independent pre-$(\mathbb{T};\kappa)$-Brownian motion. Let $\xi_j(t) = B_j^{(\kappa)}(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1,2$. We adopt the notation in the prior section. Then $K_{I,j}(t)$, $j = 1,2$, are whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ processes in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from 0 to $\infty$. For $j = 1,2$, let $(\mathcal{F}_t^j)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be the complete filtration generated by $e^i(\xi_j(t))$. The whole-plane Loewner objects driven by $\xi_j$ are all $(\mathcal{F}_t^j)$-adapted, because they are all determined by $(e^i(\xi_j(t)))$. It is easy to check that for $j \ne k \in \{1,2\}$, the processes $(K_{I,j,t_k}(t_j))$, $(\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot))$, $(A_{j,h})$, $h = 1,2,3$, $(A_{j,S})$, $(G_{I,j,t_j}(t_k,\cdot))$, $(\widetilde{G}_{I,j,t_j}(t_k,\cdot))$, $(e^i(\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)))$, $(e^i(X_j))$, $(\mathrm{m})$, $(\mathbf{H}_I^{(h)}(\mathrm{m},X_1))$, $(\Gamma_j(\mathrm{m},X_j))$, $(\Lambda_j(\mathrm{m},X_j))$, $(Q)$, $(F)$, and $(Y)$ defined for $(t_1,t_2) \in \mathcal{D}$ are all $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1 \times \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$-adapted. This is not true for $(A_{j,0} = \xi_{j,t_k}(t_j))$ and $(X_j)$, but is true for their images under the map $e^i$.

Fix $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $r_1 + r_2 < -\ln(16)$. Fix $j \ne k \in \{1,2\}$, and an $(\mathcal{F}_t^k)$-stopping time $t_k \in (-\infty, r_2]$. Since $r_1 + t_2 \le r_1 + r_2 < -\ln(16)$, from (6.8), $T_j(t_k)$ is bounded below by $r_j$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{t_j}^{j,t_k} = \mathcal{F}_{t_j}^j \times \mathcal{F}_{t_k}^k$. Then $(\mathcal{F}_{t_j}^{j,t_k})_{-\infty<t_j<\infty}$ is a filtration, $T_j(t_k)$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_{t_j}^{j,t_k})$-stopping time, and $\xi_j(t_j)$ is a pre-$(\mathcal{F}_{t_j}^{j,t_k})$-$(\mathbb{T};\kappa)$-Brownian motion.

Using (5.31), (5.41), (5.44), (5.49), and Lemma 6.1, we conclude that (5.56) also holds here. Then (5.58) follows from (5.57). Let $\alpha$ and $c$ be as in (5.59). Using (5.32) with $p-t_j$ replaced by $\infty$, we see that (5.60) holds here with $p-t_j$ replaced by $\infty$.

Define $M$ on $\mathcal{D}$ not by (5.61) and (5.63) but by

$$M = A_{1,1}^{\alpha} A_{2,1}^{\alpha} F^{-\frac{c}{6}} Y \exp(\alpha \mathbf{R}(\mathrm{m})). \tag{6.13}$$

Then $M$ is $(\mathcal{F}^1_{t_1} \times \mathcal{F}^2_{t_2})$-adapted. Using Lemma 6.1, (2.4), (5.24), (5.25), (5.50), (5.54), (5.58), and (5.60) with $p-t_j$ replaced by $\infty$, we compute

$$\frac{\partial_j M}{M} = \Big[\Big(3-\frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\frac{A_{j,2}}{A_{j,1}} + \Lambda_j(\mathrm{m}, X_j) A_{j,1}\Big]\frac{\partial \xi_j(t_j)}{\kappa}. \tag{6.14}$$

So $M$ is a local martingale in $t_j$ when $t_k$ is a fixed stopping time bounded from above.

From (6.7), (6.11), (6.12), and that $\mathbf{R}(p) = O(e^{-p})$ as $p \to \infty$, we see that there is a positive continuous function $f$ on $(0,\infty)$ with $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = 0$ such that

$$|\ln(M(t_1,t_2))| \le f(\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)). \tag{6.15}$$

Let $\mathcal{J}$ denote the set of Jordan curves in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ that surround 0. For $J \in \mathcal{J}$ and $j = 1,2$, let $T_j(J)$ denote the smallest $t$ such that $K_j(t) \cap J \ne \emptyset$. Recall that $I_0(z) = 1/\overline{z}$ and $K_j(t) = I_0(K_{I,j}(t))$. So $T_j(J)$ is also the the smallest $t$ such that $K_{I,j}(t) \cap I_0(J) \ne \emptyset$. Let $H_J$ denote the closure of the domain bounded by $I_0(J)$. Then $H_J$ is an interior hull in $\mathbb{C}$. Let $C_J$ denote the whole-plane capacity of $H_J$. If $K_{I,j}(t) \subset H_J$, then $t \le C_J$ as $t$ is the whole-plane capacity of $K_{I,j}(t)$. So we have $T_j(J) \le C_J$.

Let JP denote the set of pairs $(J_1, J_2) \in \mathcal{J}^2$ such that $I_0(J_1) \cap J_2 = \emptyset$ and $I_0(J_1)$ is surrounded by $J_2$. This is equivalent to that $I_0(J_2) \cap J_1 = \emptyset$ and $I_0(J_2)$ is surrounded by $J_1$. Then for every $(J_1,J_2) \in$ JP, $K_{I,1}(t_1) \cap K_2(t_2) = \emptyset$ when $t_1 \le T_1(J_1)$ and $t_2 \le T_2(J_2)$, so $(-\infty, T_1(J_1)] \times (-\infty, T_2(J_2)] \subset \mathcal{D}$.

**Proposition 6.1** *(Boundedness) Fix $(J_1,J_2) \in$ JP. (i) $|\ln(M)|$ is bounded on $(-\infty, T_1(J_1)] \times (-\infty, T_2(J_2)]$ by a constant depending only on $J_1$ and $J_2$. (ii) Fix any $j \ne k \in \{1,2\}$. Then $\ln(M) \to 0$ as $t_j \to -\infty$ uniformly in $t_k \in (-\infty, T_k(J_k)]$.*

**Proof.** (i) From (6.15) it suffice to show that m is bounded away from 0 on $(-\infty, T_1(J_1)] \times (-\infty, T_2(J_2)]$. Let $\Omega(I_0(J_1), J_2)$ denote the doubly connected domain bounded by $I_0(J_1)$ and $J_2$. Let $p_0 > 0$ denote its modulus. For $(t_1,t_2) \in (-\infty, T_1(J_1)] \times (-\infty, T_2(J_2)]$, since $\Omega(I_0(J_1), J_2)$ disconnects $K_{I,1}(t_1)$ from $K_2(t_2)$, we have $\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2) \ge p_0$. (ii) This follows from (6.8), (6.9), (6.15), and that $T_k(J_k) \le C_{J_k} < \infty$. $\square$

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{D} \cup \{(t_1, -\infty) : t_1 \in [-\infty,\infty)\} \cup \{(-\infty, t_2) : t_2 \in [-\infty, \infty)\}$, and extend $M$ to $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ such that $M = 1$ if $t_1$ or $t_2$ equals $-\infty$. From Proposition 6.1, we see that $M$ is positive and continuous on $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$. So for any fixed $j \ne k \in \{1,2\}$ and any $(\mathcal{F}^k_t)$-stopping time $t_k$ which is uniformly bounded above, $M$ is a local martingale in $t_j \in [-\infty, T_j(t_k))$.

## 6.5 Local coupling and global coupling

Let $\mu_j$ denote the distribution of $(\xi_j)$, $j = 1, 2$. Let $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$. Then $\mu$ is the joint distribution of $(\xi_1)$ and $(\xi_2)$, since $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ are independent. Fix $(J_1, J_2) \in \mathrm{JP}$. From the local martingale property of $M$ and Proposition 6.1, we have $\mathbf{E}_\mu[M(T_1(J_1), T_2(J_2))] = M(-\infty, -\infty) = 1$. Define $\nu_{J_1,J_2}$ by $d\nu_{J_1,J_2} = M(T_1(J_1), T_2(J_2))d\mu$. Then $\nu_{J_1,J_2}$ is a probability measure. Let $\nu_1$ and $\nu_2$ be the two marginal measures of $\nu_{J_1,J_2}$. Then $d\nu_1/d\mu_1 = M(T_1(J_1), -\infty) = 1$ and $d\nu_2/d\mu_2 = M(-\infty, T_2(J_2)) = 1$, so $\nu_j = \mu_j$, $j = 1, 2$. Suppose temporarily that the distribution of $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ is $\nu_{J_1,J_2}$ instead of $\mu$. Then the distribution of each $(\xi_j)$ is still $\mu_j$.

We may now use the argument in Section 5.4 with a few changes. Here $M(t_1, t_2)$ satisfies (6.14) instead of (5.64); $\xi_j(t_j)$ does not satisfy (5.55), but is a pre-$(\mathbb{T}; \kappa)$-Brownian motion; Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.3 will be used in stead of the traditional Girsanov theorem and time-change. Eventually, we can conclude that, under the probability measure $\nu_{J_1,J_2}$, for any $j \neq k \in \{1, 2\}$, if $t_k$ is a fixed $(\mathcal{F}^k_t)$-stopping time with $t_k \le T_k(J_k)$, and $g_k(t, \cdot)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, are the inverted whole-plane Loewner maps driven by $\xi_k$, then conditioned on $\mathcal{F}^k_{t_k}$, after a time-change, $g_k(t_k, K_{I,j}(t_j))$, $-\infty < t_j \le T_j(J_j)$, is a partial disc SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_j)$ process in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0 with marked point $e^i(\xi_k(t_k))$.

The proof of Theorem 6.1 can be now completed by constructing a global coupling from the above local couplings. Here we need Proposition 5.2 with the lower bound "[0," and upper bound ", $p$]" of the intervals replaced by "$[-\infty$," and "$, \infty]$". This can be be proved using the same idea as in the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [28].

## 6.6 Coupling of skew whole-plane SLE

**Definition 6.1** *Let $\kappa \ge 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The family of radial Loewner hulls driven by $\xi(t) = \sqrt{\kappa}B(t) + st$, $0 \le t < \infty$, is called a radial SLE$(\kappa, s)$ process in $\mathbb{D}$ from 1 to 0. The radial SLE$(\kappa, s)$ process in a simply connected domain from a boundary point to an interior point is defined via conformal maps. The family of whole-plane Loewner hulls driven by $\xi(t) = B^{(\kappa)}(t) + st$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is called a whole-plane SLE$(\kappa, s)$ process in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from 0 to $\infty$, where $B^{(\kappa)}(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a pre-$(\mathbb{T}; \kappa)$-Brownian motion. Via conformal maps, one can define the whole-plane SLE$(\kappa, s)$ process in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from one point to another point.*

**Remarks.**

1. The whole-plane SLE$(\kappa, s)$ is related to the radial SLE$(\kappa, -s)$ in the same way as the whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ is related to the radial SLE$_\kappa$.

2. The image of a radial or whole-plane SLE$(\kappa, s)$ process under a conjugate conformal map is a radial or whole-plane SLE$(\kappa, -s)$ process.

3. The $\beta_I(t)$ defined by (3.5) exists for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\beta_I(t)$ is a continuous curve with $\lim_{t\to-\infty} \beta_I(t) = 0$, and for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_I(t)$ is the component of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \beta_I([-\infty, t])$ that contains $\infty$. This $\beta_I$ is called a whole-plane SLE$(\kappa, s)$ trace. If $\kappa \le 4$, $\beta_I$ is a simple curve, and $K_I(t) = \beta([-\infty, t])$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

We will prove the following theorem, which extends Theorem 6.1.

**Theorem 6.2** *Fix* $\kappa > 0$. *Suppose* $\Lambda$ *is a crossing annulus drift function that solves (1.1) and has average shift* $s \in \mathbb{R}$. *Let* $s_1 = s$, $s_2 = -s$, $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda$, *and* $\Lambda_2$ *be the dual function of* $\Lambda$. *Then there is a coupling of two processes:* $K_{I,1}(t)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, *and* $K_{I,2}(t)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, *such that for* $j \ne k \in \{1, 2\}$, *the following hold.*

*(i)* $K_{I,j}(t)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, *is a whole-plane SLE*$(\kappa, s_j)$ *process in* $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ *from* $0$ *to* $\infty$;

*(ii) Let* $t_k$ *be a finite stopping time w.r.t.* $(K_{I,k}(t))$. *Then conditioned on* $K_{I,k}(s)$, $-\infty < s \le t_k$, *after a time-change, the process* $g_k(t_k, K_{I,j}(t_j))$, $-\infty < t_j < T_j(t_k)$, *is a disc SLE*$(\kappa, \Lambda_j)$ *process in* $\mathbb{D}$ *started from* $0$ *with marked point* $e^i(\xi_k(t_k))$, *where* $\xi_k$ *is the driving function for* $(K_{I,k}(t))$, $g_k(t, \cdot)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, *are the inverted whole-plane Loewner maps driven by* $\xi_k$, *and* $T_j(t_k)$ *is the maximal number in* $\mathbb{R}$ *such that* $K_{I,j}(t) \cap I_0(K_{I,k}(t_k)) = \emptyset$ *for* $-\infty < t < T_j(t_k)$.

We adopt the notation in Section 6.3. Almost all statements in Section 6.3 still hold here except that $\Gamma, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$ may not satisfy (5.12), and (6.12) may not hold. However, since the average shift of $\Lambda$ is $s$, the $\Gamma_s$ given by Lemma 5.1 satisfies (5.12). Let $\Gamma_{s,1} = \Gamma_s$ and $\Gamma_{s,2}(t, x) = \Gamma_s(t, -x)$. Then for $j = 1, 2$, $\Gamma_{s,j}$ satisfies (5.12). Define $Y_s$ on $\mathcal{D}$ by

$$Y_s = \Gamma_{s,1}(\mathrm{m}, X_1) = \Gamma_{s,2}(\mathrm{m}, X_2).$$

Then

$$\ln(Y_s) = o(\mathrm{m}), \quad \text{as } \mathrm{m} \to \infty. \tag{6.16}$$

From (5.5),

$$\ln(Y) - \ln(Y_s) = \frac{s_1}{\kappa} X_1 + \frac{s^2}{2\kappa}\,\mathrm{m} = \frac{s_2}{\kappa} X_2 + \frac{s^2}{2\kappa}\,\mathrm{m}\,. \tag{6.17}$$

We now adopt the notation in Section 6.4 with a few exceptions. We now let $\xi_j(t) = B_j^{(\kappa)}(t) + s_j t$ instead of $\xi_j(t) = B_j^{(\kappa)}(t)$. Then $K_{I,j}(t_j)$, $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$, is a whole-plane SLE$(\kappa, s_j)$ process. Suppose that the processes $(\xi_1)$ and $(\xi_2)$ are independent. Define $M_0$ to be the $M$ in (6.13), and now define $M$ by

$$M = M_0 \prod_{j=1,2} \exp\Big( -\frac{s_j}{\kappa}\xi_j(t_j) + \frac{s_j^2}{2\kappa} t_j \Big). \tag{6.18}$$

Then (6.14) holds for $M_0$. Since $d\xi_j(t) = dB_j^{(\kappa)}(t) + s_j dt$, from Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\frac{\partial_j M}{M} = \Big[\Big(3 - \frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\frac{A_{j,2}}{A_{j,1}} + \Lambda_j(\mathrm{m}, X_j) A_{j,1} - s_j\Big]\frac{\partial B_j^{(\kappa)}}{\sqrt{\kappa}}. \tag{6.19}$$

So $M$ is a local martingale in $t_j$ when $t_k$ is a fixed stopping time bounded from above.

We claim that Proposition 6.1 still holds for the $M$ defined here. Let

$$M_s = A_{1,1}^\alpha A_{2,1}^\alpha F^{-\frac{c}{6}} Y_s \exp(\alpha \mathbf{R}(\mathrm{m})).$$

From (6.16) we see that Proposition 6.1 holds for $M_s$. From (6.13) and (6.17) we have

$$\ln(M) - \ln(M_s) = \frac{s}{\kappa}(X_1 - \xi_1 + \xi_2) + \frac{s^2}{2\kappa}(\mathrm{m} + t_1 + t_2).$$

Then Proposition 6.1 (for the $M$ here) follows from Proposition 6.2 below.

Let $\mu_j$ denote the distribution of $(\xi_j)$, $j = 1, 2$. Let $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$. Fix $(J_1, J_2) \in \mathrm{JP}$. Define $\nu_{J_1,J_2}$ by $d\nu_{J_1,J_2} = M(T_1(J_1), T_2(J_2))d\mu$. From Proposition 6.1 and the martingale property of $M$, $\nu_{J_1,J_2}$ is a probability measure. Let $\nu_1$ and $\nu_2$ be the two marginal measures of $\nu_{J_1,J_2}$. Then $\nu_j = \mu_j$, $j = 1, 2$. From (6.19), Lemma 6.2, and Lemma 6.3, we conclude that, under the probability measure $\nu_{J_1,J_2}$, for any $j \ne k \in \{1, 2\}$, if $t_k$ is a fixed $(\mathcal{F}_t^k)$-stopping time with $t_k \le T_k(J_k)$, and $g_k(t, \cdot)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, are the inverted whole-plane Loewner maps driven by $\xi_k$, then conditioned on $\mathcal{F}_{t_k}^k$, after a time-change, $g_k(t_k, K_{I,j}(t_j))$, $-\infty < t_j \le T_j(J_j)$, is a partial disc $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa, \Lambda_j)$ process in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0 with marked point $e^i(\xi_k(t_k))$. Arguing as in Section 6.5, we get a global coupling from the local couplings. So the proof of Theorem 6.2 is completed. The only thing left is to prove the the proposition below.

**Proposition 6.2** *Fix* $(J_1, J_2) \in \mathrm{JP}$. *(i)* $|X_1 - \xi_1 + \xi_2|$ *and* $|\mathrm{m} + t_1 + t_2|$ *are bounded on* $(-\infty, T_1(J_1)] \times (-\infty, T_2(J_2)]$ *by constants depending only on* $J_1$ *and* $J_2$. *(ii) For any* $j \ne k \in \{1, 2\}$, $X_1 - \xi_1 + \xi_2 \to 0$ *and* $\mathrm{m} + t_1 + t_2 \to 0$ *as* $t_j \to -\infty$, *uniformly in* $t_k \in (-\infty, T_k(J_k)]$.

**Proof.** Recall that $T_j(J_j) \le C_{J_j} < \infty$ for $j = 1, 2$, and $\mathrm{m} \ge p_0 > 0$ on $(-\infty, T_1(J_1)] \times (-\infty, T_2(J_2)]$. If there is no ambiguity, let $\Omega(A, B)$ denote the domain bounded by sets $A$ and $B$, and let $\mathrm{mod}(A, B)$ denote the modulus of this domain if it is doubly connected.

From (5.41) we have $X_1(t_1, t_2) = \widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, \xi_1(t_1)) - \widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1, \xi_2(t_2))$. So

$$|X_1(t_1, t_2) - \xi_1(t_1) + \xi_2(t_2)| \le |\widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1, \xi_2(t_2)) - \xi_2(t_2)| + |\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, \xi_1(t_1)) - \xi_1(t_1)|. \quad (6.20)$$

From (3.15) we have $\lim_{t_1 \to -\infty} \widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1, \xi_2(t_2)) = \xi_2(t_2)$. From (5.25), (5.28), and Lemma 2.1, we see that there is a deterministic positive decreasing function $f(x)$ with $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = 0$ such that $|\widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1, \xi_2(t_2)) - \xi_2(t_2)| \le f(\mathrm{m}(t_1, t_2))$. Since $\mathrm{m} \ge p_0$ on $(-\infty, T_1(J_1)] \times (-\infty, T_2(J_2)]$, $|\widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1, \xi_2(t_2)) - \xi_2(t_2)|$ is uniformly bounded by $f(p_0)$. From (6.9) and that $T_2(J_2) \le C_{J_2}$, we see that $\widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1, \xi_2(t_2)) - \xi_2(t_2) \to 0$ as $t_1 \to -\infty$, uniformly in $t_2 \in (-\infty, T_2(J_2)]$.

Now we consider the estimation for $|\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, \xi_1(t_1)) - \xi_1(t_1)|$. From (5.21) we have

$$\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, \cdot) = \widetilde{g}_{1,t_2}(t_1, \cdot) \circ \widetilde{g}_{I,2}(t_2, \cdot) \circ \widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \cdot)^{-1}. \quad (6.21)$$

Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \widetilde{K}_1(t_1) \setminus \widetilde{K}_{I,2}(t_2)$, $w_1 = \widetilde{g}_1(t_1, z_0)$, $w_2 = \widetilde{g}_{I,2}(t_2, z_0)$, and $w_3 = \widetilde{g}_{1,t_2}(t_1, w_2)$. From (6.2), (6.3), and (6.4) we see that

$$|w_1 - (z_0 - it_1)| \le 4e^{t_1 - \operatorname{Im} z_0} \le 1/2, \quad \text{if } t_1 \le \operatorname{Im} z_0 - \ln(8); \quad (6.22)$$

$$|w_2-(z_0+it_2)|\le 4e^{t_2+\operatorname{Im} z_0}\le 1/2,\quad \text{if } t_2\le -\operatorname{Im} z_0-\ln(8); \tag{6.23}$$

$$|w_3-(w_2+i\,\mathrm{m})|\le 10e^{-\mathrm{m}-\operatorname{Im} w_2}<1,\quad \text{if } \operatorname{Im} w_2+\mathrm{m}\ge \ln(13). \tag{6.24}$$

Let $J$ be a Jordan curve separating $J_1$ and $J_{I,2}$. Let $p_1=\mathrm{mod}(J,J_1)$ and $p_2=\mathrm{mod}(J,J_{I,2})$. Let $\widetilde{J}=(e^i)^{-1}(J)$. Let $h_m=\inf\{\operatorname{Im} z: z\in\widetilde{J}\}$ and $h_M=\sup\{\operatorname{Im} z: z\in\widetilde{J}\}$. Then both $h_m$ and $h_M$ are finite. For $j=1,2$, there is $h_j>0$ depending only on $p_j$, such that, if $K\subset\mathbb{D}$ is an interior hull with $0\in K$ and $\mathrm{mod}(\mathbb{D}\setminus K)\ge p_j$, then $K\subset\{|z|\le e^{-h_j}\}$. If $t_1\le T_1(J_1)$, then $J_1$ disconnects $J$ from $K_1(t_1)$, so $\mathrm{mod}(J,K_1(t_1))\ge p_1$. Since $\Omega(J,K_1(t_1))$ is mapped by $g_1(t_1,\cdot)$ conformally onto $\Omega(g_1(t_1,J),\mathbb{T})\subset\mathbb{D}$, $\mathrm{mod}(g_1(t_1,J),\mathbb{T})\ge p_1$. Since $g_1(t_1,J)$ surrounds $0$, $g_1(t_1,J)\subset\{|z|\le e^{-h_1}\}$. Since $\widetilde{g}_1(t_1,\widetilde{J})=(e^i)^{-1}(g_1(t_1,J))$, $\widetilde{g}_1(t_1,\widetilde{J})\subset\{\operatorname{Im} z\ge h_1\}$. Similarly, if $t_2\le T_2(J_2)$, then $\widetilde{g}_1(t_1,\widetilde{J})\subset\{\operatorname{Im} z\le -h_2\}$. If $t_1\le T_1(J_1)$ and $t_2\le T_2(J_2)$, then $g_{1,t_2}(t_1,\cdot)\circ g_{I,2}(t_2,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{C}\setminus K_1(t_1)\setminus K_{I,2}(t_2)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{m}}$. A similar argument shows that the image of $J$ under this map lies in $\{e^{-\mathrm{m}+h_2}\le|z|\le e^{-h_1}\}$. Thus, $\widetilde{g}_{1,t_2}(t_1,\circ\widetilde{g}_{I,2}(t_2,\widetilde{J}))\subset\{h_1\le\operatorname{Im} z\le \mathrm{m}-h_2\}$, if $t_1\le T_1(J_1)$ and $t_2\le T_2(J_2)$.

Suppose that $z_0\in\widetilde{J}$, $t_1\le T_1(J_1)$, and $t_2\le T_2(J_2)$. We still let $w_1=\widetilde{g}_1(t_1,z_0)$, $w_2=\widetilde{g}_{I,2}(t_2,z_0)$, and $w_3=\widetilde{g}_{1,t_2}(t_1,w_2)$. From the prior paragraph, we see that $\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{g}_1(s,z_0)\ge h_1$ for $s\le t_1$, $\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{g}_{I,2}(s,z_0)\le -h_2$ for $s\le t_2$, and $\mathrm{m}(s,t_2)-h_2\ge\widetilde{g}_{1,t_2}(s,w_2)\ge h_1$ for $s\le t_1$. From (6.1) we have $|\partial_t\widetilde{g}_1(s,z_0)+i|\le\frac{2}{e^{h_1}-1}$, for $s\le t_1$. Similarly, $|\partial_t\widetilde{g}_{I,2}(s,z_0)-i|\le\frac{2}{e^{h_2}-1}$ for $s\le t_2$. If $t_1\le\operatorname{Im} z_0-\ln(8)$, then from (6.22), $|w_1-(z_0-it_1)|\le 1/2$. If $t_1>\operatorname{Im} z_0-\ln(8)$, we let $t_1'=\operatorname{Im} z_0-\ln(8)$, and $w_1'=\widetilde{g}_1(t_1',z_0)$. Then we have $|w_1'-(z_0-it_1')|\le 1/2$. From the bound of $|\partial_t\widetilde{g}_1(s,z_0)+i|$, we see that

$$|(w_1+it_1)-(w_1'+it_1')|\le\frac{2(t_1-t_1')}{e^{h_1}-1}\le\frac{2C_{J_1}-2(\operatorname{Im} z_0-\ln(8))}{e^{h_1}-1}\le\frac{2C_{J_1}+2\ln(8)-2h_m}{e^{h_1}-1}.$$

Let $A_1=\frac12+\max\{0,\frac{2C_{J_1}+2\ln(8)-2h_m}{e^{h_1}-1}\}$. Then in general, we have

$$|w_1-(z_0-it_1)|\le A_1. \tag{6.25}$$

Similarly, let $A_2=\frac12+\max\{0,\frac{2C_{J_2}+2\ln(8)+2h_M}{e^{h_2}-1}\}$. In general, we have

$$|w_2-(z_0+it_2)|\le A_2. \tag{6.26}$$

Since $\operatorname{Im} z_0\ge h_m$, we have

$$t_2-\operatorname{Im} w_2\le A_2-\operatorname{Im} z_0\le A_2-h_m. \tag{6.27}$$

If $\operatorname{Im} w_2+\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)\ge\ln(13)$, from (6.24), we have $|w_3-(w_2+i\,\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2))|<1$. Now suppose that $\operatorname{Im} w_2+\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)<\ln(13)$. We may choose $t_1'<t_1$ such that $\operatorname{Im} w_2+\mathrm{m}(t_1',t_2)=\ln(13)$. Let $w_3'=\widetilde{g}_{1,t_2}(t_1',w_2)$. Then we have $|w_3'-(w_2+i\,\mathrm{m}(t_1',t_2))|<1$. For $s\le t_1$, since $h_1\le\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{g}_{1,t_2}(s,w_2)\le\mathrm{m}(s,t_2)-h_2$, from Lemma 2.1 we have

$$|\mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}(s,t_2),i\,\mathrm{m}(s,t_2)-\widetilde{g}_{1,t_2}(s,w_2)+\xi_{1,t_2}(s))|\le\frac{4e^{-h_1}}{(1-e^{-h_1})^3}.$$

Since $\mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}, z) + i = \mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}, z - i\,\mathrm{m}) = -\mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}, i\,\mathrm{m} - z)$, we have

$$|\mathbf{H}(\mathrm{m}(s, t_2), \widetilde{g}_{1,t_2}(s, w_2) - \xi_{1,t_2}(s)) + i| \le \frac{4e^{-h_1}}{(1 - e^{-h_1})^3}, \quad s \le t_1.$$

Let $C_0 = \frac{4e^{-h_1}}{(1-e^{-h_1})^3}$. From (5.25), (5.28), (6.9), (6.27), and the above inequality, we have

$$|(w_3 - i\,\mathrm{m}(t_1, t_2)) - (w_3' - i\,\mathrm{m}(t_1', t_2))| \le C_0(\mathrm{m}(t_1', t_2) - \mathrm{m}(t_1, t_2))$$

$$\le C_0(\ln(13) - \operatorname{Im} w_2 + t_1 + t_2 + \ln(16)) \le C_0(\ln(13) + \ln(16) + C_{J_1} + A_2 - h_m).$$

Let $A_3 = 1 + \max\{0, C_0(\ln(13) + \ln(16) + C_{J_1} + A_2 - h_m)\}$. Then $|w_3 - (w_2 + i\,\mathrm{m})| \le A_3$ always holds, which together with (6.25) and (6.26) implies that, for any $t_1 \le T_1(J_1)$ and $t_2 \le T_2(J_2)$,

$$|\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, w_1) - w_1 - i(\mathrm{m} + t_1 + t_2)| \le A_1 + A_2 + A_3, \quad w_1 \in \widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \widetilde{J}). \tag{6.28}$$

Now $\widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \widetilde{J})$ is a curve with period $2\pi$ above $\mathbb{R}$, the function $w \mapsto \widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, w) - w$ has period $2\pi$, is analytic in $\Omega(\widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \widetilde{J}), \mathbb{R})$, and its imaginary part vanishes on $\mathbb{R}$. From Schwarz reflection principal, this function extends analytically to $\Omega(\widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \widetilde{J}), \widetilde{I}_0(\widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \widetilde{J})))$. Applying Maximum principle to the real part of this function, and using (6.28), we conclude that

$$|\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, \xi_1(t_1)) - \xi_1(t_1)| \le A_1 + A_2 + A_3, \quad \text{if } t_1 \le T_1(J_1) \text{ and } t_2 \le T_2(J_2). \tag{6.29}$$

We claim that it can not happen that $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, w) - \operatorname{Im} w > 0$ for all $w \in \widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \widetilde{J})$. Assume that the claim is false. Choose $r_0 > 0$ such that $\mathbb{R}_{r_0}$ lies below $\widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \widetilde{J})$. From Maximum principle, we have $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, w) - \operatorname{Im} w > 0$ for all $w \in \mathbb{R}_{r_0}$. So there is $r_1 > r_0$ such that $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, w) \ge r_1$ on $\mathbb{R}_{r_0}$. Since $G_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, \cdot) \circ e^i = e^i \circ \widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, \cdot)$, $|G_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, w)| \le e^{-r_1}$ on $\mathbb{T}_{r_0}$. Since $G_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, \cdot)$ is conformal, it maps $\mathbb{A}_{r_0}$ conformally onto $\Omega(G_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, \mathbb{T}_{r_0}), \mathbb{T})$. However, $\operatorname{mod}(G_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, \mathbb{T}_{r_0}), \mathbb{T}) \ge r_1$, which is a contradiction. So the claim is proved. By arguing on the inverse of $\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, \cdot)$ we can conclude that it can not happen that $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, w) - \operatorname{Im} w < 0$ for all $w \in \widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \widetilde{J})$. From continuity of $\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, \cdot)$, there is $w_0 \in \widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \widetilde{J})$ such that $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, w_0) - \operatorname{Im} w_0 = 0$. Let $w_1 = w_0$ in (6.28). We get

$$|\,\mathrm{m} + t_1 + t_2| \le A_1 + A_2 + A_3, \quad \text{if } t_1 \le T_1(J_1) \text{ and } t_2 \le T_2(J_2). \tag{6.30}$$

Since we can choose $J$ arbitrarily, $A_1$, $A_2$, and $A_3$ eventually depend only on $J_1$ and $J_2$. So part (i) follows from (6.29), (6.30), and the bound of $|\widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1, \xi_2(t_2)) - \xi_2(t_2)|$.

Now suppose $t_1 + t_2 \le -1 - 2\ln(13) - 2\ln(16)$ and $\operatorname{Im} z_0 = \frac{t_1 - t_2}{2}$. Then

$$\operatorname{Im} z_0 - t_1 = -\operatorname{Im} z_0 - t_2 = -\frac{t_1 + t_2}{2} \ge \frac{1}{2} + \ln(13) + \ln(16) \ge \ln(8).$$

From (6.22) and (6.23) we have

$$|w_1 - (z_0 - it_1)|, |w_2 - (z_0 + it_2)| \le 4e^{\frac{t_1 + t_2}{2}} \le \frac{1}{2}. \tag{6.31}$$

From (6.9), (6.31), and the upper bound of $t_1+t_2$, we have

$$\operatorname{Im} w_2 + \mathrm{m} \ge \operatorname{Im} z_0 + t_2 - \frac{1}{2} - t_1 - t_2 - \ln(16) = -\frac{t_1+t_2+1}{2} - \ln(16) \ge \ln(13).$$

Thus, from (6.24) and the above inequality we have

$$|\widetilde{g}_{1,t_2}(t_1,w_2) - (w_2 + i\,\mathrm{m})| \le 10e^{-\mathrm{m} - \operatorname{Im} w_2} \le 264e^{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}. \tag{6.32}$$

From (6.21), (6.31), and (6.32) we see that if $t_1+t_2 \le -1-2\ln(13)-2\ln(16)$, then

$$|\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1,w_1) - w_1 - i(\mathrm{m} + t_1 + t_2)| \le 272e^{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}, \quad w_1 \in \widetilde{g}_1(t_1, \mathbb{R}_{(t_1-t_2)/2}). \tag{6.33}$$

From Schwarz reflection principal, Maximum principle, and (6.33), we have

$$|\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1,\xi_1(t_1)) - \xi_1(t_1)| \le 272e^{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}, \quad \text{if } t_1+t_2 \le -1-2\ln(13)-2\ln(16). \tag{6.34}$$

There is $w_0 \in \widetilde{g}_1(t_1,\mathbb{R}_{(t_1-t_2)/2})$ such that $\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1,w_0) - \operatorname{Im} w_0 = 0$. Let $w_1 = w_0$ in (6.33). We get

$$|\,\mathrm{m} + t_1 + t_2| \le 272e^{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}, \quad \text{if } t_1+t_2 \le -1-2\ln(13)-2\ln(16). \tag{6.35}$$

Since $T_2(J_2) \le C_{J_2}$, from (6.34) and (6.35) we see that as $t_1 \to -\infty$, $\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1,\xi_1(t_1)) - \xi_1(t_1)$ and $\mathrm{m} + t_1 + t_2$ tend to 0, uniformly in $t_2 \in (-\infty, T_2(J_2)]$. Since we have the same result for $\widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1,\xi_2(t_2)) - \xi_2(t_2)$, from (6.20) we see that $X_1 - \xi_1 + \xi_2 \to 0$ as $t_1 \to 0$, uniformly in $t_2 \in (-\infty, T_2(J_2)]$. Interchanging $t_1$ and $t_2$ in the above argument, we conclude that $\mathrm{m} + t_1 + t_2 \to 0$ and $X_2 - \xi_2 + \xi_1 \to 0$ as $t_2 \to 0$, uniformly in $t_1 \in (-\infty, T_1(J_1)]$. From (5.42) we see that $X_2 - \xi_2 + \xi_1 = -(X_1 - \xi_1 + \xi_2)$, so we have $X_1 - \xi_1 + \xi_2 \to 0$ as $t_2 \to 0$, uniformly in $t_1 \in (-\infty, T_1(J_1)]$. So the proof of part (ii) is completed. $\square$

# 7 Partial Differential Equations

Throughout this section, we assume that $\kappa > 0$ and $\sigma \in [0, \frac{4}{\kappa})$. The purpose of this section is to find solutions to (5.7) in these cases. We are most interested in the case when $\kappa \in (0,4]$ and $\sigma = \frac{4}{\kappa} - 1$. Using Lemma 5.1, Lemma 5.2, and the solutions found here, we then obtain solutions to (1.1)

For any $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$, we call an $j$-tuple $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_j) \in \mathbb{N}^j$ a partition of $n$ if $\lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_j$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{j} \lambda_k = n$. The length of such partition is denoted by $l(\lambda) = j$. Let $\mathcal{P}_n$ denote the set of all partitions of $n$. For example, $(n)$ is the only element in $\mathcal{P}_n$ with length 1. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}} = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_n$ denote the set of all partitions.

## 7.1 Diffusion processes

Fix $\tau \le 0$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $u(t,x)$, $t \ge 0$, be the solution to

$$\partial_t u(t,x) = \tau \tanh_2(u(t,x) + \sqrt{\kappa} B(t)); \quad u(0,x) = x. \tag{7.1}$$

Then $X_x(t) := u(t,x) + \sqrt{\kappa} B(t)$ satisfies the SDE

$$dX_x(t) = \sqrt{\kappa} dB(t) + \tau \tanh_2(X_x(t))dt, \quad X_x(0) = x. \tag{7.2}$$

**Lemma 7.1** *For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have a.s. $\int_0^\infty \tanh_2'(X_x(t))dt = \infty$ and*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} X(t) = +\infty, \qquad \liminf_{t\to\infty} X(t) = -\infty. \tag{7.3}$$

**Proof.** Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $X(t) = X_x(t)$. Define $f(t) = \int_0^t \cosh_2(s)^{-\frac{4}{\kappa}\tau} ds$, $\quad t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $f$ is a differentiable increasing odd function and satisfies $\frac{\kappa}{2} f'' + \tau \tanh_2 f' = 0$. Let $Y(t) = f(X(t))$. From (7.2) and Itô's formula, we have $dY(t) = f'(X(t))\sqrt{\kappa} dB(t)$. Define a time-change function $u(t) = \int_0^t \kappa f'(X(s))^2 ds$. Since $\tau \le 0$, $f'(t) \ge 1$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $u(t) \ge t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. So $u$ maps $[0,\infty)$ onto $[0,\infty)$, and $Y(u^{-1}(t))$, $0 \le t < \infty$, has the distribution of a Brownian motion. Thus, (7.3) holds with $X$ replaced by $Y$, which then implies (7.3).

Let $T_0 = 0$. Define a sequence of random times $T_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, inductively. After defining $T_{n-1}$, let $T_n$ be the first $t \ge T_{n-1} + 1$ such that $X(t) = 0$. From (7.3), a.s. all $T_n$'s are well defined and are finite stopping times. Since $X(t)$ is a homogeneous diffusion process, we see that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the sub-processes $X_n(t) := X(T_n + t)$, $0 \le t \le T_{n+1} - T_n$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are i.i.d. processes. Note that $\tanh_2'(x) = \frac{1}{2}\cosh_2^{-2}(x) > 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. So

$$R_n := \int_0^{T_{n+1}-T_n} \tanh_2'(X_n(t))dt = \int_{T_n}^{T_{n+1}} \tanh_2'(X(t))dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

are i.i.d. positive random variables. Since $\int_0^\infty \tanh_2'(X_n(t))dt \ge \sum_{n=0}^\infty R_n$, the improper integral a.s. diverges to infinity. □

**Lemma 7.2** *For any $b, c > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\mathbb{P}[\exists t \ge 0, |X_x(t)| > ct + b] \le 2e^{\frac{2c}{\kappa}(|x| - b)}. \tag{7.4}$$

**Proof.** First, it is well known that (7.4) holds with $X_x(t)$ replaced by $x + \sqrt{\kappa} B(t)$. So it suffice to show that $(|X_x(t)|)$ is bounded above by a process that has the distribution of $(|x + \sqrt{\kappa} B(t)|)$. This can be proved by using Theorem 4.1 in [3]. Here we give a direct proof.

Let $Y(t) = |X_x(t)|$ From (7.2) and Tanaka-Itô's formula, we have

$$Y(t) = |x| + \sqrt{\kappa} B_0(t) + \frac{\kappa}{2}\tau \int_0^t \tanh_2(Y(s))ds + L(t), \quad t \ge 0, \tag{7.5}$$

where $B_0(t)$ is a Brownian motion, $L(t)$ is a non-decreasing function, which is constant on every interval of $\{Y(t) > 0\}$, and $L(0) = 0$.

Fix $t_0 \ge 0$. There is $t_0' \in [0, t_0]$ such that $L(t)$ is constant on $[t_0', t_0]$. We may assume $t_0'$ is the smallest such number. There are two cases. Case 1: $t_0' = 0$. Then $L(t_0) = L(t_0') = L(0) = 0$.

Since $\tau \le 0$, from (7.5), $Y(t_0) \le |x| + \sqrt{\kappa} B_0(t)$. Case 2: $t_0' > 0$. Then $Y(t_0') = 0$. Since $\tau \le 0$, from (7.5),

$$Y(t_0) - |x| - \sqrt{\kappa} B_0(t_0) \le Y(t_0') - |x| - \sqrt{\kappa} B_0(t_0') = -|x| - \sqrt{\kappa} B_0(t_0').$$

Thus, in either case, we have

$$Y(t_0) \le |x| + \sqrt{\kappa} B_0(t_0) + \max\{0, \sup_{0 \le s \le t_0} \{-|x| - \sqrt{\kappa} B_0(s)\}\}.$$

The RHS of the above inequality defines a process that has the distribution of $|x + \sqrt{\kappa} B(t_0)|$, $t_0 \ge 0$ (see [17]), so the proof is completed. $\square$

**Lemma 7.3** *There are $C_n > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $C_1 = 1$, such that*

$$|\tanh_2^{(n)}(x)| \le C_n \tanh_2'(x) \le \frac{C_n}{2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Proof.** Note that $\tanh_2'(x) = \frac{1}{2} \cosh_2^{-2}(x) \in (0, 1/2]$. So the second "$\le$" holds. By induction, one can prove that for every $n$, there are $a_j^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \le j \le n-1$, such that

$$\tanh_2^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j^{(n)} \cosh_2^{-2-j}(x) \sinh_2^j(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j^{(n)} \cosh_2^{-2}(x) \tanh_2^j(x).$$

Since $|\tanh_2^j(x)| \le 1$ and $\cosh_2^{-2} = 2 \tanh_2'$, we may choose $C_n = 2 \sum_j |a_j^{(n)}|$. $\square$

**Lemma 7.4** *For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a polynomial $P_m(t)$ of degree $m-1$ such that for any $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} X_x(t)| \le P_m(t)$.*

**Proof.** Since $X_x(t) = u(t,x) + \sqrt{\kappa} B(t)$, $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} X_x(t) = u^{(n)}(t,x)$. It suffices to show that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is some polynomial $P_m(t)$ of degree $m-1$, such that

$$|u^{(m)}(t,x)| \le P_m(t), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{7.6}$$

Let $f_x(t) = \tau \tanh_2'(X_x(t))$. Since $\tau \le 0$ and $\tanh_2' > 0$, $f_x(t) \le 0$. Differentiating (7.1) w.r.t. $x$ and using $u'(0,x) = 1$, we get $u'(t,x) = \exp(\int_0^t f_x(s) ds) \in (0,1]$. Thus, (7.6) holds in the case $n = 1$ with $P_1(t) \equiv 1$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \ge 2$. Suppose that (7.6) holds for any $m \le n-1$. Differentiating (7.1) $n$ times, by induction we find that there are $b_n(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $b_n((n)) = \tau$ such that

$$\partial_t u^{(n)}(t,x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n} b_n(\lambda) \tanh_2^{(l(\lambda))}(X_x(t)) \prod_{k=1}^{l(\lambda)} u^{(\lambda_k)}(t,x), \quad u^{(n)}(0,x) = 0. \tag{7.7}$$

Suppose $l(\lambda) \geq 2$, i.e., $\lambda \neq ((n))$. Then $\lambda_k \leq n-1$ for all $k \leq l(\lambda)$. From Lemma 7.3 and induction hypothesis, the term $b_n(\lambda)\tanh_2^{(l(\lambda))}(X_x(t))\prod_{k=1}^{l(\lambda)} u^{(\lambda_k)}(t,x)$ is bounded in absolute value by a polynomial in $t$ of degree $\sum_k(\lambda_k - 1) = n - l(\lambda) \leq n-2$. Let

$$g_x(t) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n, l(\lambda)\geq 2} b_n(\lambda)\tanh_2^{(l(\lambda))}(X_x(t))\prod_{k=1}^{l(\lambda)} u^{(\lambda_k)}(t,x).$$

Then $|g_x(t)|$ is bounded by a polynomial in $t$ of degree $n-2$. From (7.7) we have

$$\partial_t u^{(n)}(t,x) = f_x(t)u^{(n)}(t,x) + g_x(t), \quad u^{(n)}(0,x) = 0.$$

Let $\mu_x(t) = \exp(\int_0^t -f_x(s)ds)$, $t \geq 0$. Then $\mu_x$ is a positive increasing function. Solving the above differential equation, we get $u^{(n)}(t,x) = \mu_x(t)^{-1}\int_0^t \mu_x(s)g_x(s)ds$. So

$$|u^{(n)}(t,x)| \leq \mu_x(t)^{-1}\int_0^t \mu_x(s)|g_x(s)|ds \leq \mu_x(t)^{-1}\int_0^t \mu_x(t)|g_x(s)|ds = \int_0^t |g_x(s)|ds.$$

Since $|g_x(s)|$ is bounded by a polynomial in $s$ of degree $n-2$, $|u^{(n)}(t,x)|$ is bounded by a polynomial in $t$ of degree $n-1$. Thus, (7.6) holds in the case $m = n$. The proof is completed by induction. □

### 7.2 Some estimations

We will need some estimations about the limits of $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I - \tanh_2$ as $t \to \infty$. Let

$$\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}(t,z) = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(t,z) - \tanh_2(z). \tag{7.8}$$

From (2.13) we have

$$\widehat{\mathbf{H}}'_{I,q}(t,x) = \sum_{2|n\neq 0} \tanh_2'(x-nt) = \sum_{2|n\neq 0} \frac{1}{2}\cosh_2^{-2}(x-nt) > 0. \tag{7.9}$$

**Lemma 7.5** *Let $C_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be as in Lemma 7.3. Note that $C_1 = 1$. Then*

$$|\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}(t,x)| \leq \frac{|x|}{t} + 3 + \frac{2e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}}, \quad t > 0,\, x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{7.10}$$

$$|\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}^{(n)}(t,x)| \leq C_n\Big(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}}\Big), \quad t > 0,\, x \in \mathbb{R},\, n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{7.11}$$

*Moreover, for any $c > 0$,*

$$|\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}(t,x)| \leq \frac{2e^{(c-2)t}}{1-e^{-2t}}, \quad \textit{if } t > 0,\, x \in \mathbb{R},\, |x| \leq ct. \tag{7.12}$$

$$|\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}^{(n)}(t,x)| \leq C_n\frac{4e^{(c-2)t}}{1-e^{-2t}}, \quad \textit{if } t > 0,\, x \in \mathbb{R},\, |x| \leq ct,\, n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{7.13}$$

**Proof.** We first show (7.12). From (2.13) and (7.8) we have

$$\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}(t,x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\tanh_2(x-2mt) + \tanh_2(x+2mt))$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Big( - \frac{e^{2mt} - e^x}{e^{2mt} + e^x} + \frac{e^{2mt} - e^{-x}}{e^{2mt} + e^{-x}} \Big) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(e^x - e^{-x})}{e^{2mt} + e^{-2mt} + e^x + e^{-x}}.$$

Thus,

$$|\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}(t,x)| \le \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2e^{|x|}}{e^{2mt}} = \frac{2e^{|x|-2t}}{1-e^{-2t}}. \tag{7.14}$$

Then (7.12) is a direct consequence of this inequality.

Secondly, we show (7.10). Since $|\tanh_2(x)| \le 1$, from (7.8) it suffice to show

$$|\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(t,x)| \le \frac{|x|}{t} + 2 + \frac{2e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}}. \tag{7.15}$$

We first consider the case $|x| \le t$. From (7.14) we have

$$|\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(t,x)| \le |\tanh_2(x)| + |\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}(t,x)| \le 1 + \frac{2e^{|x|-2t}}{1-e^{-2t}} \le 1 + \frac{2e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}}. \tag{7.16}$$

Thus, (7.15) holds in this case.

Then we consider the case $|x| \ge t$. There exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(2m-1)t \le |x| \le (2m+1)t$. Since $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I$ is odd, we only need to consider the case that $(2m-1)t \le x \le (2m+1)t$. Let $x_0 = x - 2mt$. Then $|x_0| \le t$. From (2.11) we have $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(t,x) = 2m + \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(t,x_0)$. From (7.16) with $x = x_0$ we have

$$|\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(t,x)| \le 2m + |\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(t,x_0)| \le 2m + 1 + \frac{2e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}} \le \frac{|x|}{t} + 2 + \frac{2e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}},$$

where the last inequality uses $\frac{|x|}{t} \ge 2m-1$. So we have (7.15) and (7.10).

Thirdly, we prove that (7.11) and (7.13) hold in the case $n=1$. From (7.9) we have

$$0 < \widehat{\mathbf{H}}'_{I,q}(t,x) = \sum_{2|n\neq 0} \frac{1}{2} \cosh_2^{-2}(|nt-x|) \le \sum_{2|n\neq 0} \frac{1}{2} \cosh_2^{-2}(|n|t-|x|)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \cosh_2^{-2}(2mt-|x|) \le 4 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{|x|-2mt} = \frac{4e^{|x|-2t}}{1-e^{-2t}}. \tag{7.17}$$

Then (7.13) in the case $n=1$ is a direct consequence of this inequality.

Since $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I' = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}' + \tanh_2' > \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}' > 0$, to prove (7.11) in the case $n = 1$, it suffice to show that (7.11) holds with $|\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}'|$ replaced by $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I'$. From (2.11), $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I'$ has period $2t$. We may assume that $|x| \le t$. From (7.17) we have

$$\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I'(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}\cosh_2^{-2}(x) + \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}'(t,x) < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}}.$$

So (7.11) also holds in the case $n = 1$.

Lastly, we show that (7.11) and (7.13) hold in the case $n \ge 2$. From (7.9) and Lemma 7.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}^{(n)}(t,x)| &= \Big|\sum_{2|n\neq 0} \tanh_2^{(n)}(x-nt)\Big| \le \sum_{2|n\neq 0} |\tanh_2^{(n)}(x-nt)| \\ &\le \sum_{2|n\neq 0} C_n \tanh_2'(x-nt) = C_n \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}'(t,x).\end{aligned}$$

So (7.11) and (7.13) in the case $n \ge 2$ follow from those in the case $n = 1$. □

**Lemma 7.6** *For every $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, there is a constant $D_n > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$|\partial_t \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}^{(n)}(t,x)| \le D_n\Big(\frac{|x|}{t} + 3 + \frac{2e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}}\Big)\Big(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}}\Big); \tag{7.18}$$

$$|\partial_t^2 \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}^{(n)}(t,x)| \le D_n\Big(\frac{|x|}{t} + 3 + \frac{2e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}}\Big)^2\Big(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}}\Big). \tag{7.19}$$

*Moreover, for any $c > 0$,*

$$|\partial_t \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}^{(n)}(t,x)| \le D_n\Big(\frac{2e^{(c-2)t}}{1-e^{-2t}}\Big)^2, \quad \text{if } t > 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R},\ |x| \le ct; \tag{7.20}$$

$$|\partial_t^2 \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}^{(n)}(t,x)| \le D_n\Big(\frac{2e^{(c-2)t}}{1-e^{-2t}}\Big)^3, \quad \text{if } t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R},\ |x| \le ct. \tag{7.21}$$

**Proof.** Let $A(t,x) = \frac{|x|}{t} + 3 + \frac{2e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}}$, $B(t,x) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}}$, and $C_c(t,x) = \frac{2e^{(c-2)t}}{1-e^{-2t}}$. In this proof, by $X \lesssim Y$ we mean that there is a constant $C$ such that $X \le CY$. Here $C$ may depend on $n$ if $X$ depends on $n$. From (7.10), (7.11), (7.12), and (7.13), we see that

$$|\mathbf{H}_{I,q}(t,x)| \lesssim A(t,x), \qquad |\mathbf{H}_{I,q}^{(n)}(t,x)| \lesssim B(t,x) \lesssim A(t,x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},\ n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{7.22}$$

$$|\mathbf{H}_{I,q}^{(n)}(t,x)| \lesssim C_c(t,x), \quad \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R},\ |x| \le ct,\ n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \tag{7.23}$$

As $t \to \infty$, $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I \to \tanh_2$. Then (2.14) becomes $0 = \tanh_2'' + \tanh_2' \tanh_2$, which can be proved directly. From (2.14), (7.8), and the above equation, we get

$$\partial_t \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q} = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}'' + \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}'\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q} + \tanh_2' \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q} + \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}' \tanh_2. \tag{7.24}$$

Then (7.18) and (7.20) in the case $n = 0$ follow from (7.22), (7.23), (7.24), and Lemma 7.3.

Differentiating (2.14) w.r.t. $x$ twice, we get

$$\partial_t \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I' = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I''' + \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I'' \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I + (\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I')^2.$$

$$\partial_t \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I'' = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I^{(4)} + \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I''' \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I + 3\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I'' \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I'.$$

Differentiating (2.14) w.r.t. $t$ and using the above two displayed formula, we obtain

$$\partial_t^2 \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I^{(4)} + 2\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I''' \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I + 4\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I'' \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I' + \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I'' (\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I)^2 + 2(\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I')^2 \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I.$$

As $t \to \infty$, this equation tends to the following equation, which can also be checked directly.

$$0 = \tanh_2^{(4)} + 2\tanh_2''' \tanh_2 + 4\tanh_2'' \tanh_2' + \tanh_2'' \tanh_2^2 + 2(\tanh_2')^2 \tanh_2 .$$

From (7.8), and the above two equations, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t^2 \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q} = {} & \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}^{(4)} + 2\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}''' \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q} + 2\tanh_2''' \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q} + 2\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}''' \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q} + 4\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}'' \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}' \\
& + 4\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}'' \tanh_2' + \tanh_2'' (\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q})^2 + 2\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}'' \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q} \tanh_2 + 2\tanh_2'' \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q} \tanh_2 \\
& + \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}'' (\tanh_2)^2 + \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}'' (\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q})^2 + 2(\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}')^2 \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q} + 4\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}' \tanh_2' \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q} \\
& + 2(\tanh_2')^2 \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q} + 2(\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}')^2 \tanh_2 + 4\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}' \tanh_2' \tanh_2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{7.25}$$

Then (7.19) and (7.21) in the case $n = 0$ follow from (7.22), (7.23), (7.25), and Lemma 7.3.

Differentiate (7.24) and (7.25) $n$ times w.r.t. $x$. We see that $\partial_t \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}^{(n)}$ can be expressed as a sum of finitely many terms, whose factors are $\mathbf{H}_{I,q}^{(k)}$ or $\tanh_2^{(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. In every term, the factors of the kind $\mathbf{H}_{I,q}^{(k)}$ appear at most twice, and the factor $\mathbf{H}_{I,q}$ appears at most once. So we derive (7.18) and (7.20) from (7.22), (7.23), and Lemma 7.3. We see that $\partial_t^2 \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}^{(n)}$ can be expressed as a sum of finitely many terms, whose factors are constant, $\mathbf{H}_{I,q}^{(k)}$, or $\tanh_2^{(k)}$. In every term, the factors of the kind $\mathbf{H}_{I,q}^{(k)}$ appear at most three times, and the factor $\mathbf{H}_{I,q}$ appears at most twice. So we derive (7.19) and (7.21) from (7.22), (7.23), and Lemma 7.3. □

## 7.3 Feynman-Kac expression

We begin with a lemma, which can be proved directly. Recall the definition of $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I$ in (2.10).

**Lemma 7.7** *For $\Psi$ and $\widehat{\Psi}$ on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, the following are equivalent:*

$$\widehat{\Psi}(t, x) = e^{\frac{x^2}{2\kappa t}} \Big(\frac{\pi}{t}\Big)^{\sigma + \frac{1}{2}} \Psi\Big(\frac{\pi^2}{t}, \frac{\pi}{t} x\Big). \tag{7.26}$$

$$\Psi(t,x)=e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\kappa t}}\Big(\frac{\pi}{t}\Big)^{\sigma+\frac12}\widehat\Psi\Big(\frac{\pi^2}{t},\frac{\pi}{t}x\Big). \tag{7.27}$$

*If the above two equalities hold, then $\Psi$ satisfies (5.7) if and only if $\widehat\Psi$ satisfies*

$$-\partial_t\widehat\Psi=\frac{\kappa}{2}\widehat\Psi''+\sigma\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I'\widehat\Psi. \tag{7.28}$$

As $t\to\infty$, $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I'\to\tanh_2'$, so (7.28) tends to

$$-\partial_t\widehat\Psi_\infty=\frac{\kappa}{2}\widehat\Psi_\infty''+\sigma\tanh_2'(x)\widehat\Psi_\infty. \tag{7.29}$$

Let $\tau$ be the non-positive root of the equation $\frac{\tau^2}{2\kappa}=\frac{\tau}{4}+\frac{\sigma}{2}$. We have

$$\tau=\kappa/4-\sqrt{\kappa^2/16+\kappa\sigma}. \tag{7.30}$$

Then $\tau=\frac{\kappa}{2}-2$ when $\sigma=\frac{4}{\kappa}-1$. It is easy to check that (7.29) has a simple solution:

$$\widehat\Psi_\infty(t,x)=e^{-\frac{\tau^2 t}{2\kappa}}\cosh_2^{\frac{2}{\kappa}\tau}(x). \tag{7.31}$$

Recall the $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}$ defined in (7.8). The proof of the following lemma is straightforward.

**Lemma 7.8** *Let $\widehat\Psi$ and $\widehat\Psi_q$ be defined on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$, and satisfy $\widehat\Psi=\widehat\Psi_\infty\widehat\Psi_q$, where $\Psi_\infty$ is defined by (7.31). Then $\widehat\Psi$ satisfies (7.28) if and only if $\widehat\Psi_q$ satisfies*

$$-\partial_t\widehat\Psi_q=\frac{\kappa}{2}\widehat\Psi_q''+\tau\tanh_2\widehat\Psi_q'+\sigma\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}'\widehat\Psi_q. \tag{7.32}$$

Suppose $\widehat\Psi_q$ solves (7.32). Let $X_{x_0}(t)$ be as in (7.2). Fix $t_0>0$ and $x_0\in\mathbb{R}$. Let

$$M(t)=\widehat\Psi_q(t_0+t,X_{x_0}(t))\exp\Big(\sigma\int_0^t\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}'(t_0+s,X_{x_0}(s))ds\Big).$$

From (7.2), (7.32), and Itô's formula, we see that $M(t)$ is a local martingale. If $M(t)$ is a martingale on $[0,\infty]$, and $\widehat\Psi_q\to1$ as $t\to\infty$, then from $M_0=\widehat\Psi_q(t_0,x_0)$ we have

$$\widehat\Psi_q(t_0,x_0)=\mathbf{E}\Big[\exp\Big(\sigma\int_0^\infty\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}'(t_0+s,X_{x_0}(s))ds\Big)\Big]. \tag{7.33}$$

This Feynman-Kac formula holds under many additional assumptions. We do not try to prove it. Instead, we now define $\widehat\Psi_q$ by (7.33). We will prove that $\widehat\Psi_q$ is finite and differentiable, and solves (7.32).

### 7.4 Regularity

Fix $c_0 \in (1+\frac{\kappa}{4}\sigma, 2)$. This is possible because $\sigma \in [0, \frac{4}{\kappa})$. Then we have

$$\exp\Big(\frac{\sigma}{2(c_0-1)} - \frac{2}{\kappa}\Big) < 1. \tag{7.34}$$

Throughout this subsection, we use $C$ to denote a positive constant, which depends only on $\kappa, \sigma, c_0$, and could change between lines. The symbol $X \lesssim Y$ means that $X \le CY$ for some $C$. Let $\alpha(t) = \frac{4}{1-e^{-2t}}$. Then $t^{-1}+1 \lesssim \alpha(t) \lesssim t^{-1}+1$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, let $\mathcal{E}_m$ denote the event that $|X_x(s)| \le s+m$ for all $s \ge 0$. From (7.4) we have

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{E}_m^c] \le 2e^{\frac{2}{\kappa}(|x|-m)}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}. \tag{7.35}$$

**Proposition 7.1** $\widehat{\Psi}_q$ *is finite and satisfies*

$$1 \le \widehat{\Psi}_q(t,x) \le \exp\Big(C(t^{-1}+1)e^{(c_0-2)t}\Big)(1+Ce^{\frac{2}{\kappa}|x|-\frac{2}{\kappa}c_0 t}). \tag{7.36}$$

**Proof.** Fix $t>0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $\mathcal{E}_m$ occurs for some $m \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. If $s \ge \frac{m-c_0t}{c_0-1}$ then $|X_x(s)| \le s+m \le c_0(s+t)$, so from (7.13) with $C_1 = 1$ we have

$$\widehat{\mathbf{H}}'_{I,q}(t+s, X_x(s)) \le \frac{4e^{(c_0-2)(s+t)}}{1-e^{-2(s+t)}} \le \alpha(t)e^{(c_0-2)(s+t)}.$$

If $0 \le s \le \frac{m-c_0t}{c_0-1}$, from $-1 \le c_0-2$ and (7.11) with $C_1=1$, we have

$$\widehat{\mathbf{H}}'_{I,q}(t+s, X_x(s)) < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4e^{-(s+t)}}{1-e^{-2(s+t)}} \le \frac{1}{2} + \alpha(t)e^{(c_0-2)(s+t)},$$

Since $c_0-2<0$, at the event $\mathcal{E}_m$,

$$\int_0^\infty \widehat{\mathbf{H}}'_{I,q}(t+s, X_x(s))ds \le \frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{(m-c_0t)\vee 0}{c_0-1} + \frac{\alpha(t)e^{(c_0-2)t}}{2-c_0}; \tag{7.37}$$

Let $H(t) = \exp(\sigma\int_0^\infty \widehat{\mathbf{H}}'_{I,q}(t+s,X_x(s))ds)$. From (7.35) and (7.37) we have

$$\widehat{\Psi}_q(t,x) = \mathbf{E}\,[1_{\mathcal{E}_{\lfloor c_0t\rfloor}}H(t)] + \sum_{m=\lfloor c_0t\rfloor}^{\infty}\mathbf{E}\,[1_{\mathcal{E}_{m+1}\setminus\mathcal{E}_m}H(t)]$$

$$\le \exp\Big(\frac{\sigma\alpha(t)e^{(c_0-2)t}}{2-c_0}\Big) + \sum_{m=\lfloor c_0t\rfloor}^{\infty} 2e^{\frac{2}{\kappa}(|x|-m)}\exp\Big(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\sigma(m+1-\lfloor c_0t\rfloor)}{c_0-1} + \frac{\sigma\alpha(t)e^{(c_0-2)t}}{2-c_0}\Big). \tag{7.38}$$

Change index using $m = l+\lfloor c_0t\rfloor$. The second term of the RHS of (7.38) equals

$$2\exp\Big(\frac{2|x|}{\kappa} - \frac{2\lfloor c_0t\rfloor}{\kappa} + \frac{\sigma}{2(c_0-1)} + \frac{\sigma\alpha(t)e^{(c_0-2)t}}{2-c_0}\Big)\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\exp\Big(\frac{\sigma}{2(c_0-1)} - \frac{2}{\kappa}\Big)^l. \tag{7.39}$$

From (7.34), the infinite sum is finite. Thus, from (7.9), $\sigma \geq 0$, and (7.38), we have

$$1 \leq \widehat{\Psi}_q(t,x) \leq \exp\Big(\frac{\sigma\alpha(t)e^{(c_0-2)t}}{2-c_0}\Big)\Big(1+Ce^{\frac{2|x|}{\kappa}-\frac{2c_0t}{\kappa}}\Big).$$

Then (7.36) follows from this formula and that $\alpha(t) \lesssim t^{-1}+1$. $\square$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Formally differentiate (7.33) $n$ times w.r.t. $x$. If the differentiation commutes with the integration and expectation at every time, then we should have

$$\widehat{\Psi}_q^{(n)}(t,x) = \mathbf{E}\Big[\exp\Big(\sigma\int_0^\infty \widehat{\mathbf{H}}'_{I,q}(t+s,X_x(s))ds\Big)\cdot \mathbf{Q}_{0,n}\Big], \tag{7.40}$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_{0,n}$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq n$ without constant term in the following variables:

$$v_{0,k,\lambda}(t) := \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mathbf{H}}^{(k)}_{I,q}(t+s,X_x(s))\prod_{r=1}^{l(\lambda)}\frac{\partial^{\lambda_r}}{\partial x^{\lambda_r}}X_x(s)ds, \quad k\in\mathbb{N},\ \lambda\in\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}. \tag{7.41}$$

With $\mathbf{Q}_{0,0} \equiv 1$, (7.40) becomes (7.33). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. Formally differentiate (7.40) w.r.t. $t$. If the differentiation commutes with the integration and expectation, then we should have

$$\partial_t\widehat{\Psi}_q^{(n)}(t,x) = \mathbf{E}\Big[\exp\Big(\sigma\int_0^\infty \widehat{\mathbf{H}}'_{I,q}(t+s,X_x(s))ds\Big)\cdot \mathbf{Q}_{1,n}\Big], \quad n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\} \tag{7.42}$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_{1,n}$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq n+1$ without constant term in the $v_{0,k,\lambda}(t)$ defined by (7.41) and

$$v_{1,k,\lambda}(t) := \int_0^\infty \partial_t\widehat{\mathbf{H}}^{(k)}_{I,q}(t+s,X_x(s))\prod_{r=1}^{l(\lambda)}\frac{\partial^{\lambda_r}}{\partial x^{\lambda_r}}X_x(s)ds, \quad k\in\mathbb{N},\lambda\in\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}\cup\{\mathbb{N}^0\}. \tag{7.43}$$

Here by $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^0$ we mean that the factor $\prod \frac{\partial^{\lambda_r}}{\partial x^{\lambda_r}}X_x(s)$ disappears. Moreover, in every term of $\mathbf{Q}_{1,n}$, factors $v_{1,k,\lambda}$ appear at most once.

Formally differentiate (7.42) w.r.t. $t$. If the differentiation commutes with the integration and expectation, then we should have

$$\partial_t^2\widehat{\Psi}_q^{(n)}(t,x) = \mathbf{E}\Big[\exp\Big(\sigma\int_0^\infty \widehat{\mathbf{H}}'_{I,q}(t+s,X_x(s))ds\Big)\cdot \mathbf{Q}_{2,n}\Big], \tag{7.44}$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_{2,n}$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq n+2$ without constant term in the $v_{0,k,\lambda}(t)$ defined by (7.41), $v_{1,k,\lambda}(t)$ defined by (7.43), and

$$v_{2,k,\lambda}(t) := \int_0^\infty \partial_t^2\widehat{\mathbf{H}}^{(k)}_{I,q}(t+s,X_x(s))\prod_{j=1}^{l(\lambda)}\frac{\partial^{\lambda_j}}{\partial x^{\lambda_j}}X_x(s)ds, \quad k\in\mathbb{N},\lambda\in\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}\cup\{\mathbb{N}^0\}.$$

Moreover, in every term of $\mathbf{Q}_{2,n}$, factors $v_{2,k,\lambda}$ appears at most once; when a factor $v_{2,k,\lambda}$ appears, factors $v_{1,k,\lambda}$ disappear; and when factors $v_{2,k,\lambda}$ disappear, factors $v_{1,k,\lambda}$ appear at most twice.

Now we suppose $\mathcal{E}_m$ occurs for some $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Using (7.11), (7.13), (7.41), Lemma 7.4, and the argument in (7.37), we conclude that, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}$, there is a polynomial $P_{k,\lambda}$ with nonnegative coefficients and no constant term such that

$$|v_{0,k,\lambda}(t)| \le P_{k,\lambda}((m - c_0 t) \vee 0) + C\alpha(t) e^{(c_0-2)t}. \tag{7.45}$$

Let $j \in \{1,2\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. If $s \ge \frac{m-c_0 t}{c_0-1}$ then $|X_x(s)| \le s + m \le c_0(s+t)$, so from (7.20) and (7.21) we have

$$|\partial_t^j \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}^{(n)}(t+s, X_x(s))| \le D_n \Big( \frac{2e^{(c_0-2)t}}{1-e^{-2(t+s)}} \Big)^{j+1} \lesssim \alpha(t)^{j+1} e^{(c_0-2)(t+s)}.$$

If $m \ge c_0 t$ and $0 \le s \le \frac{m-c_0 t}{c-1}$, from (7.18), (7.19), and the definition of $\mathcal{E}_m$, we see that for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t^j \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}^{(n)}(t, X_x(s))| &\le D_n \Big( \frac{|X_x(s)|}{t+s} + 3 + \frac{2e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}} \Big)^j \Big( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}} \Big) \\ \le D_n \Big( \frac{m - c_0 t}{t} + c_0 + 4 + \frac{2e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}} \Big)^j &\Big( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2t}} \Big) \lesssim ((m-c_0 t)^j + 1)\alpha(t)^{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from Lemma 7.4, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}} \cup \{\mathbb{N}^0\}$,

$$|v_{j,k,\lambda}(t)| \lesssim \alpha(t)^{j+1} (e^{(c_0-2)t} + P_{j,k,\lambda}((m - c_0 t) \vee 0)), \quad j = 1, 2, \tag{7.46}$$

where $P_{j,k,\lambda}$ is a polynomial with nonnegative coefficients and no constant term.

Let $(j,n) \in \{0,1,2\} \times (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$. From (7.45), (7.46), and the properties of $\mathbf{Q}_{0,n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{Q}_{1,n}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{2,n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we see that, at the event $\mathcal{E}_m$,

$$|\mathbf{Q}_{j,n}| \lesssim \alpha(t)^{2j} [P_{j,n}((m - c_0 t) \vee 0) + Q_{j,n}((m - c_0 t) \vee 0) \alpha(t)^n e^{(c_0-2)t}], \tag{7.47}$$

where $P_{j,n}$ and $Q_{j,n}$ are polynomials with nonnegative coefficients, and $P_{j,n}(0) = 0$.

**Proposition 7.2** *For* $(j,n) \in \{0,1,2\} \times (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E} \Big[ \exp \Big( \sigma \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mathbf{H}}'_{I,q}(t+s, X_x(s)) ds \Big) \cdot |\mathbf{Q}_{j,n}| \Big] \\ &\lesssim \exp \Big( C(t^{-1}+1) e^{(c_0-2)t} \Big) (t^{-n-2j} + 1)(e^{(c_0-2)t} + e^{\frac{2|x|}{\kappa} - \frac{2c_0 t}{\kappa}}), \end{aligned} \tag{7.48}$$

**Proof.** Let $H_{j,n}(t) = \exp\Big(\sigma \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mathbf{H}}'_{I,q}(t+s, X_x(s))ds\Big) \cdot |\mathbf{Q}_{j,n}|$. Recall that (7.37) and (7.47) hold at the event $\mathcal{E}_m$. Using (7.35) and the argument in (7.38) and (7.39), we see that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[H_{j,n}(t)\right] = \mathbf{E}\left[1_{\mathcal{E}_{\lfloor c_0 t\rfloor}} H_{j,n}(t)\right] + \sum_{m=\lfloor c_0 t\rfloor}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}\left[1_{\mathcal{E}_{m+1}\setminus\mathcal{E}_m} H_{j,n}(t)\right]$$

$$\lesssim \exp\Big(C\alpha(t)e^{(c_0-2)t}\Big)\alpha(t)^{2j+n}e^{(c_0-2)t} + \exp\Big(\frac{2|x|}{\kappa} - \frac{2c_0 t}{\kappa} + C\alpha(t)e^{(c_0-2)t}\Big)\cdot$$

$$\cdot \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \alpha(t)^{2j}(P_{j,n}(l+1) + Q_{j,n}(l+1)\alpha(t)^n e^{(c_0-2)t}) \exp\Big(\frac{\sigma}{2(c_0-1)} - \frac{2}{\kappa}\Big)^l.$$

Then (7.48) follows from (7.34) and that $\alpha(t) \lesssim t^{-1}+1$ and $\alpha(t)^{2j+n} \lesssim t^{-n-2j}+1$. □

**Theorem 7.1** *The function $\widehat{\Psi}_q$ is $C^{\infty,\infty}$ differentiable and solves (7.32). Moreover, for $j \in \{0,1,2\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, there is a positive continuous function $c_{j,n}(t)$ on $(0,\infty)$ such that for any $t \in (0,\infty)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\partial_t^j \widehat{\Psi}_q^{(n)}(t,x)| \le c_{j,n}(t)e^{\frac{2}{\kappa}|x|}$.*

**Proof.** For $n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, define $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[0,n]}$, $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[1,n]}(t,x)$ and $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[2,n]}(t,x)$ to be equal to the RHS of (7.40), (7.42) and (7.44), respectively. From the above two propositions, these functions are well defined, and there are positive continuous functions $c_{j,n}(t)$ on $(0,\infty)$ such that

$$|\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,n]}(t,x)| \le c_{j,n}(t)e^{\frac{2}{\kappa}|x|}, \quad j=0,1,2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}. \tag{7.49}$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, $j \in \{0,1,2\}$, $t \in (0,\infty)$, and $x_1 < x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $|\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,n+1]}|$ satisfies (7.49), from Fubini's Theorem, we have

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,n+1]}(t,x)dx = \widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,n]}(t,x_2) - \widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,n]}(t,x_1). \tag{7.50}$$

Thus, $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,n]}$ is absolutely continuous in $x$ when $t$ is fixed, and its partial derivative w.r.t. $x$ is a.s. equal to $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,n+1]}$. Since $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,n+1]}$ is continuous in $x$ for fixed $t$, we see that $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,n]}$ is continuously differentiable in $x$, and the partial derivative exactly equals $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,n]}$. The above holds for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,0]}$ is $C^\infty$ differentiable in $x$ when $t$ is fixed, and $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,n]}$ is its $n$-th partial derivative w.r.t. $x$. Especially, since $\widehat{\Psi}_q = \widehat{\Psi}_q^{[0,0]}$, we see that $\widehat{\Psi}_q$ is $C^\infty$ differentiable in $x$ when $t$ is fixed, and $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[0,n]}$ is its $n$-th partial derivative w.r.t. $x$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, $j \in \{0,1\}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $t_2 > t_1 > 0$. Since $|\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j+1,n]}|$ satisfies (7.49), from Fubini's Theorem, we have

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j+1,n]}(t,x)dx = \widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,n]}(t_2,x) - \widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,n]}(t_1,x). \tag{7.51}$$

Thus, $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[0,n]}$ is absolutely continuous in $t$ when $x$ is fixed, and its partial derivative w.r.t. $t$ is a.s. equal to $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[1,n]}$, which is also absolutely continuous in $t$ when $x$ is fixed. So $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[0,n]}$ is continuously differentiable in $t$ when $x$ is fixed, and the partial derivative exactly equals $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[1,n]}$. As for $j=1$, we can conclude that $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[1,n]}$ is absolutely continuous in $t$ when $x$ is fixed, and its partial derivative w.r.t. $t$ is a.s. equal to $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[2,n]}$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $j \in \{0,1\}$. From (7.50) and (7.49), we see that $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,n]}$ is locally uniformly Lipschitz continuous in $x$. From the prior paragraph we see that for any fixed $x$, $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,n]}$ is continuous in $t$. Thus, $\widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,n]}$ is continuous in both $t$ and $x$. From (7.50) and (7.51), we see that $\widehat{\Psi}_q = \widehat{\Psi}_q^{[0,0]}$ is $C^{1,\infty}$ differentiable.

Fix $t_0 \in (0,\infty)$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$M(t) = \mathbf{E}\Big[\exp\Big(\sigma \int_0^\infty \widehat{\mathbf{H}}'_{I,q}(t_0+s, X_{x_0}(s))ds\Big)\Big|\mathcal{F}_t\Big], \quad t \ge 0.$$

Then $M(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, is a martingale. Since $\widehat{\Psi}_q$ is defined by (7.33), we have

$$M(t) = \widehat{\Psi}_q(t_0+t, X_{x_0}(t)) \exp\Big(\sigma \int_0^t \widehat{\mathbf{H}}'_{I,q}(t_0+s, X_{x_0}(s))ds\Big). \tag{7.52}$$

From (7.2), Itô's formula, and the differentiability of $\widehat{\Psi}_q$, we see that $\widehat{\Psi}_q$ solves (7.32) for $t \ge t_0$. Since this is true for any $t_0 \in (0,\infty)$, $\widehat{\Psi}_q$ solves (7.32).

Since $\widehat{\Psi}_q$ is $C^{1,\infty}$ differentiable, the same is true for the RHS of (7.32). Thus, $\partial_t \widehat{\Psi}_q$ is also $C^{1,\infty}$ differentiable. So $\widehat{\Psi}_q$ is $C^{2,\infty}$ differentiable. Iterating this argument, we conclude that $\widehat{\Psi}_q$ is $C^{\infty,\infty}$ differentiable. From (7.50) and (7.51), we have $\partial_t^j \widehat{\Psi}_q^{(n)} = \widehat{\Psi}_q^{[j,n]}$ for any $j \in \{0,1,2\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. The bounds of $|\partial_t^j \widehat{\Psi}_q^{(n)}|$ follow from (7.49). □

**Theorem 7.2** *Let* $\widehat{\Psi}_0 = \widehat{\Psi}_q \cdot \widehat{\Psi}_\infty$, *where* $\widehat{\Psi}_\infty$ *is defined by (7.31). Then* $\widehat{\Psi}_0$ *is a positive* $C^{\infty,\infty}$ *differentiable function on* $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$ *and solves (7.28). Moreover,* $j \in \{0,1,2\}$, *for* $n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, *there is a positive continuous function* $c_{j,n}(t)$ *on* $(0,\infty)$ *such that, for any* $t \in (0,\infty)$ *and* $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\partial_t^j \widehat{\Psi}_0^{(n)}(t,x)| \le c_{j,n}(t) e^{\frac{2}{\kappa}|x|}$.

**Proof.** Since $\widehat{\Psi}_q$ and $\widehat{\Psi}_\infty$ are both positive and $C^{\infty,\infty}$ differentiable, the same is true for $\widehat{\Psi}_0 = \widehat{\Psi}_q \cdot \widehat{\Psi}_\infty$. Since $\widehat{\Psi}_q$ solves (7.32), from Lemma 7.8, $\widehat{\Psi}_0$ solves (7.28). From Lemma 7.3, (7.31), and that $\tau \le 0$, we see that for any $j,n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, $|\partial_t^j \widehat{\Psi}_\infty^{(n)}(t,x)|$ is bounded by a positive continuous function in $t$, which, together with Theorem 7.1, implies the upper bounds of $|\partial_t^j \widehat{\Psi}_0^{(n)}(t,x)|$. □

**Theorem 7.3** *Let* $\Psi_0$ *be the* $\Psi$ *in (7.27) with* $\widehat{\Psi}$ *replaced by* $\widehat{\Psi}_0$ *in the above theorem. Then* $\Psi_0$ *is a* $C^{\infty,\infty}$ *differentiable positive function on* $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$ *and solves (5.7). Moreover, for* $j \in \{0,1,2\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, *there is a function* $h_{j,n}(t,|x|)$, *which is a polynomial in* $|x|$ *for any fixed* $t$, *and every coefficient is a positive continuous function in* $t$, *such that for any* $t \in (0,\infty)$ *and* $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\partial_t^j \Psi_0^{(n)}(t,x)| \le h_{j,n}(t,|x|) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\kappa t} + \frac{2\pi|x|}{\kappa t}}$.

**Proof.** Since $\widehat{\Psi}_0 > 0$, $\Psi_0 > 0$ also. The differentiability of $\Psi_0$ is obvious. Since $\widehat{\Psi}_0$ solves (7.32), from Lemma 7.7, $\Psi_0$ solves (5.7). Let $\Psi_q(t,x) = \widehat{\Psi}_0(\frac{\pi^2}{t}, \frac{\pi}{t}x)$. Then we have From Theorem 7.2, it is straightforward to check that for every $j \in \{0,1,2\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, there is a function $f_{j,n}(t,|x|)$, which is a polynomial in $|x|$ of degree $j$ when $t$ is fixed, and every coefficient is a positive continuous function in $t$, such that

$$\left|\partial_t^j \Psi_q^{(n)}(t,x)\right| \le f_{j,n}(t,|x|) e^{\frac{2}{\kappa}\frac{\pi}{t}|x|}, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{7.53}$$

It is easy to verify that for every $j \in \{0,1,2\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, there is a function $g_{j,n}(t,|x|)$, which is a polynomial in $|x|$, and every coefficient is a positive continuous function in $t$, such that

$$\left|\partial_t^j \partial_x^n (e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\kappa t}} (\frac{\pi}{t})^{\sigma + \frac{1}{2}})\right| \le g_{j,n}(t,|x|) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\kappa t}}, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{7.54}$$

From (7.27), $\Psi_0(t,x) = e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\kappa t}} (\frac{\pi}{t})^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}} \Psi_q(t,x)$. So we get the upper bounds of $|\partial_t^j \Psi_0^{(n)}(t,x)|$ from (7.53) and (7.54). $\square$

**Theorem 7.4** *Let $\Psi_0$ be as in the above theorem. Let $\Psi_m(t,x) = \Psi_0(t, x - 2m\pi)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\Psi_{\langle s\rangle} = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}ms} \Psi_m$. Then $\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}$ is a $C^{\infty,\infty}$ differentiable positive function on $(0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, and solves (5.7). And $\Lambda_{\langle s\rangle} := \kappa \frac{\Psi'_{\langle s\rangle}}{\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}} - \mathbf{H}_I$ is a crossing annulus drift function with average shift $s$.*

**Proof.** Since $\Psi_0 > 0$, every $\Psi_m > 0$ as well, and so is $\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}$. From the upper bound of $|\partial_t^j \Psi_0^{(n)}(t,x)|$ we see that, for any $j \in \{0,1,2\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the series

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}ms} \partial_t^j \Psi_m^{(n)}(t,x) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}ms} \partial_t^j \Psi_0^{(n)}(t, x - 2m\pi)$$

converges absolutely and locally uniformly. Let $\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}^{[j,n]}(t,x)$ denote the sum of this series, which should also be continuous. Especially, $\Psi_{\langle s\rangle} = \Psi_{\langle s\rangle}^{[0,0]}$ is continuous. Fubini's Theorem and the upper bounds of $|\partial_t^j \Psi_0^{(n)}(t,x)|$ together imply that, $\partial_t \Psi_{\langle s\rangle}^{[j,n]} = \Psi_{\langle s\rangle}^{[j+1,n]}$ and $\partial_x \Psi_{\langle s\rangle}^{[j,n]} = \Psi_{\langle s\rangle}^{[j,n+1]}$ for $j = 0,1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. So $\Psi$ is $C^{1,\infty}$ differentiable, and for any $j \in \{0,1\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\partial_t^j \Psi_{\langle s\rangle}^{(n)} = \Psi_{\langle s\rangle}^{[j,n]} = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}ms} \partial_t^j \Psi_m^{(n)}$.

Since $\Psi_0$ solves (5.7), and $\mathbf{H}_I$ has period $2\pi$, $\Psi_m$ also solves (5.7) for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since (5.7) is a linear equation, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{m=-N}^{N} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}ms} \Psi_m$ solves (5.7). Since for any $j \in \{0,1\}$ and $n \in \{0,1,2\}$,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \partial_t^j \Big( \sum_{m=-N}^{N} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}ms} \Psi_m \Big)^{(n)} = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}ms} \partial_t^j \Psi_m^{(n)} = \Psi_{\langle s\rangle}^{[j,m]} = \partial_t^j \Psi_{\langle s\rangle}^{(n)},$$

so by letting $N \to \infty$, we conclude that $\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}$ solves (5.7) as well. From (5.7) we then conclude that $\Psi$ is $C^{\infty,\infty}$ differentiable using the argument at the end of the proof of Theorem 7.1.

From the definition of $\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}$ we have

$$\ln(\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}(t,x+2\pi))=\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}s+\ln(\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}(t,x)),\quad t>0,x\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{7.55}$$

So $\Lambda_{\langle s\rangle}=\kappa\frac{\Psi'_{\langle s\rangle}}{\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}}-\mathbf{H}_I=\partial_x\ln(\Psi_{\langle s\rangle})-\mathbf{H}_I$ has period $2\pi$. Thus, $\Lambda_{\langle s\rangle}$ is a crossing annulus drift function. Since $\mathbf{H}_I$ is odd, $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\mathbf{H}_I(t,x)dx=0$. Thus, from (7.55),

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\Lambda_{\langle s\rangle}(t,x)dx=\kappa\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\frac{\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}(t,x)'}{\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}(t,x)}dx=\kappa\ln(\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}(t,x))|_{-\pi}^{\pi}=2\pi s.$$

So the average shift of $\Lambda_{\langle s\rangle}$ is $s$. $\square$

## 7.5 Distributions

**Proposition 7.3** *Fix $x_0\in\mathbb{R}$ and $t_0\in(0,\infty)$. Let $\mathbb{P}_X$ denote the distribution of $(X_{x_0}(t),0\le t<\infty)$, where $X_{x_0}(t)$ is as in (7.2). Let $\Psi_0$ be as in Theorem 7.3, and $\widehat\Phi_0=\kappa\frac{\widehat\Psi_0'}{\widehat\Psi_0}$. Let $Z_{x_0}(t)$, $0\le t<\infty$, be the diffusion process which satisfies the SDE:*

$$dZ_{x_0}(t)=\sqrt{\kappa}dB(t)+\widehat\Phi_0(t_0+t,Z_{x_0}(t))dt,\quad Z_x(0)=x_0. \tag{7.56}$$

*Let $\mathbb{P}_Z$ denote the distribution of $(Z_{x_0}(t),0\le t<\infty)$. Then $\mathbb{P}_X$ and $\mathbb{P}_Z$ are equivalent, and we have*

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}_Z}{d\mathbb{P}_X}=\widehat\Psi_q(t_0,x_0)^{-1}\exp\Big(\sigma\int_0^\infty\widehat{\mathbf{H}}'_{I,q}(t_0+s,X_{x_0}(s))ds\Big). \tag{7.57}$$

**Proof.** Define $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_Z$ such that $d\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_Z/d\mathbb{P}_X$ equals the RHS of (7.57). From (7.33), $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_Z$ is a probability measure. Let $N(t)=\mathbf{E}\,[d\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_Z/d\mathbb{P}_X|\mathcal{F}_t]$. Then $N(t)=M(t)/\widehat\Psi_q(t_0,x_0)$, where $M(t)$ is defined by (7.52). Since $\widehat\Psi_0=\widehat\Psi_\infty\widehat\Psi_q$, from (7.52) and Itô's formula, we have

$$\frac{dN(t)}{N(t)}=\frac{dM(t)}{M(t)}=\frac{\widehat\Psi_q'(t_0+t,X_{x_0}(t))}{\widehat\Psi_q(t_0+t,X_{x_0}(t))}\sqrt{\kappa}dB(t)$$

$$=\Big(\frac{\widehat\Psi_0'(t_0+t,X_{x_0}(t))}{\widehat\Psi_0(t_0+t,X_{x_0}(t))}-\frac{\widehat\Psi_\infty'(t_0+t,X_{x_0}(t))}{\widehat\Psi_\infty(t_0+t,X_{x_0}(t))}\Big)\sqrt{\kappa}dB(t)$$

$$=\Big(\widehat\Phi_0(t_0+t,X_{x_0}(t))-\tau\tanh_2(X_{x_0}(t))\Big)\frac{dB(t)}{\sqrt{\kappa}}.$$

From Girsanov theorem, we get $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_Z=\mathbb{P}_Z$, and so (7.57) holds. Since $\sigma\ge 0$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}'_{I,q}>0$, $d\mathbb{P}_Z/d\mathbb{P}_X\ge\widehat\Psi_q(t_0,x_0)^{-1}>0$. Thus, $\mathbb{P}_X$ is also absolutely continuous w.r.t. $\mathbb{P}_Z$. $\square$

**Proposition 7.4** *Let $p > 0$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\Psi_0$ be as in Theorem 7.3. Let $\Lambda_0 = \kappa \frac{\Psi_0'}{\Psi_0} - \mathbf{H}_I$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\widetilde{\beta}_m$ be the covering annulus SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_0)$ trace in $\mathbb{S}_p$ started from $x_0$ with marked point $y_0 + 2m\pi + pi$. Let $\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}$ and $\Lambda_{\langle s\rangle}$ be as in Theorem 7.4. Let $\widetilde{\beta}_{\langle s\rangle}$ be the covering annulus SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_{\langle s\rangle})$ trace in $\mathbb{S}_p$ started from $x_0$ with marked point $y_0 + pi$. Let $\mathbb{P}_{\widetilde{\beta},m}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\mathbb{P}_{\widetilde{\beta},\langle s\rangle}$ denote the distributions of $\widetilde{\beta}_m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\widetilde{\beta}_{\langle s\rangle}$, respectively. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}_{\widetilde{\beta},\langle s\rangle} = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}ms} \frac{\Psi_m(p, x_0 - y_0)}{\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}(p, x_0 - y_0)} \mathbb{P}_{\widetilde{\beta},m}. \tag{7.58}$$

**Proof.** For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\xi_m(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, be the solution to (4.1) with $\Lambda = \Lambda_0$ and $y_0$ replaced by $y_0 + 2m\pi$. Let $\xi_{\langle s\rangle}(t)$ be the solution to (4.1) with $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\langle s\rangle}$. Then the covering annulus Loewner traces of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi_m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\xi_{\langle s\rangle}$ have distributions $\mathbb{P}_{\widetilde{\beta},m}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\mathbb{P}_{\widetilde{\beta},\langle s\rangle}$, respectively. Let $X_m(t) = \xi_m(t) - \mathrm{Re}\,\widetilde{g}^{\xi_m}(t, y_0 + 2m\pi + pi) + 2m\pi$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $X_{\langle s\rangle}(t) = \xi_{\langle s\rangle}(t) - \mathrm{Re}\,\widetilde{g}^{\xi_{\langle s\rangle}}(t, y_0 + pi)$. Since $\mathrm{Re}\, g(t, y + pi) = \widetilde{g}_I(t, y)$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbf{H}_I$ has period $2\pi$, from (3.10), we find that, for $* \in \{m, \langle s\rangle\}$, $X_*(t)$ satisfies

$$dX_*(t) = \sqrt{\kappa} dB(t) + \Phi_*(p - t, X_*(t))dt, \quad X_*(0) = x_0 - y_0,$$

where $\Phi_* = \kappa \frac{\Psi_*'}{\Psi_*}$. Let $\mathbb{P}_{X,*}$ denote the distributions of $(X_*(t))$. Since for $0 \le t < p$,

$$\xi_*(t) = X_*(t) + y_0 + \int_0^t \mathbf{H}_I(p - r, -X_*(s))dr,$$

we suffice to show that (7.58) holds with the subscripts "$\widetilde{\beta}$" replaced by "$X$".

Let $f_m = e^{\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}ms} \frac{\Psi_m}{\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $0 \le f_m \le 1$, and $\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} f_m \equiv 1$. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, since $\Psi_m$ and $\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}$ both solve (5.7), and $\kappa \frac{\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}'}{\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}} = \Phi_{\langle s\rangle}$, $f_m$ satisfies $\partial_t f_m = \frac{\kappa}{2} f_m'' + f_m' \Phi_{\langle s\rangle}$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $M_m(t) = f_m(p - t, X_{\langle s\rangle}(t))$, $0 \le t < p$. From Itô's formula, each $(M_m(t))$ is a local martingale, and $\frac{dM_m(t)}{M_m(t)} = (\Phi_m - \Phi_{\langle s\rangle}) \frac{dB(t)}{\sqrt{\kappa}}$. Since $0 \le f_m \le 1$, $(M_m(t))$ is a bounded martingale. Thus, $Z_m := \lim_{t\to p^-} M_m(t)$ exists a.s. Since $\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} f_m \equiv 1$, $\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} Z_m = 1$. Note that $M_m(0) = f_m(p, x_0 - y_0)$ equals the $m$-th coefficient in (7.58).

Define $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{X,m}$ by $d\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{X,m}/d\mathbb{P}_{X,\langle s\rangle} = Z_m/M_m(0)$. Then $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{X,m}$ is also a probability measure. Let $N_m(t) = \mathbf{E}\,[d\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{X,m}/d\mathbb{P}_{X,\langle s\rangle}|\mathcal{F}_t] = M_m(t)/M_m(0)$. Then $\frac{dN_m(t)}{N_m(t)} = (\Phi_m - \Phi_{\langle s\rangle}) \frac{dB(t)}{\sqrt{\kappa}}$. From Girsanov theorem, we see that $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{X,m} = \mathbb{P}_{X,m}$. Thus, (7.58) holds with the subscripts "$\widetilde{\beta}$" replaced by "$X$" because

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}ms} \cdot \frac{\Psi_m(p, x_0 - y_0)}{\Psi_{\langle s\rangle}(p, x_0 - y_0)} \frac{Z_m}{M_m(0)} = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} Z_m = 1. \quad \square$$

# 8 Subsequential Limit Points

Throughout this section, we fix $\kappa > 0$, $\sigma \in [0, \frac{4}{\kappa})$, and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\tau \le 0$ be defined by (7.30). We will prove that, for the $\Lambda_{\langle s\rangle}$ given by Theorem 7.4, the only subsequential limit point of an annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa, \Lambda_{\langle s\rangle})$ trace on the boundary is the marked point. The main idea is that the rescaled covering annulus Loewner equation is close to the strip Loewner equation when the modulus tends to $\infty$, and the properties of strip $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ traces are better understood.

Let $\rho = 2\tau - 2 \le -2$. Fix $r > 0$ and $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\xi(t)$ and $q(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, satisfy

$$\begin{cases} d\xi(t) = \sqrt{\kappa}dB(t) + \frac{\rho}{2}\tanh_2(\xi(t) - q(t))dt - \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}(r + t, \xi(t) - q(t))dt, & \xi(0) = x_0; \\ dq(t) = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(r + t, q(t) - \xi(t))dt, & q(0) = y_0. \end{cases} \tag{8.1}$$

Let $X(t) = \xi(t) - q(t)$. Then $(X(t))$ has the same distribution as the $(X_{x_0 - y_0}(t))$ in (7.2). Let $\widehat{g}(t, \cdot)$ and $\widehat{K}(t)$ be the rescaled covering annulus Loewner maps and hulls of modulus $r$ driven by $\xi$. Since $\langle \xi\rangle_t = \kappa t$, we see that a.s. $\widehat{\beta}(t)$ defined by (3.20) exists for all $t \ge 0$, and $\widehat{\beta}$ is a continuous curve in $\mathbb{S}_\pi \cup \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $t \ge 0$, $\mathbb{S}_\pi \setminus \widehat{K}(t)$ is the component of $\mathbb{S}_\pi \setminus (\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} 2mr + \widehat{\beta}((0,t]))$ that contains a neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}_\pi$ in $\mathbb{S}_\pi$. By excluding an event of probability 0, we may assume that the above event holds for sure.

Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}_\pi$. Then $\widehat{g}(t, z_0) \in \mathbb{R}_\pi$ for all $t \in [0, T)$. So we have

$$\partial_t \widehat{g}(t, z_0) = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}(r + t, \operatorname{Re}\widehat{g}(t, z_0) + \pi i - \xi(t)) = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(r + t, \operatorname{Re}\widehat{g}(t, z_0) - \xi(t)). \tag{8.2}$$

Letting $z_0 = y_0 + \pi i$, we find that $\widehat{g}(t, y_0 + \pi i) = q(t) + \pi i$ for $0 \le t < \infty$. Differentiating (8.2) w.r.t. $z$ and using $\widehat{g}(0, \cdot) = \mathrm{id}$, we get

$$\widehat{g}\,'(t, z_0) = \exp\Big(\int_0^t \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I'(r + s, \operatorname{Re}\widehat{g}(s, z_0) - \xi(s)))ds\Big). \tag{8.3}$$

Thus, $\widehat{g}\,'(t, y_0 + \pi i) = \exp(\int_0^t \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I'(r + s, X(s))ds)$. From (2.13) we see that $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I'(r + s, x) > \tanh_2'(x) > 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $(X(t))$ has the same distribution as $(X_{x_0 - y_0}(t))$, from Lemma 7.1, a.s. $\widehat{g}\,'(t, y_0 + \pi i) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Since $\widehat{g}(t, \cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{S}_\pi \setminus \widehat{K}(t)$ onto $\mathbb{S}_\pi$, and maps $\mathbb{R}_\pi$ onto $\mathbb{R}_\pi$. From Koebe's 1/4 Theorem, we conclude the following lemma.

**Lemma 8.1** *Let $\mathcal{E}_1$ denote the event that $y_0 + \pi i \in \overline{\bigcup_t \widehat{K}(t)}$. Then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_1) = 1$.*

**Lemma 8.2** *Let $\mathcal{E}_1$ be the event in Lemma 8.1. Assume that $\mathcal{E}_1$ occurs. Then for every $y_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{y_0\}$, $\operatorname{Re}\widehat{g}(t, y_1 + \pi i) - q(t)$ tends to $(y_1 - y_0) \cdot \infty$ as $t \to \infty$.*

**Proof.** Fix any $y_1 > y_0$. Let $p(t) = \operatorname{Re}\widehat{g}(t, y_1 + \pi i)$, $t \ge 0$. Then $p'(t) = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(r + t, p(t) - \xi(t))$. From (8.3) and that $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I'(r + t, \cdot) > 0$ on $\mathbb{R}$ we see that

$$p(t) - q(t) = \operatorname{Re}\widehat{g}(t, y_1 + \pi i) - \operatorname{Re}\widehat{g}(t, y_0 + \pi i) = \int_{y_0}^{y_1} \widehat{g}\,'(t, x + \pi i)dx$$

increases in $t$. So $p(t)-q(t)\ge y_1-y_0>0$ for any $t\ge 0$. Let $I_0=\{z\in\mathbb{R}_\pi:\operatorname{Re}z\le y_0\}$, $I_1=\{z\in\mathbb{R}_\pi:\operatorname{Re}z\ge y_1\}$, $I_{0,t}=\{z\in\mathbb{R}_\pi:\operatorname{Re}z\le q(t)\}$, $I_{1,t}=\{z\in\mathbb{R}_\pi:\operatorname{Re}z\ge p(t)\}$. Since $\widehat{g}(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{S}_\pi\setminus\widehat{K}(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{S}_\pi$ and maps $I_0$ and $I_1$ onto $I_{0,t}$ and $I_{1,t}$, respectively, the extremal distance ([2]) between $I_{0,t}$ and $I_{1,t}$ in $\mathbb{S}_\pi$ is equal to the extremal distance between $I_0$ and $I_1$ in $\mathbb{S}_\pi\setminus\widehat{K}(t)$. From Lemma 8.1, as $t\to\infty$, the latter extremal distance tends to $\infty$ as $t\to\infty$, which implies that the extremal distance between $I_{0,t}$ and $I_{1,t}$ in $\mathbb{S}_\pi$ tends to $\infty$. Thus, $p(t)-q(t)\to+\infty$ as $t\to\infty$. The case that $y_1<y_0$ can be proved similarly. $\Box$

Let $h(t)=\int_0^t\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}(r+s,X(s))ds$. From (7.4) and Lemma 7.6 we see that a.s. $h$ is bounded on $[0,\infty)$. Let $\xi_s(t)=\xi(t)+h(t)$ and $q_s(t)=q(t)+h(t)$. Since $X(t)=\xi(t)-q(t)=\xi_s(t)-q_s(t)$, from (8.1) we have

$$\begin{cases} d\xi_s(t)=\sqrt{\kappa}dB(t)+\frac{\rho}{2}\tanh_2(\xi_s(t)-q_s(t))dt, & \xi_s(0)=x_0;\\ dq_s(t)=\tanh_2(q_s(t)-\xi_s(t))dt, & q_s(0)=y_0.\end{cases}\tag{8.4}$$

Let $g_s(t,\cdot)$ and $K_s(t)$, $0\le s<\infty$, be the strip Loewner maps and hulls driven by $\xi$. Then for every $z_0\in\mathbb{R}_\pi$, $\partial_t g_s(t,z_0)=\tanh_2(\operatorname{Re}g_s(t,z_0)-\xi_s(t))$. Thus, $q_s(t)=\operatorname{Re}g_s(t,y_0+\pi i)$, $0\le t<\infty$.

Since $\langle\xi_s\rangle_t=\kappa t$, we see that, a.s. the $\beta_s(t)$ defined by (3.22) exists for all $0\le t<\infty$, and $\beta_s$ is a continuous curve in $\mathbb{R}\cup\mathbb{S}_\pi$ such that for any $t\ge0$, $\mathbb{S}_\pi\setminus K_s(t)$ is the unbounded component of $\mathbb{S}_\pi\setminus\beta_s((0,t])$. Let $\rho_+=\rho_-=(\kappa-6-\rho)/2$. From (8.4) we see that $\beta_s(t)$, $0\le t<\infty$, is a strip SLE$(\kappa;\rho,\rho_+,\rho_-)$ trace in $\mathbb{S}_\pi$ started from $x_0$ with marked points $y_0+\pi i$, $+\infty$, and $-\infty$ ([29]). Since $\rho\le-2$, $\rho_+,\rho_-\ge\kappa/2-2$. From Case (11) of Theorem 3.5 in [29], we see that a.s. $\lim_{t\to\infty}\beta_s(t)=y_0+\pi i$.

**Lemma 8.3** *Almost surely for every* $y_1\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{y_0\}$, $\operatorname{Re}g_s(t,y_1+\pi i)-q_s(t)$ *and* $\operatorname{Re}g_s(t,y_1+\pi i)-\xi_s(t)$ *both tend to* $(y_1-y_0)\cdot\infty$ *as* $t\to\infty$. *Moreover, if* $y_1\in\mathbb{R}$ *and* $a\in\{1,-1\}$ *are such that* $a\cdot(y_1-\operatorname{Re}\beta_s(t))\ge\pi$ *for all* $t\ge0$ *then* $a\cdot(\operatorname{Re}g_s(t,y_1+\pi i)-\xi_s(t))\ge0$ *for all* $t\ge0$.

**Proof.** Let $\mathcal{E}$ denote the event that $\lim_{t\to\infty}\beta_s(t)=y_0+\pi i$. Then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})=1$. Assume that $\mathcal{E}$ occurs. Fix $y_1>y_0$. Since $y_0+\pi i$ lies on the closure of $\beta_s$, the argument in the proof of Lemma 8.2 can be used here to show that $\operatorname{Re}g_s(t,y_1+\pi i)-q_s(t)$ tends to $+\infty$ as $t\to\infty$. The limit of $\operatorname{Re}g_s(t,y_1+\pi i)-\xi_s(t)$ can be proved by considering the extremal distance between $\beta[t,\infty)$ and $\{z\in\mathbb{R}_\pi:\operatorname{Re}z\ge y_1\}$ in $\mathbb{S}_\pi\setminus K(t)$, which tends to $\infty$ as $t\to\infty$, and is not more than the extremal distance between $(-\infty,\xi_s(t))$ and $\{z\in\mathbb{R}_\pi:\operatorname{Re}z\ge\operatorname{Re}g_s(t,y_1+\pi i)\}$ in $\mathbb{S}_\pi$.

Suppose now $y_1\ge\operatorname{Re}\beta_s(t)+\pi$ for all $t\ge0$. Then the extremal distance between $\{z\in\mathbb{R}_\pi:\operatorname{Re}z\ge y_1\}$ and $[y_1-\pi,y_1-\pi+\pi i]$ is not less than 1, and not more than the extremal distance between $\{z\in\mathbb{R}_\pi:\operatorname{Re}z\ge\operatorname{Re}g_s(t,y_1+\pi i)\}$ and $(-\infty,\xi(t)]$ in $\mathbb{S}_\pi$. This implies that $\operatorname{Re}g_s(t,y_1+\pi i)-\xi_s(t)\ge0$. Other statements can be proved similarly. $\Box$

**Lemma 8.4** *Let* $\mathcal{E}_2$ *denote the event that for every* $y_0'\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{y_0\}$, $\operatorname{Re}\widehat{g}(t,y_0'+\pi i)-\xi(t)\to(y_0'-y_0)\cdot\infty$ *as* $t\to\infty$. *Then* $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{E}_2]=1$.

**Proof.** Suppose $\xi_0(t)$ and $q_0(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, solve (8.4) with $x_0 = y_0 = 0$. Let $\beta_0$ be the strip Loewner trace driven by $\xi_0$. Then a.s. $\lim_{t\to\infty}\beta_0(t) = \pi i$, so $\beta_0$ is bounded. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since a.s. $h = \xi_s - \xi$ and $\beta_0$ are bounded, there is $R > 0$ such that the probability that $|h(t)| \le R$ for all $t \ge 0$ and the probability that $|\operatorname{Re}\beta_0(t)| \le R$ for all $t \ge 0$ are both greater than $1 - \varepsilon/2$. Such $R$ depends only on $\varepsilon$. Fix any $y_0' > y_0$. Let $p(t) = \widehat{g}(t, y_0' + \pi i)$. Then

$$p'(t) = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(r + t, p(t) - \xi(t)), \quad 0 \le t < \infty. \tag{8.5}$$

Let $T$ be the first time that $X(T) = 0$ and $p(T) - q(T) \ge 3R + 4$. Then $T$ is a stopping time. From Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 8.2, $T$ is a.s. finite. Since $0 = X(T) = \xi(T) - q(T)$, $p(T) - \xi(T) \ge 3R + 4$. Let $B_T(t) = B(T + t) - B(T)$, $t \ge 0$. Then $B_T(t)$ is also a Brownian motion. Let $\xi_T(t) = \xi_s(T + t) - \xi_s(T)$ and $q_T(t) = q_s(T + t) - q_s(T)$, $t \ge 0$. Then $\xi_T$ and $q_T$ solve (8.4) with $x_0 = y_0 = 0$ and $B(t)$ replaced by $B_T(t)$. Thus, $(\xi_T)$ has the same distribution as $(\xi_0)$. Let $g_T(t, z) = g_s(T + t, g_s^{-1}(T, z + \xi(T))) - \xi(T)$ and $\beta_T(t) = g_s(T, \beta_0(T + t)) - \xi(T)$. Then $g_T(t, \cdot)$ and $\beta_T(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, are the strip Loewner maps and trace driven by $\xi_T(t)$. So $(\beta_T)$ has the same distribution as $(\beta_0)$.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ denote the event that $|h(t)| \le R$ and $|\operatorname{Re}\beta_T(t)| \le R$ for all $t \ge 0$. Then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Assume that $\mathcal{E}$ occurs. Let $y_{2,T} = R + \pi$. From Lemma 8.3 we see that $\operatorname{Re} g_T(t, y_{2,T} + \pi i) \ge \xi_T(t)$ for all $t \ge 0$. Let $y_2 = \operatorname{Re} g_s^{-1}(T, y_{2,T} + \xi_s(T) + \pi i)$. Then $g_s(T, y_2 + \pi i) = y_{2,T} + \xi_s(T) + \pi i$, and from $g_s(T, y_0) = q_s(T) + \pi i = \xi_s(T) + \pi i$, we see that $y_2 > y_0$. Let $p_s(t) = \operatorname{Re} g_s(t, y_2 + \pi i)$, $t \ge 0$. Then $p_s(T) = y_{2,T} + \xi_s(T) = \xi_s(T) + R + \pi$, and

$$p_s'(t) = \tanh_2(p_s(t) - \xi_s(t)), \quad 0 \le t < \infty. \tag{8.6}$$

Since $y_2 > y_0$, from Lemma 8.3 we have a.s. $p_s(t) - \xi_s(t) \to +\infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Since $g_T(t, z) = g_s(T + t, g_s^{-1}(T, z + \xi(T))) - \xi(T)$ and $\operatorname{Re} g_T(t, y_{2,T} + \pi i) \ge \xi_T(t)$ for $t \ge 0$,

$$p_s(T + t) = \operatorname{Re} g_T(t, y_{2,T} + \pi i) + \xi_s(T) \ge \xi_T(t) + \xi_s(T) = \xi_s(T + t), \quad t \ge 0.$$

So far we have $p(T) \ge \xi(T) + 3R + 4$, $p_s(T) = \xi_s(T) + R + \pi$, $|\xi(t) - \xi_s(t)| \le |h(t)| \le R$ for all $t \ge 0$, and $p_s(t) - \xi_s(t) \ge 0$ for all $t \ge T$. So

$$p(T) - \xi(T) \ge 3R + 4 > R + \pi = p_s(T) - \xi_s(T).$$

We claim that $p(t) - \xi(t) > p_s(t) - \xi_s(t)$ for all $t \ge T$. If this is not true, then there is some $T_0 > T$ such that $p(T_0) - \xi(T_0) = p_s(T) - \xi_s(T_0)$ and $p(t) - \xi(t) > p_s(t) - \xi_s(t)$ for $t \in [T, T_0)$. Since $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I' - \tanh_2' = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_{I,q}' > 0$, and $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(t, 0) = \tanh_2(0) = 0$, if $x \ge y \ge 0$ then $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(t, x) \ge \tanh_2(y)$. For $t \in [T, T_0]$, since $p(t) - \xi(t) \ge p_s(t) - \xi_s(t) \ge 0$, $\widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(r + t, p(t) - \xi(t)) \ge \tanh_2(p_s(t) - \xi_s(t))$. From (8.5) and (8.6) we have $p'(t) \ge p_s'(t)$ on $[T, T_0]$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (p(T_0) - \xi(T_0)) - (p_s(T_0) - \xi_s(T_0)) = (p(T_0) - p_s(T_0)) - (\xi(T_0) - \xi_s(T_0)) \\
&\ge p(T) - p_s(T) - |\xi(T_0) - \xi_s(T_0)| \ge (\xi(T) + 3R + 4) - (\xi_s(T) + R + \pi) - R \\
&\ge R + 4 - \pi - |\xi(T) - \xi_s(T)| = R + 4 - \pi - |h(T)| \ge 4 - \pi > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. So the $T_0$ does not exist, which proves the claim. Thus, $p(t)-\xi(t)\ge p_s(t)-\xi_s(t)\ge 0$ for all $t\ge T$. Since a.s. $p_s(t)-\xi_s(t)\to+\infty$ as $t\to\infty$, we have a.s. $p(t)-\xi(t)\to+\infty$ as $t\to\infty$. Since this a.s. happens when $\mathcal{E}$ occurs, and $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})>1-\varepsilon$, the probability that $\lim_{t\to\infty}(p(t)-\xi(t))=+\infty$ is at least $1-\varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, a.s. $\lim_{t\to\infty}(\operatorname{Re}\widehat{g}(t,y_0'+\pi i)-\xi(t))=+\infty$. Since this holds for any $y_0'>y_0$, and $\operatorname{Re}\widehat{g}(t,y+\pi i)$ increases in $y$, we see that, a.s. for any $y_0'>y_0$, $\lim_{t\to\infty}(\operatorname{Re}\widehat{g}(t,y_0'+\pi i)-\xi(t))=+\infty$. Other statements can be proved similarly. $\Box$

For $t\ge 0$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}$, let $h(t,x)=\sup\{y:x+yi\in\widehat{K}(t)\cup\mathbb{R}\}$. Then for any $x\in\mathbb{R}$, $h(\cdot,x)$ is nondecreasing. Since $\widehat{K}(t)$ has period $2r$, $h$ has period $2r$ also. As $\widehat{K}(t)$ is relatively closed in $\mathbb{S}_\pi$ and bounded away from $\mathbb{R}_\pi$, if $h(t,x)>0$ then $x+h(t,x)i\in\partial\widehat{K}(t)\cap\mathbb{S}_\pi\subset\widehat{K}(t)$. For $m\in\mathbb{Z}$, let $\widehat{\beta}_m=2mr+\widehat{\beta}$. Since $\mathbb{S}_\pi\setminus\widehat{K}(t)$ is the component of $\mathbb{S}_\pi\setminus\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{\beta}_m((0,t])$ whose boundary contains $\mathbb{R}_\pi$, $\partial\widehat{K}(t)\cap\mathbb{S}_\pi\subset\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{\beta}_m((0,t])$.

**Lemma 8.5** *If $h(t_0,y_0')>0$ then there are $s_0\in[0,t_0]$ and $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $h(s_0,y_0')=h(t_0,y_0')$ and $\widehat{\beta}(s_0)=y_0'-2mr+h(s_0,y_0')i$.*

**Proof.** Since $h(t_0,y_0')>0$, $y_0'+h(t_0,y_0')i\in\partial\widehat{K}(t)\cap\mathbb{S}_\pi\subset\bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\widehat{\beta}_m((0,t_0])$. So there are $s_0\in(0,t_0]$ and $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $y_0'+h(t_0,y_0')i=\widehat{\beta}_m(s_0)$. Thus, $\widehat{\beta}(s_0)=y_0'-2mr+h(t_0,y_0')i$. Since $\widehat{\beta}(s_0)\in\overline{\widehat{K}(s_0)}$, $\operatorname{Im}\widehat{\beta}(s_0)\le h(s_0,\operatorname{Re}\widehat{\beta}(s_0))=h(s_0,y_0')$. So $h(t_0,y_0')=h(s_0,y_0')$. Since $h(\cdot,y_0')$ is nondecreasing, we have $h(s_0,y_0')=h(t_0,y_0')$ and $\widehat{\beta}(s_0)=y_0'-2mr+h(s_0,y_0')i$. $\Box$

**Lemma 8.6** *Define $E_y=\{t\ge 0:\widehat{\beta}(t)=y+h(t,y)i\}$, $y\in\mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{E}_2$ be the event in Lemma 8.4. Assume that $\mathcal{E}_2$ occurs. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, $\sup(\bigcup_{|y-y_0|\ge\varepsilon}E_y)<\infty$. Moreover, if $T=\sup(\bigcup_{|y-y_0|\ge\varepsilon}E_y)$ and $\operatorname{dist}(y,y_0+2r\mathbb{Z})\ge\varepsilon$, then $h(T,y)=\sup_{t\ge 0}h(t,y)$.*

**Proof.** Let $\varepsilon>0$. Assume that $\sup(\bigcup_{|y-y_0|\ge\varepsilon}E_y)=\infty$. Then there are a non-negative sequence $t_n\to\infty$, and a real sequence $(y_n)$ with $|y_n-y_0|\ge\varepsilon$ such that $\widehat{\beta}(t_n)=y_n+h(t_n,y_n)i$. By passing to a subsequence, we may assume that either $y_n\ge y_0+\varepsilon$ for all $n$ or $y_n\le y_0-\varepsilon$ for all $n$. By symmetry, we only need to consider the first case. Let $y_0'=y_0+\varepsilon/2$. The extremal distance between $[y_0',y_0'+\pi i]$ and $[\widehat{\beta}(t_n),y_n+\pi i]$ in $\mathbb{S}_\pi\setminus\widehat{K}(t_n)$ is bounded below by $\varepsilon/(2\pi)$, and bounded above by the extremal distance between $\{z\in\mathbb{R}_\pi:z\le\operatorname{Re}\widehat{g}(t_n,y_0'+\pi i)\}$ and $[\xi(t_n),\infty)$ in $\mathbb{S}_\pi$. Thus, $\operatorname{Re}\widehat{g}(t_n,y_0'+\pi i)-\xi(t_n)$ is bounded from above by a constant. However, since $y_0'>y_0$, $t_n\to\infty$, and $\mathcal{E}_2$ occurs, from Lemma 8.4 we have $\operatorname{Re}\widehat{g}(t_n,y_0'+\pi i)-\xi(t_n)\to\infty$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $T:=\sup(\bigcup_{|y-y_0|\ge\varepsilon}E_y)<\infty$. Suppose $\operatorname{dist}(y,y_0+2r\mathbb{Z})\ge\varepsilon$. If $h(T,y)\ne\sup_{t\ge 0}h(t,y)$, then there exists $t_0$ such that $h(t_0,y)>h(T,y)$. From Lemma 8.5 there are $s_0\le t_0$ and $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $h(s_0,y)=h(t_0,y)$ and $\widehat{\beta}(s_0)=y-2mr+h(s_0,y)i$. Since $h$ has period $2r$, $s_0\in E_{y-2mr}$. Since $\operatorname{dist}(y,y_0+2r\mathbb{Z})\ge\varepsilon$, $|(y-2mr)-y_0|\ge\varepsilon$. Thus, $s_0\in\bigcup_{|z-y_0|\ge\varepsilon}E_z$. Since $h(s_0,y)=h(t_0,y)>h(T,y)$, and $h(\cdot,y)$ is nondecreasing, $s_0>T$. This contradicts the definition of $T$. So we have $h(T,y)=\sup_{t\ge 0}h(t,y)$. $\Box$

**Theorem 8.1** *Almost surely $\overline{\widehat{\beta}((0,\infty))}\cap\mathbb{R}_\pi=\{y_0+\pi i\}$. Recall that the driving function $\xi$ is given by (8.1).*

**Proof.** Let $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ denote the events in Lemma 8.1 and Lemma 8.4, respectively. Then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}_2) = 1$. It suffices to show that $\overline{\widehat{\beta}((0,\infty))} \cap \mathbb{R}_\pi = \{y_0 + \pi i\}$ when $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ both occur. Assume that $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ both occur.

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, r)$. Let $T = \sup(\bigcup_{|y-y_0|\ge\varepsilon} E_y)$. Then $T < \infty$ from Lemma 8.6. We claim that

$$\widehat{\beta}((T,\infty)) \cap \bigcup_{\operatorname{dist}(y, y_0+2r\mathbb{Z})\ge\varepsilon} [y + h(T,y)i, y + \pi i] = \emptyset. \tag{8.7}$$

If this is not true, then there is $t_0 > T$ such that $y_0' := \operatorname{Re}\widehat{\beta}(t_0)$ satisfies that $\operatorname{dist}(y_0', y_0 + 2r\mathbb{Z}) \ge \varepsilon$ and $\operatorname{Im}\widehat{\beta}(t_0) \ge h(T, y_0')$. From Lemma 8.6, $h(T, y_0') = \sup_{t\ge 0} h(t, y_0')$. So we have $h(t_0, y_0') \ge \operatorname{Im}\widehat{\beta}(t_0) \ge h(T, y_0') \ge h(t_0, y_0')$, which implies that $t_0 \in E_{y_0'}$. Since $|y_0' - y_0| \ge \varepsilon$, from the definition of $T$ we have $t_0 \le T$, which contradicts that $t_0 > T$. So (8.7) holds.

Let $I_{m,\varepsilon} = [y_0 + 2mr - \varepsilon + \pi i, y_0 + 2mr + \varepsilon + \pi i]$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, be intervals on $\mathbb{R}_\pi$. Let $L$ denote the subsequential limits of $\widehat{\beta}$ on $\mathbb{R}_\pi$. From Lemma 8.1, $L \ne \emptyset$. Since the union in (8.7) contains the neighbors of $\mathbb{R}_\pi \setminus \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} I_{m,\varepsilon}$, we have $L \subset \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} I_{m,\varepsilon}$. Since the union in (8.7) disconnects different $I_{m,\varepsilon}$'s in $\mathbb{S}_\pi \setminus \widehat{K}(t)$, there is $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $L \subset I_{m_0,\varepsilon}$. Since this holds for every $\varepsilon \in (0, r)$, we have $L = \{y_0 + 2m_0 r + \pi i\}$. Now it suffices to show that $m_0 = 0$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $H_n = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} h(T + n, x)$. Then $H_n < \pi$ because $\widehat{K}(T + n)$ is bounded away from $\mathbb{R}_\pi$ and has period $2r$. Let $H_n' = (H_n + \pi)/2 \in (H_n, \pi)$. Let $T_n$ be the first $t$ such that $\operatorname{Im}\widehat{\beta}(t) \ge H_n'$. Since $L \ne \emptyset$, $T_n$ must exist. Let $y_n = \operatorname{Re}\widehat{\beta}(T_n)$. Then $\operatorname{Im}\widehat{\beta}(T_n) = h(T_n, y_n)$. So $T_n \in E_{y_n}$. From $h(T_n, y_n) = \operatorname{Im}\widehat{\beta}(T_n) = H_n' > H_n \ge h(T + n, y_n)$ we have $T_n > T + n > T$. From (8.7) and the disconnection property of the union in (8.7), we see that $y_n = \operatorname{Re}\widehat{\beta}(T_n)$ satisfies $|y_n - (y_0 + 2m_0 r)| \le \varepsilon < r/2$. If $m_0 \ne 0$ then $|y_n - y_0| \ge r$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so $\sup(\bigcup_{|y-y_0|\ge r} E_y) \ge \sup_n T_n = \infty$, which contradicts Lemma 8.6. So $m_0 = 0$. $\square$

**Theorem 8.2** *Let* $\widehat{\Psi}_0$ *be as in Theorem 7.2. Let* $\widehat{\Lambda}_0 = \kappa\frac{\widehat{\Psi}_0'}{\widehat{\Psi}_0} - \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I$. *Let* $\widehat{\xi}(t)$ *and* $\widehat{q}(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, *solve the equations*

$$\begin{cases} d\widehat{\xi}(t) = \sqrt{\kappa}dB(t) + \widehat{\Lambda}_0(r + t, \widehat{\xi}(t) - \widehat{q}(t))dt, & \widehat{\xi}(0) = x_0; \\ d\widehat{q}(t) = \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(r + t, \widehat{q}(t) - \widehat{\xi}(t))dt, & \widehat{q}(0) = y_0. \end{cases} \tag{8.8}$$

*Let* $\widehat{\beta}(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, *be the rescaled covering annulus Loewner trace of modulus* $r$ *driven by* $\widehat{\xi}$. *Then a.s.* $\overline{\widehat{\beta}((0,\infty))} \cap \mathbb{R}_\pi = \{y_0 + \pi i\}$.

**Proof.** Let $\widehat{X}(t) = \widehat{\xi}(t) - \widehat{q}(t)$. Then $(\widehat{X}(t))$ has the same distribution as the $(Z_{x_0-y_0}(t))$ in (7.56). Let $\xi(t)$ and $q(t)$ be defined by (8.1) and $X(t) = \xi(t) - q(t)$. Then $(X(t))$ has the same distribution as the $(X_{x_0-y_0}(t))$ in (7.2). Proposition 7.3 shows that the distribution of $(\widehat{X}(t))$ is equivalent to that of $(X(t))$. From (8.1) we have

$$\xi(t) = X(t) + y_0 + \int_0^t \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I(r + s, -X(s))ds.$$

From (8.8), the above equality holds with $X(t)$ and $\xi(t)$ replaced by $\widehat{X}(t)$ and $\widehat{\xi}(t)$. Thus, the distributions of $(\widehat{\xi}(t))$ is equivalent to that of $(\xi(t))$. So the distribution of the $\widehat{\beta}$ here is equivalent to the distribution of the $\widehat{\beta}$ in Theorem 8.1. From the property of the $\widehat{\beta}$ in Theorem 8.1 we conclude that the $\widehat{\beta}$ here satisfies the desired property. □

**Theorem 8.3** *Fix $p \in (0,\infty)$ and $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\widetilde{\beta}$ be the covering annulus SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_0)$ trace in $\mathbb{S}_p$ started from $x_0$ with marked point $y_0 + pi$, where $\Lambda_0$ is given by Proposition 7.4. Then a.s. $\overline{\widetilde{\beta}((0,p))} \cap \mathbb{R}_p = \{y_0 + pi\}$.*

**Proof.** We know that $\widetilde{\beta}$ is the covering annulus Loewner trace of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, which solves (4.1) with $\Lambda = \Lambda_0$. For $0 \le t < p$, let $q(t) = \operatorname{Re} \widetilde{g}(t, y_0 + pi) = \widetilde{g}_I(t, y_0)$. From (3.10), $q(t)$ satisfies $q'(t) = \mathbf{H}_I(p - t, q(t) - \xi(t))$. For $0 \le t < \infty$, let $\widehat{\xi}(t)$ be defined by (3.17). Let $r = \widehat{p} = \frac{\pi^2}{p}$. Let $\widehat{g}(t, \cdot)$ and $\widehat{\beta}(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, be the rescaled covering annulus Loewner maps and trace of modulus $\widehat{p}$ driven by $\widehat{\xi}$. Then (3.18) and (3.21) hold.

Let $\widehat{x}_0 = \frac{\pi}{p} x_0$ and $\widehat{y}_0 = \frac{\pi}{p} y_0$. Let $\widehat{q}(t) = \operatorname{Re} \widehat{g}(t, \widehat{y}_0 + \pi i)$, $0 \le t < \infty$. Let $\widehat{\Lambda}_0$ be as in Theorem 8.2. Since $\Psi_0$ and $\widehat{\Psi}_0$ satisfy (7.26), from (2.10) we see that

$$\widehat{\Lambda}_0(\widehat{p} + t, x) = \frac{\pi}{\widehat{p} + t} \Lambda_0\Big(\frac{\pi^2}{\widehat{p} + t}, \frac{\pi}{\widehat{p} + t} x\Big), \quad 0 \le t < \infty.$$

Using (3.17), (3.18), (4.1), and Itô's formula, it is straightforward to check that $\widehat{\xi}(t)$ and $\widehat{q}(t)$ solve (8.8) with $x_0, y_0$ replaced by $\widehat{x}_0, \widehat{y}_0$ and $B(t)$ replaced by some other Brownian motion $\widehat{B}(t)$. From Theorem 8.2 we have a.s. $\overline{\widehat{\beta}((0,\infty))} \cap \mathbb{R}_\pi = \{\widehat{y}_0 + \pi i\}$. Using (3.21) we get the desired result. □

**Theorem 8.4** *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Lambda_{\langle s \rangle}$ be as in Theorem 7.4. Let $p > 0$, $a \in \mathbb{T}$, and $b \in \mathbb{T}_p$. Let $\beta_{\langle s \rangle}(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, be the annulus SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_{\langle s \rangle})$ trace in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from $a$ with marked point $b$. Then a.s. $\overline{\beta((0,p))} \cap \mathbb{T}_p = \{e^{-p + iy_0}\}$.*

**Proof.** Choose $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a = e^{ix_0}$ and $b = e^{-p + iy_0}$. Let $\widetilde{\beta}_{\langle s \rangle}(t)$ be a continuous curve such that $\beta_{\langle s \rangle} = e^i(\widetilde{\beta}_{\langle s \rangle})$ and $\widetilde{\beta}_{\langle s \rangle}(0) = x_0$. Then $\widetilde{\beta}_{\langle s \rangle}$ is the covering annulus SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_{\langle s \rangle})$ trace in $\mathbb{S}_p$ started from $x_0$ with marked point $y_0$. From Proposition 7.4 and Theorem 8.3, a.s. $\emptyset \ne \overline{\widetilde{\beta}_{\langle s \rangle}((0,p))} \cap \mathbb{R}_p \subset \{y_0 + 2m\pi + pi : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. So we reach the conclusion. □

# 9 Applications

## 9.1 Reversibility

Throughout this subsection we assume that $\kappa \in (0,4]$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma = \frac{4}{\kappa} - 1$, and $\Lambda_{\langle s \rangle}$ is given by Theorem 7.4. Then $\Lambda_{\langle s \rangle}$ is a crossing annulus drift function with average shift $s$. We will prove the theorem below which generalizes Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 9.1** *Let $\kappa \in (0,4]$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\beta(t)$, $-\infty \le t < \infty$, is a whole-plane SLE$(\kappa, s)$ trace in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from $a$ to $b$, then a.s. $\lim_{t\to\infty} \beta(t) = b$, and after a time-change, the reversal of $\beta$ becomes a whole-plane SLE$(\kappa, s)$ trace in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from $b$ to $a$.*

**Proof.** We only need to consider the case $a = 0$ and $b = \infty$. From Theorem 7.4, Lemma 5.2, Lemma 5.1, and that $\sigma = \frac{4}{\kappa} - 1$, we see that $\Lambda_{\langle s\rangle}$ solves (1.1). We now apply Theorem 6.2 to $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\langle s\rangle}$. Let $s_1 = s$, $s_2 = -s$, $\Lambda_j = \Lambda_{\langle s_j\rangle}$, $j = 1, 2$. Then $\Lambda_2$ is the dual function of $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda$. Let $K_{I,1}(t)$ and $K_{I,2}(t)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, be given by Theorem 6.2. Let $\beta_{I,1}(t)$ and $\beta_{I,2}(t)$, $-\infty \le t < \infty$, be the traces for the processes $(K_{I,1}(t))$ and $(K_{I,2}(t))$, respectively. So for $j = 1, 2$, $\beta_{I,j}$ is a whole-plane SLE$(\kappa, s_j)$ trace in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from 0 to $\infty$, which is a simple curve started from $\beta_{I,j}(-\infty) = 0$; and $K_{I,j}(t) = \beta_{I,j}([-\infty, t])$ for $-\infty < t < \infty$. Fix $j \ne k \in \{1, 2\}$, and $t_k \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let $\beta_k = I_0(\beta_{I,k})$. Then conditioned on $\beta_{I,k}(s)$, $-\infty \le s \le t_k$, after a time-change, the curve $g_k(t_k, \beta_{I,j}(t_j))$, $-\infty \le t_j < T_j(t_k)$, has the distribution of a disc SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_j)$ trace in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0 with marked point $e^i(\xi_k(t_k))$, where $\xi_k$ is the driving function for $(\beta_{I,k}(t))$, $g_k(t, \cdot)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, are the inverted whole-plane Loewner maps driven by $\xi_k$, and $T_j(t_k)$ is the maximal number in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\beta_j(t) \cap \beta_k([-\infty, t_k]) = \emptyset$ for $-\infty < t < T_j(t_k)$.

From Theorem 8.4 and the relation between the disc SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda)$ process and the annulus SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda)$ process, we conclude that a.s. $\overline{g_2(t_2, \beta_{I,1}([-\infty, T_1(t_2))))} \cap \mathbb{T} = \{e^i(\xi_2(t_2))\}$. Since $g_2(t_2, \cdot)$ maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \beta_2([-\infty, t_2])$ conformally onto $\mathbb{D}$ and maps $\beta_2(t_2)$ to $\{e^i(\xi_2(t_2))\}$, we see that, a.s. $\overline{\beta_{I,1}([-\infty, T_1(t_2)))} \cap \beta_2([-\infty, t_2]) = \{\beta_2(t_2)\}$. Since $\mathbb{Q}$ is countable, a.s.

$$\overline{\beta_{I,1}([-\infty, T_1(t_2)))} \cap \beta_2([-\infty, t_2]) = \{\beta_2(t_2)\}, \quad \text{for any } t_2 \in \mathbb{Q}. \tag{9.1}$$

Suppose (9.1) holds. If there is $t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $T_1(t_2) = \infty$, then since $T_1$ is decreasing, there is $t_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $T_1(t_0) = T_1(t_0 - 1) = \infty$. From (9.1) we see that

$$\{\beta_2(t_0 - 1)\} = \overline{\beta_{I,1}([-\infty, \infty))} \cap \beta_2([-\infty, t_0 - 1]) \subset \overline{\beta_{I,1}([-\infty, \infty))} \cap \beta_2([-\infty, t_0]) = \{\beta_2(t_0)\},$$

which contradicts that $\beta_2$ is a simple curve. So $T_1(t_2) < \infty$ for all $t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\beta_{I,1}$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$, we have $\beta_{I,1}(T_1(t_2)) = \beta_2(t_2)$ for any $t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. This equality holds a.s. because (9.1) holds a.s. Thus, a.s. $\beta_2((-\infty, \infty)) \subset \beta_{I,1}((-\infty, \infty))$. Symmetrically, a.s. $\beta_{I,1}((-\infty, \infty)) \subset \beta_2((-\infty, \infty))$. Since $\beta_{I,1}$ and $\beta_2$ are two simple curves started from 0 and $I_0(0) = \infty$, respectively, we see that a.s. $\beta_2$ is a reversal of $\beta_{I,1}$. Now $\beta_{I,1}$ is a whole-plane SLE$(\kappa, s)$ trace in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from 0 to $\infty$. Since $\beta_{I,2}$ is a whole-plane SLE$(\kappa, -s)$ trace in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ started from 0 to $\infty$, and $\beta_2 = I_0(\beta_{I,2})$, $\beta_2$ is a whole-plane SLE$(\kappa, s)$ trace in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from $\infty$ to 0. So we proved the theorem in the case $a = 0$ and $b = \infty$. □

**Theorem 9.2** *If $\beta(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, is a radial SLE$(\kappa, -s)$ trace in a simply connected domain $D$ from $a$ to $b$, then a.s. $\lim_{t\to\infty} \beta(t) = b$, and after a time-change, the reversal of $\beta$ becomes a disc SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_{\langle s\rangle})$ trace in $D$ started from $b$ with marked point $a$.*

**Proof.** This follows from the property of the coupling in Theorem 9.1 and the relation between whole-plane SLE$(\kappa, s)$ and radial SLE$(\kappa, -s)$. □

**Theorem 9.3** *Let $D$ be a doubly connected domain with two boundary points $a, b$ lying on different boundary components. If $\beta(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, is an annulus SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_{\langle s \rangle})$ trace in $D$ started from $a$ with marked point $b$, then after a time-change, the reversal of $\beta$ becomes an annulus SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_{\langle s \rangle})$ trace in $D$ started from $b$ with marked point $a$. So we have a.s. $\lim_{t \to p} \beta(t) = b$.*

**Proof.** This follows from the property of the coupling in Theorem 9.1, and the relation between disc SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_{\langle s \rangle})$ and annulus SLE$(\kappa, \Lambda_{\langle s \rangle})$. $\square$

Note that the last statement in Theorem 9.3 improves Theorem 8.4 when $\kappa \in (0, 4]$ and $\sigma = \frac{4}{\kappa} - 1$. We believe that it holds for all $\kappa > 0$ and $\sigma \in [0, \frac{4}{\kappa})$, and can be proved directly.

### 9.2 Decomposition of annulus SLE

We will use the solutions found Section 7 to decompose an annulus SLE$_\kappa$ process (without marked point) based on its end point. The result resembles the decomposition of strip SLE$(\kappa, \rho)$ processes (Lemma 3.1 in [30]). Throughout this subsection, we assume that $\kappa \in (0, 6)$ and $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\kappa}$. So $\sigma \in [0, \frac{4}{\kappa})$. Let $\Psi = \Psi_{\langle 0 \rangle}$ be given by Theorem 7.4. Then $\Psi$ has period $2\pi$. From Lemma 5.3 we see that there is a constant $C > 0$, such that $\lim_{t \to \infty} \Psi(t, x) = C$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\Gamma = \Psi \Theta_I^{-\frac{2}{\kappa}} / C$. Then $\lim_{t \to \infty} \Gamma(t, x) = 1$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$. From Lemma 5.2 we see that $\Gamma$ satisfies

$$\partial_t \Gamma = \frac{\kappa}{2} \Gamma'' + \mathbf{H}_I \Gamma' + \mathbf{H}_I' \Gamma \tag{9.2}$$

Let $\Lambda = \kappa \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} = \kappa \frac{\Psi'}{\Psi} - \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_I$. Then $\Lambda$ is a crossing annulus drift function.

Let $\xi(t) = \sqrt{\kappa} B(t)$. Fix $p \in (0, \infty)$. Let $\widetilde{g}(t, \cdot)$, $0 \le t < p$, be the covering annulus Loewner maps of modulus $p$ driven by $\xi$. Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}_p$. Then $\widetilde{g}(t, z_0) \in \mathbb{R}_{p-t}$ for $0 \le t < p$. Let $q_{z_0}(t) = \operatorname{Re} \widetilde{g}(t, z_0)$, $0 \le t < p$. Then $q_{z_0}'(t) = \mathbf{H}_I(p - t, q_{z_0}(t) - \xi(t))$, and

$$\partial_t \widetilde{g}'(t, z_0) = \mathbf{H}_I'(p - t, q_{z_0}(t) - \xi(t)) \widetilde{g}'(t, z_0); \tag{9.3}$$

$$\widetilde{g}'(t, z_0) = \exp\Big( \int_0^t \mathbf{H}_I'(p - s, q_{z_0}(s) - \xi(s)) ds \Big). \tag{9.4}$$

Let $X_{z_0}(t) = q_{z_0}(t) - \xi(t) = \operatorname{Re} \widetilde{g}(t, z_0) - \xi(t)$, $0 \le t < p$. Then $X_{z_0}(t)$ satisfies the SDE

$$dX_{z_0}(t) = -\sqrt{\kappa} dB(t) + \mathbf{H}_I(p - t, X_{z_0}(t)) dt, \quad 0 \le t < p. \tag{9.5}$$

From (9.2), (9.3), (9.5), and Itô's formula, we see that

$$M_{z_0}(t) := \Gamma(p - t, \operatorname{Re} \widetilde{g}(t, z_0) - \xi(t)) \cdot \widetilde{g}'(t, z_0), \quad 0 \le t < p, \tag{9.6}$$

is a local martingale. From (9.4) we see that for any $p_0 \in [0, p)$, $M_{z_0}(t)$, $0 \le t \le p_0$, are uniformly bounded in absolute value by a constant depending only on $p$ and $p_0$. Thus, $M_{z_0}(t)$, $0 \le t \le p_0$, is a bounded martingale for any $p_0 \in [0, p)$. Define $m(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Gamma(t, x) dx$.

Then $m(t)$ is continuous on $(0,\infty)$. Since $\Gamma \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\lim_{t\to\infty} m(t) = 1$. Note that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} M_{x+pi}(t)dx = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \Gamma(p-t, \operatorname{Re}\widetilde{g}(t,x+pi)-\xi(t))\cdot \widetilde{g}'(t,x+pi)dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\operatorname{Re}\widetilde{g}(t,pi)}^{\operatorname{Re}\widetilde{g}(t,2\pi+pi)} \Gamma(p-t,y-\xi(t))dy = m(p-t), \quad 0 \le t < p,$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\Gamma$ has period $2\pi$, and $\widetilde{g}(t,z+2\pi) = \widetilde{g}(t,z)+2\pi$. So for any $p>0$, $m(p-t)$, $0 \le t < p$, is a martingale. Since $m(t)$ is a deterministic function, it has to be a constant. Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} m(t) = 1$, $m(t) \equiv 1$ on $(0,\infty)$. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} M_{x+pi}(t)dx = 1, \quad 0 \le t < p. \tag{9.7}$$

**Theorem 9.4** *Let $p>0$. Suppose $\beta$ is an annulus SLE$_\kappa$ trace in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from $1$. For any $x \in [0,2\pi)$, let $\beta_x$ be the annulus SLE$(\kappa;\Lambda)$ trace in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from $1$ with marked point $e^{-p+ix}$. Let $\mathbb{P}_\beta$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\beta,x}$ denote the distributions of these traces, then*

$$\mathbb{P}_\beta = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \Gamma(p,x)\mathbb{P}_{\beta,x}dx. \tag{9.8}$$

**Proof.** Let $\xi(t)$ and $\xi_x(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, be the driving functions for $\beta$ and $\beta_x$. From definitions, we may assume that $\xi(t) = \sqrt{\kappa}B(t)$, and $\xi_x(t)$ solve the SDE

$$d\xi_x(t) = \sqrt{\kappa}dB(t) + \Lambda(p-t, \xi_x(t) - \operatorname{Re}\widetilde{g}(t,x))dt, \quad \xi_x(0) = 0,$$

where $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)$, $0 \le t < p$, are the covering annulus Loewner equation driven by $\xi_x$. Let $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{0\le t<p}$ be the filtration generated by $(\xi(t))$. The whole $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{F}$ is generated by $\bigcup_{0\le t<p}\mathcal{F}_t$. Let $\mathbb{P}_\xi$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\xi,x}$ denote the distributions of these driving functions. We suffice to show that (9.8) holds with the subscripts "$\beta$" replaced by "$\xi$", which means that

$$\mathbb{P}_\xi(A) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \Gamma(p,x)\mathbb{P}_{\xi,x}(A)dx, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{9.9}$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $q_x(t) = \operatorname{Re}\widetilde{g}(t,x+pi)$. Then $q_x'(t) = \mathbf{H}_I(p-t, q_x(t)-\xi(t))$. For $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}_p$, let $M_{z_0}(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, be as in (9.6). For $p_0 \in [0,p)$, define $\mathbb{P}_{x,p_0}$ by $d\mathbb{P}_{\xi,x}^{p_0}/d\mathbb{P}_\xi = M_{x+pi}(p_0)/\Gamma(p,x)$. Since $M_{x+pi}(0) = \Gamma(p,x)$, $\mathbb{P}_{x,p_0}$ is a probability measure. From (9.7) we see that (9.8) holds with $\mathbb{P}_\beta$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\beta,x}$ replaced by $\mathbb{P}_\xi$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\xi,x}^{p_0}$, respectively. From Itô's formula and (9.6), we compute $\frac{dM_{x+pi}(t)}{M_{x+pi}(t)} = \Lambda(p-t, q_x(t)-\xi(t))\frac{dB(t)}{\sqrt{\kappa}}$. From Girsanov theorem, we have $\mathbb{P}_{\xi,x}^{p_0} = \mathbb{P}_{\xi,x}$ on $\mathcal{F}_{p_0}$. Thus, (9.9) holds for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_{p_0}$. Since this is true for any $p_0 \in [0,p)$, (9.9) holds for any $A \in \bigcup_{0\le t<p}\mathcal{F}_t$, which is an algebra generating $\mathcal{F}$. The conclusion of the theorem follows from Monotone Class Theorem. $\square$

From [26] we know that a.s. $\lim_{t\to p}\beta(t)$ exists and lies on $\mathbb{T}_p$. From Theorem 8.3, for every $x\in\mathbb{R}$, $e^{-p+ix}$ is a subsequential limit of $\beta_x(t)$ as $t\to p$. So from Theorem 9.4, we see that for a.e. $x\in\mathbb{R}$, a.s. $\lim_{t\to p}\beta_x(t)=e^{-p+ix}$. Thus, Theorem 9.4 gives decompositions of annulus $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ according to the limit point of the trace. And we also see from this theorem that the distribution of $\lim_{t\to\infty}\beta(t)$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}_p$, and the density function is $\frac{1}{2\pi}\Gamma(p,x)$, which is smooth in both $p$ and $x$ and solves (9.2). This PDE is obtained in [26] under the assumptions of the absolute continuity w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}_p$ and the smoothness of the density function. Now we can discard this assumption.

Only in the case $\kappa=2$, we have $\sigma=\frac{4}{\kappa}-1$, which implies that $\beta_x$ satisfies reversibility. In [25] it was conjectured that for $\kappa\in(0,4]$, the annulus $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa$ trace conditioned on the end point satisfies reversibility. Now we can see that the conjecture can not be true unless $\kappa=2$.

When $\kappa=2$, using the convergence of LERW to annulus $\mathrm{SLE}_2$, it is easy to compute $\Gamma(p,x)=p\mathbf{H}_I'(p,x)+1$. Hagendorf and Doussal found an expression for $\Gamma$ in the case $\kappa=4$; and they also found an expression in the case $\kappa=2$ for the density function of the end point of the trace in the covering space ([8]).

# 10 Some Particular Solutions

In this section, for $\kappa\in\{4,2,3,0,16/3\}$, we will find solutions to PDE (1.1) and (1.2), which can be expressed in terms of $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{H}_I$. Equivalently, we need to find positive or negative solutions $\Gamma$ to PDE (5.3) and (5.4). The transformation is (5.1): $\Lambda=\kappa\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}$.

## 10.1 $\kappa=4$

Let $\kappa=4$. From Lemma 5.2 we see that if $\Psi$ solves.

$$\partial_t\Psi=2\Psi'', \tag{10.1}$$

then $\Gamma=\Psi\Theta_I^{-2/\kappa}$ solves (5.2). Similarly, $\Gamma=\Psi\Theta^{-2/\kappa}$ solves (5.4) with $C(t)\equiv 0$ if $\Psi$ solves (10.1). The solutions to (10.1) are well-known. For example, we have the following solutions: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi t}}e^{-\frac{(x-c)^2}{8t}}$, $e^{2c^2t+cx}$, $e^{-t/2}\sin_2(x-c)$, $\Theta(2t,x-c)$, and $\Theta_I(2t,x-c)$. The function $\Theta_I(2t,x-\pi)$ corresponds to the solution $\Gamma(t,x)=\Theta_I(2t,x-\pi)\Theta_I(t,x)^{-1/2}$ of (5.3), which agrees with the solution given by Section 7.3 for $\kappa=4$ and $\sigma=\frac{4}{\kappa}-1=0$. Some of these solutions are related to the Gaussian free field ([21]) in doubly connected domains.

## 10.2 $\kappa=2$

Now we consider the case $\kappa=2$. In this case if $\Xi$ on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$ solves

$$\partial_t\Xi=\Xi''+\Xi'\mathbf{H}_I+C(t)\Xi \tag{10.2}$$

then $\Gamma:=\Xi'$ solves (5.3). Similarly, if $\Xi$ on $(0,\infty)\times(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{2n\pi:n\in\mathbb{Z}\})$ solves

$$\partial_t\Xi=\Xi''+\Xi'\mathbf{H}+C(t)\Xi. \tag{10.3}$$

then $\Gamma := \Xi'$ solves (5.4).

From (2.9) we see that $\Xi_1 = \mathbf{H}_I$ solves (10.2) and $\Xi_2 = \mathbf{H}$ solves (10.3) with $C(t) = 0$. It is also easy to check that $\Xi_3(t, x) = t\mathbf{H}_I(t, x) + x$ solves (10.2) and $\Xi_4(t, x) = t\mathbf{H}(t, x) + x$ solves (10.3) with $C(t) = 0$. The $\Xi_3$ corresponds to the solution $\Gamma(t, x) = t\mathbf{H}_I'(t, x) + 1$, which agrees with the solution given by Section 7.3 for $\kappa = 2$ and $\sigma = \frac{4}{\kappa} - 1 = 1$. Such $\Gamma$ is also the density function of the distribution of the limit point of an annulus $\mathrm{SLE}_2$ trace, which is discussed at the end of Section 9.2.

Fix $t > 0$. Let $L_t = \{2n\pi + i2kt : n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $F_{1,t}$ denote the set of odd analytic functions $f$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus L_t$ such that each $z \in L_t$ is a simple pole of $f$, $2\pi$ is a period of $f$, and $i2t$ is an antiperiod of $f$, i.e., $f(z + i2t) = -f(z)$. Let $F_{2,t}$ denote the set of odd analytic functions $f$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus L_t$ such that each $z \in L_t$ is a simple pole of $f$, $2\pi$ is an antiperiod of $f$, and $i2t$ is a period of $f$. Let $F_{3,t}$ denote the set of odd analytic functions $f$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus L_t$ such that each $z \in L_t$ is a simple pole of $f$, and both $2\pi$ and $i2t$ are antiperiods of $f$. Define

$$\Gamma_1(t, z) = \mathbf{H}(2t, z) - \mathbf{H}_I(2t, z), \quad \Gamma_2(t, z) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}(\frac{t}{2}, \frac{z}{2}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}(\frac{t}{2}, \frac{z}{2} + \pi),$$

$$\Gamma_3(t, z) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}(t, \frac{z}{2}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}_I(t, \frac{z}{2}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}(t, \frac{z}{2} + \pi) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}_I(t, \frac{z}{2} + \pi).$$

From the properties of $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{H}_I$, it is easy to check that $F_{j,t}$ is the linear space spanned by $\Xi_j(t, \cdot)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. For $j = 1, 2, 3$, Define

$$J_j = \partial_t \Gamma_j - \Gamma_j'' - \Gamma_j' \mathbf{H}, \quad C_j(t) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Res}_{z=0}\, J_j(t, \cdot).$$

Fix $t > 0$. Note that 0 is a simple pole of $\mathbf{H}(t, \cdot)$ of residue 2, and is a simple pole of $\Gamma_1(t, \cdot)$. It is easy to conclude that 0 is also a simple pole of $J_1(t, \cdot)$. From that $\Xi_1(t, \cdot) \in F_{1,t}$, that $\mathbf{H}(t, \cdot)$ has period $2\pi$, and that $\mathbf{H}(t, z + 2\pi) = \mathbf{H}(t, z) - 2i$, it is easy to check that $J_1(t, \cdot) \in F_{1,t}$ as well. So $J_1(t, \cdot) = C_1(t)\Gamma_1(t, \cdot)$. Thus, $\Gamma_1$ solves (10.3). Similarly, $\Gamma_2$ and $\Gamma_3$ both solve (10.3).

### 10.3 $\kappa = 3$

Let $\kappa = 3$. Let $\Gamma_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, be as in the previous subsection. For $j = 1, 2, 3$, define

$$J_j = \partial_t \Gamma_j - \frac{3}{2}\Gamma_j'' - \mathbf{H}\Gamma_j' - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}'\Gamma_j, \quad C_j(t) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Res}_{z=0}\, J_j(t, \cdot).$$

W find that $J_j(t, \cdot) \in F_{j,t}$ for any $t > 0$. So $J_j(t, \cdot) = C_j(t)\Gamma_j(t, \cdot)$. Thus, $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$ solve (5.4). For $j = 4, 5, 6$, let $\Gamma_j(t, z) = \Gamma_{j-3}(t, z + it)$. Since $\mathbf{H}_I(t, z) = \mathbf{H}(t, z + it) + i$, it is easy to check that $\Gamma_4, \Gamma_5, \Gamma_6$ solve (5.3). Among these solutions, $\Gamma_4$ agrees with the solution given by Section 7.3 for $\kappa = 3$ and $\sigma = \frac{4}{\kappa} - 1 = \frac{1}{3}$. And we expect that $\Gamma_1$ corresponds to the scaling limit of critical spin Ising models ([23]) in doubly connected domains.

## 10.4 $\kappa = 0$

Let $L_t$ be as in Section 10.2. Let $\mathbf{H}_2(t,z) = \mathbf{H}(t, z/2)$. From (2.9) we have

$$\partial_t \mathbf{H}_2 = 4\mathbf{H}_2'' + 2\mathbf{H}_2'\mathbf{H}_2. \tag{10.4}$$

Let $G = \mathbf{H} - 2\mathbf{H}_2$. Then for each $t > 0$, $G(t, \cdot)$ is an odd analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus L_t$, and each $z \in L_t$ is a simple pole of $G$. From $\mathbf{H}(t, z + 2\pi) = \mathbf{H}(t,z)$ and $\mathbf{H}(t, z + i2t) = \mathbf{H}(t,z) - 2i$ we see that both $4\pi$ and $i4t$ are periods of $G(t,\cdot)$. Fix $t > 0$, and define

$$J(z) = \frac{G(t,z)^2}{2} - 2G'(t,z) + 3\mathbf{H}'(t,z).$$

Then $J$ is an even analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus L_t$ and has periods $4\pi$ and $i4t$. Fix any $z_0 = 2n_0\pi + i2k_0t \in L_t$ for some $n_0, k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $2z_0$ is a period of $J$, so $J_{z_0}(z) := J(z - z_0)$ is an even function. Thus, $\operatorname{Res}_{z=z_0} J(z) = 0$. The degree of $z_0$ as a pole of $J$ is at most 2. The principal part of $J$ at $z_0$ is $\frac{C(z_0)}{(z-z_0)^2}$ for some $C(z_0) \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that $\operatorname{Res}_{z_0} \mathbf{H}(t,z) = 2$ and $\operatorname{Res}_{z_0} G(t,z) = -6$ or $2$. In either case, we compute $C(z_0) = 0$. Thus, every $z_0 \in L_t$ is a removable pole of $J$, which, together with the periods $4\pi$ and $i4t$, implies that $J$ is a constant depending only on $t$. Differentiating $J$ w.r.t. $z$, we conclude that

$$2G'' = G'G + 3\mathbf{H}''. \tag{10.5}$$

From $G = \mathbf{H} - 2\mathbf{H}_2$ we have $2\mathbf{H}_2 = \mathbf{H} - G$. So from (10.4) and (10.5), we have

$$\partial_t \mathbf{H} - \partial_t G = 8\mathbf{H}_2'' + 4\mathbf{H}_2'\mathbf{H}_2 = 4\mathbf{H}'' - 4G'' + (\mathbf{H}' - G')(\mathbf{H} - G)$$

$$= 4\mathbf{H}'' - 2(G'G + 3\mathbf{H}'') + (\mathbf{H}' - G')(\mathbf{H} - G) = -2\mathbf{H}'' - G'G + \mathbf{H}'\mathbf{H} - G'\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}'G.$$

From the above formula and (2.9), we have

$$\partial_t G = 3\mathbf{H}'' + G'G + \mathbf{H}'G + G'\mathbf{H}. \tag{10.6}$$

Thus, $G$ solves (1.2) when $\kappa = 0$. Note that $\mathbf{H}_I(t, z/2)$ also satisfies (10.4). Let $G_I(t,z) := \mathbf{H}(t,z) - \mathbf{H}_I(t, \frac{z}{2})$. Then $G_I(t,\cdot)$ is also an odd analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus L_t$ and has periods $4\pi$ and $i4t$. The principal part of $G_I(t,\cdot)$ at every $z_0 \in L_t$ is also either $\frac{-6}{z-z_0}$ or $\frac{2}{z-z_0}$. Using a similar argument, we conclude that $G_I$ also solves (1.2) when $\kappa = 0$.

## 10.5 $\kappa = 16/3$

Let $G$ and $G_I$ be as in the last subsection. Let $F = -G/3$. From (10.5) we have

$$0 = \frac{8}{3}F'' + 4F'F + \frac{4}{3}\mathbf{H}''.$$

From (10.6) we have

$$\partial_t F = -\mathbf{H}'' - 3F'F + \mathbf{H}'F + F'\mathbf{H}.$$

Summing up the above two equalities, we get

$$\partial_t F = \frac{8}{3} F'' + \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{H}'' + \mathbf{H}' F + F' \mathbf{H} + F' F.$$

Thus, $F$ solves (1.2) when $\kappa = \frac{16}{3}$. Similarly, $F_I := -G_I/3$ also solves (1.2) when $\kappa = \frac{16}{3}$. Here $F$ and $F_I$ have period $4\pi$ instead of $2\pi$. If we want a solution to (1.1) with period $2\pi$, we may first restrict $F$ or $F_I$ to the interval $(0, 2\pi)$ or $(-2\pi, 0)$, and then extend it to $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{2n\pi : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ so that the function has period $2\pi$. We expect that the chordal-type annulus drift functions generated by $F$ and $F_I$ correspond to the scaling limit of critical cluster Ising models ([6]) in doubly connected domains.

## References

[1] K. Chandrasekharan. *Elliptic functions.* Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1985.

[2] Lars V. Ahlfors. *Conformal invariants: topics in geometric function theory.* McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1973.

[3] R. F. Bass and Z.-Q. Chen. One-dimensional stochastic differential equations with singular and degenerate coeffients. *Sankhya: The India Journal of Statistics*, 67:19-45, 2005.

[4] M. Bauer, D. Bernard and J. Houdayer. Dipolar stochastic Loewner evolutions. *J. Stat. Mech.*, P03001, 2005.

[5] V. Beffara. The dimension of the SLE curves. *Ann. Probab.*, 36(4):1421-1452, 2008.

[6] Dmitry Chelkak and Stanislav Smirnov. Universality in the 2D Ising model and conformal invariance of fermionic observables. In preprint. Available at arXiv:0910.2045.

[7] Julien Dubédat. Commutation relations for SLE, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 60(12):1792-1847, 2007.

[8] Christian Hagendorf and Pierre Le Doussal. SLE on Doubly-Connected Domains and the Winding of Loop-Erased Random Walks. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 133(2):231-254, 2008.

[9] Gregory F. Lawler. *Intersection of random walks.* Birkhäuser, Boston, 1991.

[10] Gregory F. Lawler. *Conformally Invariant Processes in the Plane.* Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[11] Gregory F. Lawler. Partition functions, loop measure, and versions of SLE. *J. Stat. Phys.* 134:813-837, 2009.

[12] Gregory F. Lawler, Oded Schramm and Wendelin Werner. Values of Brownian intersection exponents I: Half-plane exponents. *Acta Math.*, 187(2):237-273, 2001.

[13] Gregory F. Lawler, Oded Schramm and Wendelin Werner. Values of Brownian intersection exponents II: Plane exponents. *Acta Math.*, 187:275-308, 2001.

[14] Gregory F. Lawler, Oded Schramm and Wendelin Werner. Conformal restriction: the chordal case, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(4): 917-955, 2003.

[15] Gregory F. Lawler, Oded Schramm and Wendelin Werner. Conformal invariance of planar loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees. *Ann. Probab.*, 32(1B):939-995, 2004.

[16] Christian Pommerenke. On the Löwner differential equation. *Mich. Math. J.* 13:435-443, 1968.

[17] Daniel Revuz and Marc Yor. *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion.* Springer, Berlin, 1991.

[18] Steffen Rohde and Oded Schramm. Basic properties of SLE. *Ann. Math.*, 161(2):883-924, 2005.

[19] Oded Schramm. Scaling limits of loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees. *Israel J. Math.*, 118:221-288, 2000.

[20] Oded Schramm and Scott Sheffield. Harmonic explorer and its convergence to $\text{SLE}_4$. *Ann. Probab.*, 33:2127-2148, 2005.

[21] Oded Schramm and Scott Sheffield. Contour lines of the two-dimensional discrete Gaussian free field. *Acta Math.*, 202(1):21-137, 2009.

[22] Stanislav Smirnov. Critical percolation in the plane: conformal invariance, Cardy's formula, scaling limits. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 333(3):239-244, 2001.

[23] Stanislav Smirnov. Towards conformal invariance of 2D lattice models. *International Congress of Mathematicians.*, vol. II, 1421-1451, Eur. Math. Soc., Zurich (2006)

[24] Dapeng Zhan. Random Loewner Chains in Riemann Surfaces. PhD thesis, Caltech, 2004.

[25] Dapeng Zhan. Stochastic Loewner evolution in doubly connected domains. *Probab. Theory Rel.*, 129(3):340-380, 2004.

[26] Dapeng Zhan. Some properties of annulus SLE. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 11, Paper 41:1069-1093, 2006.

[27] Dapeng Zhan. The Scaling Limits of Planar LERW in Finitely Connected Domains. *Ann. Probab.*, 36(2):467-529, 2008.

[28] Dapeng Zhan. Reversibility of chordal SLE. *Ann. Probab.*, 36(4):1472-1494, 2008.

[29] Dapeng Zhan. Duality of chordal SLE. *Invent. Math.*, 174(2):309-353, 2008.

[30] Dapeng Zhan. Duality of chordal SLE, II. *Ann. I. H. Poincare-Pr.*, 46(3):740-759, 2010.

[31] Dapeng Zhan. Reversibility of some chordal SLE($\kappa;\rho$) processes. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 139(6):1013-1032, 2010.

[32] Dapeng Zhan. Continuous LERW started from interior points. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, 120:1267-1316, 2010.

[33] Dapeng Zhan. Loop-Erasure of Planar Brownian Motion. In preprint. Available at arXiv:1001.3189.